

THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O

# PRAKRIT DHAMMAPADA

#### BASED UPON

# M. SENART'S KHAROSTHĪ MANUSCRIPT

WITH

TEXT, TRANSLATION & NOTES

ВY

# BENIMADHAB BARUA, M.A. (CAL.), D.Lit. (Lond.),

POST-GRADUATE TEAUBER IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF PAUL AND ANCIENT INDIAN RISTORY AND CULTURE, CALCUTTA UNIVERSITY, FORMERLY STATE SCHOLAR TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA, AUTHOR OF 'A HISTORY OF PRE-BUDDHISTIC INDIAN PHILOSOPHY.' 'THE AJIVIKAS.' \*PROLEGOMENA TO A HISTORY OF BUDDHIST PHILOSOPHY, ETC.

AND

# SAILENDRANATH MITRA, M.A.,

POST-GRADUATE TEACHER IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF PALL AND INDIAN VERNACULARS, CALCUTTA UNIVERSITY, FORMERLY RESEARCH SCHOLAR TO THE GOVERNMENT OF BENGAL, PROFESSOR OF FALL, BAPTIST COLLEGE, RANGOON.



PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF CALCUTTA 1921

<sup>t</sup>ko dhamapada sudršita kušalo puşaviva payeşiti ?'

PRINTED BY ATUL CHANDRA BHATTACHARYTA
AT THE CALCUTTA UNIVERSITY PRESS, SENATE HOUSE, CALCUTTA

The Hon'ble Sir ASUTOSH MOOKERJEE, Saraswati,
Sastra-Vachaspati, Sambuddhagama-Chakravarti,
Kt., C.S.I., M.A., D.L., D.Sc., Ph.D.,
the avowed champion

of

the cause of Buddhistic Researches in this country,
but for whose effort, sympathy and support
Buddhistic studies would not have found place
in the University of Calcutta,

this work is dedicated

in token of the devotion, heart-felt esteem and gratitude of the authors.

### PREFACE

This work of which the first instalment is now published, grew by way of a digression from our monograph- 'Asoka's Dhamma, a landmark of Indian literature and religion', which is still in course of preparation. The digression took place at a point where the question of the bearing of Asoka's inscriptions and teachings on the Dhammapada class of Buddhist literature had to be discussed. The original plan was to re-adjust the verses and fragments and correct the readings in M. Senart's edition, wherever necessary and possible, chiefly in the light of the extant Palı and the Sanskrit parallels. The idea of a complete edition with notes, translation and introduction was not conceived until after an interview with the Hon'ble Justice Sir Asutosh Mookerjee, President of the Post-Graduate Councils, who was kind enough to discuss with us the detail of the plan of the work, inspiring us to undertake the work and finish it by all means. We are happy that the undertaking is now fulfilled, although we do not doubt that the execution of the work would have been far more satisfactory, if placed in better hands.

It is especially gratifying to us that we have been able to re-edit a work which excited so much interest since its first publication about a quarter of a century ago and publish a greater portion of it fortunately during the lifetime of the illustrious M. Senart who ushered it into existence. The world will

also remember with gratitude the labors of the Russian and French travellers—Petroffsky and Dutreuil de Rhins—who had discovered the fragments of the Kharosthi Manuscript and taken them to Europe. It is to Serge d'Oldenbourg that we owe the adjustment of a few fragments of the few verses, incorporated in M. Senart's Plate marked B.

In order to facilitate comparison, we have felt it necessary to reproduce in Part I of our work M. Senart's edition with his valuable notes translated into Euglish. His parallel quotations have been omitted in Part I, but reproduced in Part II, marked with a t. The few alphabetical types used by him in paleographic discussion had to be omitted in our translation for the simple reason that they would be quite out of place without the fac-simile of the plates. So much about the reproduction of his work. Our part in the work consists in a radical shuffing and re-arrangement of his plates, fragments and, in some cases, verse-lines, consistently with the colophons indicating the total number of verses contained in a group, with the result that the whole work has been divided into so many distinct chapters. No fragment has been left unadjusted and no verse left incomplete, although there are one or two doubtful cases. Numerous new identifications and parallels have been supplied from all possible sources corroborating our adjustments and readings. The verses have been commented on by notes explaining their position in a chapter, and bringing out their literary, linguistic and historical significance. Part III contains the text as adjusted and restored in Part II, with Part IV contains a short dissertation on the translation. genesis, development and historical importance of the Dhammapada class of texts, while in Part V an attempt has been made to construct a comparative grammar of the language of the Prakrit text and that of the Kharosthi inscriptions and documents. In the Introduction will be found an account of the various recensions of the Dhammapada with special reference their history, place and significance in Buddhism, while the Glossary contains an index of words with their meanings and Pāli and Sanskrit equivalents.

It will be seen that the plan of the chapters appearing in Part II of this instalment was worked out independently of the very valuable suggestions of R. Otto Franke in his article Zum Manuskript Dutreuil de Rhins contributed to the Z.D.M. G (60), 4906, and of Sylvain Lévi in his Study of the Recensions of the Dhammapada (J. A. September-October, 1912). We have the satisfaction to note that our readjustments and identifications coincide in numerous instances with theirs. The names of scholars who have contributed in manifold ways to the knowledge of the Dhammapada texts will be found in the Bibliography of references which follows.

Our obligation to Sir Asutosh is too great for words, and we shall ever remain grateful to him for the personal interest he has taken in the progress of the work. Our thanks are also due to Kabibhaskar Srijut Sasankamohan Sen, B.A., the Gopaldas Chowdhury Lecturer in Bengali, who has offered us from time to time important suggestions particularly with regard to the interpretation of the text. We have profited a great deal by some useful suggestions from Prof. Sylvain Lévi who was good enough to read the proofs of the latter portion of the Introduction. We are no less thankful to Mr. Ramaprasad Chanda, B.A., Superintendent, Indian Museum, Calcutta. Mr. B. C. Majumdar, B.A., Lecturer in Indian Vernaculars and in Comparative Philology, Dr. D. R. Bhandarkar, M.A., Carmichael Professor of Ancient Indian History and Culture, Dr. I. J. S. Taraporewala, Professor of Comparative Philology and Dr. F. W. Thomas, Librarian, India Office Library, who have also shown interest in the preparation of an edition like the present, and to Mr. Ramaprasad Chaudhury, M.A., and Mr. Prabodhehandra Bagelii, M.A., who were kind enough to assist us in various ways.

It must be said to the credit of Mr. A. C. Ghatak, B.A., Superintendent, Calcutta University Press, that but for his able

management the work could not have been printed in the form in which it is now brought out. Lastly, we cannot close this Preface without a word of thanks to Babu Probodhehandra Chakravarti of the University Press who was entrusted with the work of setting up and who has acquitted himself of his task so well.

SHNATE HOUSE, CALCUTTA:

B. M. BARUA

The 20th February, 1922.

S. N. MITRA

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

The list of important texts and articles consulted :-

- 1. Dhammapada edited by V. Fausböll, 1900.
- 2. Dhammapada (P. T. S.)

在教育的是我的妻子 大艺的人

- 3. Dhammapada—the English \*translation by Samuel Beal of the Chinese Fa-heu-pi-u (Trübner's Oriental Series, pocket edition).
- 4. Udānavarga—translated into English by W. W. Rockhill from the Tibetan (Trübner's Oriental Series).
- 5. Die Turfan-Recensionen des Dhammapada edited by R. Pischel in the Sitzb. d. K. Pr. Ak d. Wiss, Berlin, 1908, p. 968 ff.
- 6. L'Apramadavarga edited by Sylvain Lévi with a valuable study of the Recensions of the Dhammapada in J. A., T. XX, 1912,
  - 7. Documents Sanskrit De La Seconde Collection M.A. Stein edited by de la Vallée Poussin in J. R. A. S., 1912. These together with the three folios in the Pelliot collection comprise the following chapters and stanzas: (1) Anityavarga, st. 24-42 (fols. 3-4); (2) Kāmavarga, st. 1-19 (fols. 4-5); (12) Mārgavarga, st. 18-20; (13) Satkāravarga, st. 1-11 a-b (one folio); (21) Tathāgatavarga, st. 8-18; (22) Šrutavarga, st. 1-2, 19 (Pelliot); (23) Ātmavarga, st. 1-26 (Pelliot); (24) Sahasravarga, st. 1-2 (Pelliot); (29) Yugavarga, st. 39-53 (fols. 52); (30) Sukhavarga, st. 26-52 (fols. 55-7); (31) Cittavarga, st. 1-38 (fols. 57-9); (32) Bhikṣuvarga, st. 3-14 (Pelliot), 15-29 (fols. 62-3).

- 8. Lüders' Bemerkungen zu den Kharosthi Manuskript d Dhammapada in the Nachrichten der K. Ges. d. Wiss. Z Gottingen, Phil.-Hist. Klasse, 1899, p. 474 ff.
- 9. R. Otto Franke's article—Zun Manuskript Dutreuil a Rhins in Z. D. M. G., B. 60, 1906, p. 477 ff.
- 10. T. W. Rhys Davids on the Gosinga Kharosthī Manus cript in J. R. A. S, 1899, p. 426 ff.
- 11. de la Vallée Poussin's Essai D'identification de Gathas et des Udanas en prose de l'Udanavarga de Dharmatrata in J. A., T. XIX, 1912, p. 311 ff.
- 12. Jules Bloch on Le Dialecte des Fragments Dutreuit de Rhins in J. A., T. XIX, 1912.
- 18 Sten Konow's Bemerkungen über die Kharosthi-Handschrift des Dhammapada, in the Festschrift Ernst Windisch, 1914, p. 85 ff.
- 14. A. Stein's Ancient Khotan, Vol. I., particularly Appendix E.
- 15. Max Müller's Introduction to his translation of the Dhammapada (S. B. E., Vol. X., Pt. I).
  - 16. T. W. Rhys Davids-Buddhist India, p. 128 ff.

N. B.—So far as our information goes (J.R.A.S., 1899, p. 429) there is still a portion, perhaps a larger portion, of the Kharosthi Ms. under the disposal of Serge d'Oldenbourg and we can never doubt that when the contents thereof are made known, they will serve to enrich our knowledge of the Dhammapada. Nothing could be more regrettable to us than the fact that the prospect of seeing the portion in print has to be indefinitely deferred. It also pains us to confess that Beckh's edition of the Tibetan version of the Udänavarga, so much praised by Lévi and other Tibetan scholars, is a sealed book to as. In going through Rockhill's translation of the Tibetan work one is apt to feel embarassed by the tentative character of it, and the first suspicion is strengthened when it is compared with the portions of the Sanskrit original now within our reach. Het by far the most bewildering is Beal a translation of the

Fa-kheu-pi-u, although the original translators in Chinese are very largely responsible for a violent distortion of the contents and sense of the Indian original. If the Fa-kheu-pi-u or its text portion the Fa-kheu-king be the specimen of the Chinese rendering of Indian texts, the student of Indian literature will surely labour in vain in grappling with the super-human and almost unsurmountable difficulty of mastering a knowledge of the Chinese alphabet and diction—a pursuit which, to put in the words of a witty Bengalee friend, will amount to breaking one's teeth in cracking the nut for so scanty and strange a kernel.

Grünwedel, Stein and Pelliot have placed humanity under a deep debt of gratitude by their successive missions into Central Asia, or more correctly to say, the Chinese Turkestan, for bringing together numerous fragments of the manuscript of the Udānavarga which is undoubtedly a Buddhist work of the Dhammapada class. We cannot but agree with M. de la Vallée Poussin in thinking that the text of this Ms. is a recension of the original of the Tibetan text attributed to Dharmatrāta and bearing the name of Udānavarga. But one must naturally be tempted to join issue with him when he describes the language of the text as "quasi-Sanserit," for although in certain verses the older Pāli or Prakritic forms are retained, obviously for the sake of metre, the attempt at Sanskritisation appears to have reached in this text a stage well-nigh perfection as compared with previous attempts.

# CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION

#### PAGE Discovery of the Kharosthi Manuscript of the 1. Dhammapada i-iv The title 'Prakrit Dhammapada' 2. iv-vii 3 Recensions and Copies of the Dhammapada ... vii-xvii 4. Chronology of the Dhammapada texts xvii-li 5. Concluding Remarks li-lv PART I SENART'S EDITION OF THE KHAROSTHE MANUSCRIPT Plate A<sup>1</sup> 1-5Plate A<sup>2</sup> 5-10 Plate A<sup>3</sup> 10 - 18Plate A4 18-21 Fragments of A 22-23 Plate B 24-52 Fragments of B 52 - 54Plate Cro 55-69Plate Cvo 70 - 85Fragments of C 86-94 Postecript 95 96

## PART II

# Present Edition of the Kharoşthî Manuscript

					PAGE
1.	Magavaga	•••			97-119
2.	Apramadavaga	•••	***	***	119-139
3.	Citavaga		110	•••	140-147
4.	Puşa vaga	111	111	***	148-154
5.	Sabasavaga	•••	194	*12	154-169
6.	Panitavaga or	Dhamatha	avaga (Silavag	;a	
	according to	Franke)	***		169-178
7.	Balavaga	***	***	***	179-186
8.	Jaravaga	***	141	***	186-218
9.	Suhavaga	***	***	•••	218-238
A.D.	DENDA, containin	g certain	additional para	وأوال	
	and notes	G (	Luit		7.10

### Introduction

1. Discovery of the Kharosthi Manuscript of the Dhammapada.—The Dhammapada, now edited and translated

with improved readings and readjustments, is the only text of which a fragment has been preserved in Kharosthī, a script in which two recensions of Asoka's Rock Edicts, at Shahbazgarhi and Mansehra, are inscribed, and this is the only Buddhist text which has been hitherto found composed in a Prakrit dialect. The manuscript is one of the earliest finds from Khotan. Among later finds in Khotan, we have to mention a few documents containing "dispositions and reports of local authorities, instructions, regulations, official and private correspondenceall inscribed in the Kharo thi script and drawn up in a Prakrit dialect."1 Prof. Lüders says, "the date of the Prakrit documents is fixed by the Chinese wooden tablets which have been mixed with the later, and one of which is dated A.D. 269." The first discovery of the Prakrit text of the Dhammapada in Kharosthī was made in 1892 by the French traveller Dutreuil de Rhins, who found altogether three fragments in Khotan, which he despatched to Paris. With regard to these fragments Prof. Lüders notes: "In 1897 Senart made known their contents and value...... Senart's communication created a sensation in the Aryan section of the Oriental Congress held in Paris. The find represented a Kharoṣṭhī manuscript.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> These we owe to Sir Aurel Stein. See his monumental work, The Ancient Khotan; in two big volumes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Luders' paper Uber die literarischen Funde von Ostturkestan, translated by Mr. G. K. Nariman in his Laterary History, p. 238.

The Kharosthi character till then had been known only from inscriptions in the outermost boundary of North-West India. Epigraphical comparison proved the date of the manuscript to be the second century. As to its contents, it was a recension of the Pāli Dhammapada in a Prakrit dialect, which was till then unknown in literary compositions. The manuscript was only a fragment. Another portion of the same manuscript was brought to Petrograd."1 The portion which was taken by a Russian traveller to St. Petersburg (now called Petrograd) was, as M. Senart says,2 deciphered and adjusted by Serge d'Oldenbourg, who later on placed the documents at his disposal. This courtesy on the part of the Russian servant was all the more welcome to M. Senart at a time when he himself was engaged in daciphering and adjusting the fragments in the Rhins collection. On examination M. Senart found that Serge d'Oldenbourg's documents filled some graps in the fragments of the Paris manuscript at which he was working. In Senart's edition. published in 1897 (Journal Asiatique), Serge d'Oldenbourg's documents have been incorporated intact (see B, II. 1-15. pp. 24-31).

Since the publication of M. Senart's edition in 1897, several European scholars headed by Lüders have taken pains to make improvements on it and discuss the paleographic linguistic and literary importance of the Kharosthi manuscript. We shall never forget the day when in going together through M. Senart's edition we were struck by some inaccuracies of both identification and adjustment of the fragments as well as of particular verses, the first impression gradually deepening into settled conviction of certain drawbacks in the otherwise excellent work of a scholar whose name is in the very forefront of Oriental scholarship. At an opportune moment we chanced upon two incomplete couplets in his arrangement, numbered as line l in his plate A<sup>2</sup> and as

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Nariman, Literary History, p. 227.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Lo Manasorii Khanophli du Dhammapada, Proface.

line 17, or the last line, in his plate  $\Lambda^2$ . These lines, considered by him as two separate verses, appeared to us to be but integral parts of one single verse. To be clear, let us quote the lines as manipulated by him:

.. madenamakabha devanasamidh(i)gat.

 $(A^2, 1)$ 

apra

u

apramada prašajhati pramadu garahitu sada

 $(A^3, 17)$ 

He has taken A<sup>2</sup>, 1 to be the remnant of a verse for which he could find no parallel in Pāli or in Buddhist Sanskrit. It is obvious that in commenting upon it he completely lost sight of the Dhammapada verse 30, which reads:

Appamādena Maghavā devānam setthatam gato Appamādam pasamsanti pamādo garahito sadā

-er else he would not have been led into equating kabha of makabha with a Sk. garbha (see pp 5-6), but would have easily suggested that the Prakrit makabha is the counterpart of the Pali Maghavā. But coming to A3, 17 he made a right hit on the aforesaid Pali parallel, forgetting, however, to enquire whether A2, 1—which be had already come across—with the reading madena makabha devanasamidh(1) gut . . which sounded so close to the Pāli (appa)mādena Maghavā derānam setthatam gat(o), could be referred back to in order to fill up the gap. But he could not possibly do so without putting the Plate A2 immediately after A3, and thereby impairing his adjustment of the plates arranged in the order A2, A3. His failure to combine A<sup>3</sup>, 17 and A<sup>2</sup>, 1 into one verse is, it seems, due to a fatal oversight, and this oversight on his part led us to examine the fac-similes appended to the text edited by him, and we found that the top of the fragment A2 was broken in such a way that it could be exactly fitted into the bottom of the fragment

 $A^3$ , so as to give us a complete Prakrit counterpart of the Pāli verse 30, quoted in the last page. Thus we were tempted to place  $A^2$  immediately after  $A^3$  and complete the Prakrit verse, by linking together  $A^3$ , 17 and  $A^2$ , 1, as follows:—

apra[madena makabha devanasamidh(i) gat]u apramada praśajhati pramadu garahitu sada 🔘

We felt further justified by the fact that, for the commencement of the first foot, we had in A3, 17 exactly two letters, a pra, answering to the two dots of omissions in A2, 1, and for the last letter of the second foot, we had n in A3, 17, answering to a dot of omission in A2, 1. Proceeding to test the result of this preliminary examination, we had to satisfy ourselves whether the proposed inversion of M. Senart's Plates A2 and A3 could justify the unreconciled colophons "ga 25" (at the end of Plate A1), and "ga 30" (in the middle of Plate A3), which indicated that the four plates, arranged by M. Senart in the order A1, A2, A3 A4, contained two groups of Dhammapada verses, one consisting of 25 stanzas, and another of 30 stanzas. It was indeed a very happy moment when we found that by completely reversing M. Senart's order the verses and the four plates could be systematically arranged in two groups or chapters, consistently with the colophons "ga 30" and "ga 25"-a procedure ensuring a logical sequence of thought in the teaching of the groups and the verses alike. Taking our cue herefrom, we have made bold to dispute the whole arrangement of M. Senart's edition, which leaves colophons unexplained. Nay, we have ventured to reconstruct a number of hopelessly mutilated verses, particularly those at the end of Plate B, and to adjust and readjust a host of fragments and verses, testing almost every case in the light of a Pali or a Sanskrit parallel, and the results of our investigation have been embodied in the following pages, to be judged for what they are worth.

2. The title "Prakrit Dhammapada".—M. Senart's edition, entitled "Le Manuscrit Kharosthi du Dhammapada" is

commonly known as 'the Kharosthi Recension of the Dhammapada,' which is a misnomer. The title chosen by the French scholar implies a meaning quite different from that which is conveyed by 'Kharosthi recension,' a name which suggests at once to the mind the idea of a copy of the Dhammapada in the Kharosthi · language,' while, as a matter of fact, Kharosthi is only the name of a script in which the text has been preserved. They also speak of a 'Turfan Recension' since the publication of a specimen of the Sanskrit Udanararga, of which a manuscript in fragments has been found in Turfan. As Prof. Pischel, who was the first to bring this specimen to light, explains, the title "Die Turfan-Recensionen" refers only to a manuscript, found in Turfan, of a Sanskrit recension of the Pali Dhammapada,1 'Turfan Recension' must be regarded as a misnomer until it is definitely proved that there was a redaction made of the Udanavarga in Turfan. When, for instance, we speak of the Bengal, Benares and Bombay recensions of the Ramavana we understand no more than so many editions of a single epic in Sanskrit, varying with one another according as they are based upon different readings in the different provinces, that is to say, upon texts as altered or modified by the scribes and repeaters of the thr e localities. The same holds true of the recensions of the Mahabharata and other texts. But the ease of 'the Dhammapada recensions' is somewhat different; for, in speaking of the Dhammapada recensions we cannot mean so many redactions of the same text in the same language, but so many different texts with different titles, composed in different languages, but belonging to a common literary type. To name one Dhammapada recension, in this special sense, after the script (e.g., Kharosthī recension) and another after the place of find (e.g., Turfan recension) cannot but be misleading and unscientific. This way of naming the Dhammapada texts would go to unnecessarily add to the number of recensions in the case of every new find in a new script or in a new place. The best way to have a consistent

method of naming them would be, we think, to apply to them the name of the language in which they are composed. So far as the Tibetan or Chinese versions of some of these Dhammapada texts are concerned, they are to be considered as translations of one or other recension of the Dhammapada. The Chinese Fu-khen-king, as may be judged from Beal's English translation of its commentary, the Fu-kheu-pi-u, is neither a faithful translation nor entirely a new compilation, but bears the character of both A special case is therefore to be made out for it. It may be put down as a 'Chinese Recension in translation and considered along with a Pali, Prakrit or Sanskrit recension, upon which its translation portion is based. If, in the case of a particular Dhammapada recension, or text, as we should also call it, e.g., the Sanskrit Udanavarga, the faithful translations differ in expressions or in ideas, if it happens that there are two or more Trbetan translations of certain originals in Indian language which generally agree in contents and differ slightly here and there, we cannot but admit that their originals were only so many recensions of only If, applying this consideration to the study of two or more translations of a particular Dhammapada text, it appears that they differ either in regard to the arrangement of chapters, the number and arrangement of verses and expressions, then we have to regard them as different versions based upon different recensions of the same text, leaving a sufficient margin for the errors of the translators as well as for the blunders in the original manuscripts of the text from which the translations were made.

Now, coming to the question of the title of our text, it is clear and admitted on all hands that it is composed in a Prakrit dialect, and, as will be shown anon, it is on the whole an original compilation, having some verses and ideas in common with other Dhammapada texts that are now known to us in Pāli, in Mixed Sanskrit or in Classical Sanskrit. It is this common substratum of the Dhammapada texts and the uniform dan and literary principle which they conform to where n

hes the justification of classing our text as a Dhanmapada Recension, although the fragments of the Kharosthi Manuscript

on which it is based, leave us in the dark about its title Further, we prefer to call it a 'Prakrit Dhammapada' inasmuch as the logical differentia of the text as a copy of the Dhammapada can be derived from its linguistic characterization.

. 5. Recensions and Copies of the Dhammapada.—In order to determine the place of our text in the history of the Buddhist literature it is essential that we should have a closer acquaintance with the various 'recensions' and 'copies', of the Dhammapada that are now extant. Strictly, we can speak only of four recensions, riz., the Pāli, the Prakrit, the Mixed Sanskiit and the Sanskrit, to which a fifth might be added, riz., the Fa-khen-king, which is a Chinese Recension in translation. The tour Indian recensions comprise not less than six copies of the Dhammapada and three commentaries incorporating the text.

(i) Pāli Dhammapada.—Of the existing copies of the Dhammapada this is the best known and most complete. We have several editions of it in Singhalese, Burmese, Siamese, Devanāgari, Roman and Bengali characters, of which the latest and best is the one published by the Pāli Text Society. The

excellence of this edition is in a large measure due to Fausboll's edition, so well-known to the students of Buddhist literature. Fausboll was perhaps the first to collect numerous references containing parallels from Buddhist works in Pāli, Prakrit, Mixed Sanskrit, Sanskrit and from a few important Brahmanical

works like the Manu, the Rāmāyaṇa and the Mahābhārata Fausböll occupies the foremost place alike as an editor and a Latin translator. But Max Müller was the first to translate it into English. We have another English translation of the text by James Gray, three German translations by Profs. Weber, Schröder and Neumann, and a French translation

Profs. Weber, Schröder and Neumann, and a French translation by M. Fernando Hû. The text contains 423 stanzas distributed into Li graph each of which a raised according to the main then e of its component verses. It represents a book of the

### ( viii )

Khuddakanıkāva of the Theravada (Sthaviravada) canon preseiv-

ed in Cevlon, Siam and Burma. There is a commentary which tradition attributes to Buddhaghosa, though, judging by its style, one cannot help doubting if Buddhaghosa was its real author. It is stated in the opening verses that the existing commentary in Pāli was based upon an older commentary in Singhalese and that the author undertook the work at the instance of a Thera Kumāra Kassapa (of Ceylon). The commentary itself consists of 26 chapters, each one of which appertains to a chapter of the text. One or more verses of a group are encased in a prose story setting forth the occasion on which the Buddha uttered the verse or verses. A prose exegesis forms a sequel to the moral verse or verses and is itself followed by an identification of the Buddha with the hero of the story if it happens to be an account of his previous birth, and by a statement of the psychological effect of the discourse on the mind of the hearer. Indeed, the method of the Dhammapada Commentary is precisely like that of the Jātaka Commentary, edited by Fausböll. We have three editions of the Dhammapada Commentary, one in Singhalese character, published in Ceylon, another in Roman, published by the Pali Text Society and a third in Devanagari, published by the Buddhist Text Society, the last one containing the text and the prose exegesis

(ii) Prakrit Dhammopada.—Of this copy we have preserved only one fragmentary manuscript in Kharoṣṭhī, found among the ruins of the Gośringa-vihāra, 13 miles from Khotan in the circle of Indian colonies. In the absence of a complete record it is impossible to say exactly how many chapters and verses the text contained. It is equally difficult to ascertain the arrangement of its chapters from detached plates and fragments on which M. Senart's edition is based. The chapters and verses, as they occur in our arrangement, are as follows:—

Order of Chapter	Name of Chapter	Number of Verses	
1	Magavaga	30	
2	Apramadaraga	25	
3	C tavaga	hap e	u complete)
4	Pugavaga	lo	

Order of Chapter	Name of Chapter	Number of Verses	
5	Sahasayaga	17	
6	Panitavaga or Dhamat	havaga 10	
7	Balavaga	7 (Chapter incomplete)	
8	Jaravaga	<b>2</b> 5	
9	Suhavaga	20 (Chapter almost complet	te)
10	Taşavaga	7 (Chapter incomplete)	
11 <b>°</b>	Bhikhuvaga	40	
12	Bramanavaga	50 (?)	
•	Total number	251	

We do not know if there is any Tibetan or Chinese translation of it. Its author's name is unknown. No information is yet forthcoming if any commentary was written on it. The utmost that we can say is that this copy of Dhammapada is compiled in a dialect of the Gandhāra region, having a close kinship, in orthography and other linguistic traits, with the dialects of Asoka's Rock edicts at Shahbazgarhi and Mansehra.

(iii) The Mixed Sanskrit Original of the Fa-kheu-king .-- It is stated in the preface of the Chinese translation, known as the Fa-kheu-king, that its original, consisting of verses and 22 chapters, was carried by Wai-chi-lan from India to China "in the third year of the reign of Hwang-wu (A D. 23)," and was translated into Chinese by the same Indian Shaman with the help of another Indian named Tsiang-im. 1 From a comparison of the Fu-kheu-king with the Pāli Dhammapada, Samuel Beal is led to suppose that "the original manuscript brought to China was the same as that known in Ceylon, the differences which occur between the two being attributable to special reasons existing at the time of the translation."2 sought to explain away the difference as to the total number of the verses,-423 of the Pali text and 500 of the original of the Fa-khen-king-by the assumption that "in the Buddhist calculation the next highest round number is frequently used to denote the exact number intended."3 We cannot surely venture to

Beals Dha pada, p 34.

dispute Mr. Beal's surmise until the original of the Chinese translation is discovered or an authentic tradition makes it known that the text used by the Chinese translator was other than the But we find it difficult to subscribe to his opinion when it is expressedly stated in the preface to the Chinese translation that the original consisted of 500 verses distributed into 26 chapters, and in the 'Memoirs of Eminent Priests' (Kdo-suri-kwhán. A. D. 519) that the original was a Sanskrit text. Remembering. moreover, that the original of the Fa-kheu-king is said to be a work of a Dharmatrata or Dharmaraksita, a name so famous in the tradition of the Sarvastivada sect of Buddhism, it does not seem improbable that the Indian text, a book of the Ksudraka-nikāya of the canon, was a Sarvāstivāda work. -We are, however, aware that such an opinion as this cannot be shown to harmonise with the account of the development of the entire Dhammapada literature. Reserving this important point for discussion in a separate section, we may do well to give, on the basis of Beal's study, a tabular statement of the chapters and verses composing the Pali Dhammapada and the Fa-kheu-king Original respectively with a view to facilitate comparison between the two.

	Mills of Alaskan	No of Verses			
	Title of Chapter	Pāli Dhammapada	Fa-kheu-king Original		
1.	Yamalavagga (Twin Verses)	20	22		
2.	Appamādavagga (Chapter on				
	Earnestness)	12	20		
3.	Cittavagga (Mind Verses)	11	12		
4.	Pupphavagga (Flower Verses) .	16	17		
5.	Bālavagga (Chapter on the Fool)	16	21		
6	Panditavagga (Chapter on the Wise)	14	17		
7.	Arahantavagga (Chapter on the				
	Arahant)	10	10		
8.	Sahassavagga (Number Verses)	16	16		
9.	Pépavagga (Chapter on Evil)	13	22		
10.	Dandavagga (Chapter on Punishment)	17	14		

	Charles of Charles	No of Verses			
	Title of Chapter	Pāli Dhammapada	Fa-kheu-king Original		
11	Jarāvagga (Chapter on Old Age) .	11	14		
12,	Attavagga (Chapter on elf) .	10	14		
13.	Lokavagga (Chapter on the World)	12	14		
14.	Rnddhavagga (Chapter on the Bud-				
	dha)	18	21		
15.	Sukhavagga (Chapter on Happiness)	12	14		
16.	Piyavagga (Chapter on the Agreeable)	12	12		
17.	Kodhavagga (Chapter on Anger)	14	26		
18.	Malavagga (Chapter on Impurity)	21	19		
19,	Dhammatthavagga (Chapter on the Just)	17	17		
20.	Maggavagga (Chapter on the Way)	17	28		
21.	Pakiṇṇakavagga (Miscellaneous Verses)	16	14		
22.	Nirayavagga (Chapter on Hell) .	14	16		
23.	Nāgavagga (Elephant Verses)	14	18		
24.	Taṇhāvagga (Chapter on Desire)	26	32		
25.	Bhikkhuvagga (Bhikkhu Verses)	23	32		
26.	Brāhmaņavagga (Brāhmaņa Verses)	41	40		
		<del></del>			
	Total number	423	502		

The Fa-kheu-king Original had a commentary of the Avadāna type, which was translated into Chinese "by two Shamans of the western Tsin dynasty (A.D. 265 to A.D. 313)" under the title of "Fa-kheu-pi-ü,—i.e. parables connected with the book of scriptural texts." With regard to this work Beal says: ".....it contains certain parables, or tales, connected with the verses which follow them, and which prompted their delivery.... The method adopted in this work is to give one or two tales, and a verse or more, as the Moral. The chapters are identical with the Fa-kheu-king—the only difference being that the verses or gathas are fewer—they are, in fact, only a selection from the whole to meet the requirements of the story preceding them. This arrangement is in agreement with the original design of the work. Buddhaghosha, we are told, gives for each verse a parable to illustrate the

meaning of the verse, and believed to have been uttered by Buddha in his intercourse with his disciples, or in preaching to the multitudes that came to hear him. And so here we have a tale for each verse, delivered by Buddha for the benefit of his disciples, or others. As to the character of these stories, some of them are puerile and uninteresting. But if I mistake not, they are of a description not opposed to the character of the age to which they are assigned by the Chinese "1. Beal's English version is made from the Chinese Fa kheu-pi-u, which is a commentarial selection from the Fa-kheu-king, differing from the Pāli commentary by the absence of prose exegesis.

(iv) Dharmapada quoted in the Maharastu.—A whole chapter, riz. the Sahasravarga, containing 24 stauzas, has been quoted in the Mahāvastu (III., pp. 434-36) expressly from a Dharmapada text ("dharmapadeşu sahasravargah," ibid, p. 434). Besides, m the same work a few consecutive verses, numbering not less than 15, have been cited apparently from the Bhiksuvarga of the same Dharmapada text. Here we may leave out of consideration the isolated verses which are quoted throughout the Mahavastu and of which the parallel can be traced in other copies of the Dhammapada. The Mahavastu edited by M. Senart professes to be a Mid-land Recension of the first Book of the Vinaya Pitaka and belongs to the Lokottaravada sect, an off-shoot of the Mahāsanghika.<sup>2</sup> The language of the Sahasravarga quoted has no claim to be called pure classical Sanskrit but deserves, on the other hand, to be just what M. Senart called Mixed Sanskrit. It remains to be seen if this copy of the Dhammapada, so much cherished in the Lokottaravāda or Ekavyavahārīka literature, can be identified with any one of the three copies of the Dhammapada (one with 500 verses, another with 750, and another with 900), which were known to the authors of the Fa-kheu-king (3rd cent. A.D.).3

Beal's Dhammapada, pp 25-26.

Mahāvastu, I., p. 2. "Āryamahāsanghikānām Lokottaravādinām Mahhvadesikānām pāṭhena Vinaya-pitakānām Mahāvastuye ādi". See also the st the ond.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>k</sup> Boal's Dhammapada, p. 33,

(v) The Fa-kheu-king, a Chinese Recension in Translation.— The Fa-kheu-king is, according to the Chinese translators' admission.1 not a faithful translation of the Indian text which the Shaman Wai-chi-lan carried from India to China in 223 A.D. It is to be regarded as more than a translation, because the Chinese translators had not only tampered with the number and distribution of the verses in the original, but added 13 new chapters in Chinese, making up a total of 39 chapters, 752 verses, and 14,580 words. Similar additions and alterations are also to be met with in the Chinese version of its commentary. the Fu-kheu-pi-u (A.D. 265-313), although in the absence of an English translation of the former, it is difficult for us to compare the text with the commentary and find out how far they agree or differ. It is clear from the Preface to the Fakheu-king that the Chinese translators were acquainted with three copies of the Dhammapada, -one with 900 verses, another with 700, and another with 500.2 The arrangement of the thirteen additional chapters with their titles and verse-numbers is shown in the following table:

		-				
		Title of Chapter				No. of Verses
	1.	Impermanence			••	21 -
	2.	Insight into Wisdom			**	29
	3.	The Srāvaka				19
	4.	Simple Faith		•••		18
	5.	Observance of Duty	• •	••		16
	6.	Reflection			••	12
	7.	Loving Kindness	••	***	**	19
	8.	Conversation	-		***	12
				•		
	33°.	Advantageous Service			***	20
			x (1) - x		,	
	36*.	Nirvāna	***		••	36
	<b>37.</b>	Birth and Death				18
_	38.	Profit of Religion	***		***	19
	39.	Good Fortune	-			19

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Beal's Dhammapada, p. 35.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Ibid, p. 33.

<sup>3</sup> Chapters 9-32 of the Fa-kheu-king correspond, with regard to arrangement of chapters, to Pili chapters 1 24-

d to Pall chapters 25-26 See aute pp x xi.

We may here point out that instead of one chapter containing reflections on Impermanence or Old Age, the Fa-khen-king. as appears from its commentary, contains two chapters with titles that can be restored in Sanskrit as anityavarga and jarāvarga Counterparts of most of the verses contained in these two chapters can be found in the Prakrit Jaravaga as well as in the first chapter of the Udanavarga, dealing with Impermanence. The last chapter of the Fa-khen-king is nothing but a Chinese translation of some Indian recension of the Mangalasutta. Similarly, chapter 58 appears to be a translation of some Indian Recension of the Mahāmangala-Jātaka. As to the remaining chapters, one cannot but be struck by a few chapters in the Udanavarga, bearing similar titles though not containing the same number and identical verses, e.g., the chapters dealing with Words, ('Speech' in the Udanavarga), Sravaka ('The Hearer' in the Udanav.). Thus it appears that the Fa-kheu-king is no mere translation of an Indian text, but a recension by itself in translation.

- (vi) The Sanskrit recensions:-
- (a) Original of the Chinese version of the Dhammapada the Chuh-yau-king.—Beal says that the incorporated in Chuh-yau-king is a third copy of the Chinese version of the Dhammapada which is so much expanded as to consist of 7 volumes, comprising 20 kiouen or books. The translation was made by Chu-fo-nien (or, Fo-nien = Buddhasmriti), the Indian who lived during the Yaou-tsin period, about 410 A D. "In the preface to this version we are told that Dharmatrāta was uncle of Vasumitra, and that he was the original compiler of the stanzas and stories known as Fá-kheu-king. It informs us, moreover, that the old term 'pi-u', i.e. Avadanas, was the same as 'the Dawn,'..... The preface goes on to state that Shaman Sanghbhadanga of Ki-pin (Cabul) came to Tchangan (Siganfu) about the nineteenth year of the period Kien-Yuen. Having travelled back to India and returned with a copy of the t was evidenty translated by Fonen with present work the ce of others the whole number of chapters in

this work is thirty-three, and that the last is, like the Pâli, on 'the Brâhmāna.' There are ample commentaries attached to many of the verses .."

Rockhill is inclined to identify the Dhammapada text in the Chuh-yau-king with the Udānavarga. "The Udānavarga," says he, "is found also in the Chinese tripitaka. The title of the work is there 'Chuh-yau-king,' or Nidâna sûtra.<sup>2</sup> It is also divided into thirty-three chapters, the titles of which agree with those of the Tibetan, with the following slight differences:—Chap. IV. is 'Absence of Careless Behaviour'; Chap. V. 'Reflection'; Chap. VI. 'Intelligence'; Chap. XXIX. 'The Twins (Yamaka)'. The contents of the two works, as far as has been ascertained, are identical. Both the Chinese and the Tibetan versions attribute the compilation of Udânavarga to Dharma-

Dr. Nanjio, on the other hand, notes: "In A. D. 383, there was a Srâmana of Ki-pin (Cabul) Sanghabhûti by name, who came to Khân-ân, the capital of the Former Tshin dynasty, A. D. 380-390 (bringing with him the Ms. of this work?) According to the K'-ynen-lu (fasc. 9, fol. 26 a), this work is wanting in Tibetan." Dr. Nanjio also says that the original was a Sanskrit text.

The Chuh-yau-king, as its title implies, is an avadāna-sūtra, i.e., a Dhammapada commentary rather than a Dhammapada text.

(b) The Udānararga, another Sanskrit Dhammapada.—This is another Dhammapada text in pure classical Sanskrit, of which a fragmentary manuscript in a later variety of the Gupta script has been found at Turfan. Prof. Pischel was the first to edit portions of this manuscript under the title 'Die Turfan-Recensionen des Dhammapada.' That this manuscript is

trâta."3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Beal's Dhammapada, pp. 27-29. Nanjio's Catalogue, No. 1321.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> According to Nanjio, the Sanskrit equivalent of the Chinese title is 'Avadāna-sātra'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Rockhill's Udânavarga, p. v.

<sup>\*</sup> Nanjio's Catalogue, see under No 1821

of a recension of the Dhammapada of which the Tibetan version has been translated by Rockhill under the name of 'Udânavarga', will be evident from the close agreement between the Sanskrit manuscript and the Tibetan version, in regard to the arrangement of chapters and the number of verses, as shown in the following table taken from Pischel's edition:

				_				
Pāli		Sanskrit			Tibetan			
	_		=	11	20	=	IJ	20
-	IVX	12	=	V	27	<b>=</b>	V	28
_			=	VIII	15	==	VIII	15
	XXI	16	=	XVI	24	=	XVI	23
	IIVX	14		$\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}$	22	=	$\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}$	21
	I	20	=	xxix	<b>57</b> (66[65])	=	XXIX	59
	xv	12	=	$\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}$	51 (52)	=	$\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}$	53
	ш	11	=	xxxI	60	=	IXXX	64

The Tibetan translation was made by Vidyāprabhākara probably, as Rockhill suggests, during the reign of King Ral-pa-chan (A.D. 817-842).1 There is a Tibetan version of its commentary, which was composed by Prajñāvarman, who lived in Kashmere in the 9th century A.D.2 There is a fourth or last copy of the Chinese version of a Sanskrit Dhammapada, known as the Få tsi Yao-kin (Dharmasangraha-maharthagāthā), compiled by Dharmatrāta, and translated by Thien-si-tsâi (A.D. 980-1001) of the later Sun dynasty (A.D. 960-1127.) According to Dr. Nanjio, it is a compilation of the verses of the Khu-yao-kin. Beal has nothing more to say regarding this Chinese version<sup>3</sup> than that the authorship of its original is assigned to Dharmatrata, and that it shows no resemblance to the earlier translation, i.e., to the Chu-yâo-king. Having regard to the fact that this Chinese version is almost synchronous with the Tibetan version of the Udanavarga, it remains to be seen if there is any closer similarity between their originals.

(vii) Miscellaneous.—There are a number of small collections of maxims or apopthegms 'conceived wholly in the spirit

<sup>1</sup> Udānavarga, Introd., pp. xi-xii.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Deed, p xm; of Throndtha, p. 204 (er)

Nanpo a e, No. 1439

of the Dhammapada'. Vasubandhu's Gāthūsangraha may be regarded as the prototype of the same. There are two Chinese versions of this Gathasangraha, besides two Tibetan versions, in one of which the text is reproduced with the commentary. The collection consists of just 24 stanzas, and what these stanzas are like can be ascertained from Rockhill's English translation of them, appended to his 'Udanavarga.' With regard to this Gāthāsangraha, Mr. Nariman says: "It is a collection of maxims with an intelligent commentary, excerpts from which have been cited by A. Schiefner . . . . the commentary shows us the philosopher Vasubandhu also as a humourous evangelist."1 Vasubandhu, who flourished in the 4th century A.D. is famous in the history of Buddhism not only as a compiler of a standard Sarvāstivāda work, the Abhidharma-koşa, but also as the writer of a standard manual of Yogācāra philosophy. But we must remember that the compilation of such a Gathasangraha was in no way peculiar to Vasubandhu, or new in Sarvāstivāda tradition of Vasubandhu's time. It appears from Takakusu's analysis of the Jñāna-prasthāna-śāstra (which is the most authoritative of the seven Abhidharma books of Sarvāstivāda, and dated 2nd century B.C.) that its closing section was a collection of similar maxims, composed in a Mlecchabhāṣā, say, Tāmil. Similar isolated collections of maxims can equally be traced within the four corners of the Pāli Nikāyas.

4. Chronology of the Dhammapada Texts.—The Pāli Dhammapada is one of the recognised books of the Khuddaka-Nıkāya which represents one of the five divisions of the existing Sutta Piṭaka. The oldest known Pāli work in which the Dhammapada is expressly referred to is the Milindapañho. The traditional date of this work is placed 500 years after Buddha's demise<sup>2</sup>, i.e., in 43 B.C., while Professor Rhys Davids places the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Literary History, p. 268.

<sup>&</sup>quot; Milmds, p 8

date a considerable time before Buddhaghosa. The Kathavatthu which according to tradition belongs to the 3rd century B.C. contains many quotations of verses, some of which can be found only in the Dhammapada, and not in any other canonical texts. but none of the sources of the quotations are mentioned. same remark holds true of the Nettipakarana and the Petakôpadesa, which like the Kathavatthu abound in quotations from the canonical works with this difference that in the former two works some of the sources are mentioned by name, although the verses there that are peculiar to the Dhammapada are quoted without any mention of their source. The Netti and the Petakôpadesa are the two companion works of exegetic type which are ascribed to Mahākaccāyana, the putative author of all the earlier works of the Nirutti and Niddesa class. Prof. E Hardy is inclined to place the composition of the Netti in the 1st century, A.D., but we have reason to believe that the date can be pushed back to the 2nd century B.C. The Mahāniddesa which is a canonical commentary on the Atthakavagga, now found incorporated in the Suttanipata and forming its Fourth Book has been modelled upon Mahākaceāyana's exposition found in the earlier Nikāyas,3 and is not wanting in similar quotations of verses which cannot be found anywhere else in the canon than the Dhammapada. But even an earlier work, the Cullaniddesa, which must have been a pre-Asokan book of exegesis, older than the Suttanipata and later than the canonical Jataka Book,4 contains similar quotations of verses not to be found in any other text than the Pali Dhammapada. Considering that the closing date of the Pali canon is not later than the 2nd century B.C., the latest date for the Mahaniddesa can not be later than the closing period of the canon. Further, in one of Buddhaghosa's commentaries, viz., the Sumangalavilāsinī, there is reference to two schools of enumeration, the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Questions of King Milinda, S. B. E , Pt. I. p. xxv.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Netti, p. xxvii.

<sup>\*</sup> Mahaniddess, p. 198.

Dighabhāṇaka and the Majjhimabhāṇaka, of the books of the Khuddakankāya, which, though different in some respects, agree in so far as they distinctly mention the Dhammapada among the books of the Lesser Collection. These two schools along with the Samyutta Anguttara and the Khuddakabhāṇakas point to a time earlier than the inscriptions at Bharhut which cannot be dated ealier than the 3rd century and later than the 2nd century B.C. The tradition that Appamādavagga was recited to king Asoka justifies the presumption that the Pāli Dhammapada existed in the 3rd century B.C. So much about the lower limit of the Pāli Dhammapada.

As regards the lower limit of other copies and recensions, we have seen that the Chinese Fa-kheu-king, dated A.D. 223, presupposes three different Dhammapada selections in Sanskrit · one, its Indian original, with 500 verses, another text with 700 verses, and a third with 900 (p. ix). It has already been shown (pp. x-xi) that the Fa-kheu-king original in Sanskrit was a text different from the Pali as regards language and number of verses, though agreeing with it in its general form, name, number and succession of chapters, Again, the agreement of the Prakrit Dhammapada with the Pāli and the Fa-kheu-king original in respect of the number of verses and succession of chapters is by far closer than that of the Udanavarga (pp. viii-ix). But there are a number of verses in the Prakrit Dhammapada, notably the 'Uraga' verses at the end of the chapter on the Bhiksu and some in the Sahasa and Jara Vagas, which are to be found neither in the Pali nor in the original of the Fakheu-king, but occur in the Udanavarga in the chapters on Bhiksu, Number and Impermanency. The Prakrit Dhammapada stands distinct from the rest in one respect, viz., that its first chapter is devoted to Marga-the Buddhist Eightfold Path, combining into one group two separate chapters of the Pal as we as of the original of the Fa-kheu king vis the Magga and the Pakintaka (Miscellaneous The first eight of

the additional chapters of the Fa-kheu-king have their counterparts in the Udanavarga, as will be clear from the following table:—

Fa-khen-king					Reckhill's Udānavarga			
Сb	I	Impermanency	7 .,	***	Oh.	I Impermanency		
>,	11	Insight into w	isdom		<del>)</del> 1	IV Pority		
,,	111	The Disciple	***		"	XI The Sramaņa		
13	IV	Simple Faith			77	X Faith		
27	V	Moral Duties	***		,.	VI Morality (śīla)		
32	VΙ	Reflection			,,	XV Reflection (Smriti)		
22	VII	Words			,,	VI Speech		
#3	VIII	Nîrvāņa			55	XXVI Nirvana		

These additional chapters of the Fa-kheu-king force us to look for an earlier Dhammapada text other than the Pāli, its Indian original and the Prakrit, and we are driven in the last resort to trace their immediate background to one of the two Dhammapadas with 700 and 900 verses, known in 223 A.D. to Wai-chi-lan, the author of the Fa-kheu-king. must be one closely resembling the Udanavarga, if not identical with it. It is the text portion of the original of the Chuh-yau-king which alone can satisfy this test. reason to believe that the text portion was extant before the time of Wai-chi-lan, i.e., roughly speaking, before the 31d century A.D. For the Chuh-yau-king which is a Chinese version, dated 383 A.D., presupposes an Indian commentary in Sanskrit that in its turn presupposes an earlier work, i.e., the Sanskrit text comprising 33 chapters similar to those of the Udānavarga. Here we have got to make allowance not only for the interval of time separating the Chinese version from its original, i.e., the Sanskrit commentary, but also for another interval separating the latter from a still earlier work, which is no other than the Sanskrit text. In ascertaining the latter interval one must also consider that before the commentary was written, the text must have enjoyed some amount of popularity and made its importance sufficiently felt in the community At any rate, the interval of just 160 years (\$88 A.D.-223 A.D.) is the shortest possible time which is needed to make the text earlier than Wai-chi-lan's visit to China.

Even if this surmise as to the possibility of Wai-chi-lan's acquaintance with the Sanskrit text portion of the Chuh-yau-king be correct (as we believe it is), one must yet enquire whether the total number of its verses justifies its identification with the text with 900 verses above referred to. Unfortunately,

as we are informed by our colleague Mr. R. Kimura, the task of ascertaining the total number of verses in it is far from easy for the simple reason that the verses in the existing Chinese edition are not numbered, nor properly kept distinct from one another. But counting the verses in so far as they

are separated by the commentary portion intervening in each chapter, he finds himself in a position to assure us that whatever the exact total, it certainly exceeds 900, though it is by no means over 1000. If so, of the two texts with 700 and 900 verses, known to Wai-chi-lan, the latter must be said to approximate the text portion of the Chuli-yau-king.

Now, arguing from the close resemblance which exists between the text portion of the Chuh-yau-king and the Udānavarga, so far as is known to us in its entirety-through Rockhill's translation from the Tibetan, we can accept the information supplied by Mr. R. Kimura regarding the total in the former as correct. For, although the latter is dated 982-1000 A.D., the total in it does not exceed 989 verses, or, 1000 in round

numbers. But it must be noted that the total in Rockhill's Udānavarga cannot be regarded as a precisely correct number, and that for three reasons: (i) that there is a slight difference as to the number of verses in almost each chapter common to Pischel's edition of the manuscript fragments of the Udānavarga and Rockhill's translation of the Tibetan version of the same text; (ii) that at least in two instances we notice that a verse which would be counted as one in the Pāli Dhammapada, has been counted as two (cf. Rockhill, III, vv. 12-13, XXIII.

which would be counted as one in the Pali Dhammapada, has been counted as two (cf. Rockhill, III. vv. 12-13, XXIII, vv 82-83) (111) that there are in it a few repet tions of which mx have been noticed by Rockhill Thus its total 989 can

be reduced to 981 [989—(2+6)]. How far this process of reduction can preceed in the text itself it is difficult to say. There are no doubt a number of mechanical multiplication of verses which has practically no raison d'stre, and has a marked tendency to swell up the volume without bringing out any new idea. We have no right to deduct the verses thus multiplied from the Udānavarga, but must on the other hand count them as they occur in it. General reduction is however possible in relation to earlier texts, if any, where certain verses forming a sub-group in a chapter of the Udānavarga are found less in number. The case in hand could be fairly proved, if by the process of reduction, just mentioned, the total 981 could be further reduced to a number approximating 900.

Dr. Nanjio says that the fourth or last Chinese version of the Dhammapada, the Fâ-tsi-suñ-yâo-kiñ, dated A.D. 982-1000, is no other than the text portion of the Chuh-vau-king (p. xvi). But from a comparison of a few chapters of the two Chinese versions with the kind help of our friend Mr. R. Kimura, we are constrained to admit some differences between them, though we can only so far readily concede to Dr. Nanjio that they show a general agreement in many respects. Remembering that a similar agreement can also be shown to exist between the text portion of the Chuh-yau-king and ' Rockhill's Udanavarga, as well as judging by the dates of the Tibetan version and the fourth Chinese version, we may be justified in holding that their originals were the same, making due allowance for slight variation as may exist between two manuscripts of one and the same text. With regard to the date of the Sanskrit Udanavarga, one can definitely say that it was compiled some time before the time of its commentator Prajnavarman, who lived in the 9th century A.D., while this much is certain as regards the text portion of the Chuh-yau-king that it was extant before the 3rd century of the Christian era. Not knowing any other copy of the Dhammapada than one with 900 verses which Wai-chi-lan could avail himself of in adding a few chapters resembing those in the Udanavarga, we

are naturally tempted to identify the same with the text portion of the original of the Chuh-yau-king, and we are confident that we shall not be found far too wrong in doing so.

Turning to the copy of the Dhammapada with 700 verses, known to Wai-chi-lan, we at once see that it was different from the Pali with 423 verses, the Fa-kheu-king original with 500 verses and the text portion of the Chuh-yau-king just identified with the text with 900 verses. Thus only two texts are left to be examined, viz., the Prakrit and the Dhammapada in Mixed Sanskrit of which a complete chapter has been quoted in the Mahāvastu (p. xii ). As regards the Prakrit Dhammapada, it is impossible for us, in the absence of a complete manuscript thereof or of a tradition supplying a definite information about it, to say exactly how many verses it altogether contained. But judging by the proportion of verses in the chapters common to the three recensions, viz., the Pali, the Fa-kheu-king original and the Prakrit, we feel inclined to think that the total of the Prakrit verses stood midway between 500 and 700. The following table will make our position clear :---

Pali		$\widehat{u_{i}}$ Original of $\widehat{u_{i}}$ a -kheu-king				
II 12		***	II 20		•	II 25
IV 16			1V 17	, ,	***	IV 15
VI 14			VI 17			VI 10
VIII 16	***		VIII 16	***	***	V 17
XI 11	••		XI 14	***	111	VIII 25
XV 12			XV 14		.,	IX 20
XX 17	***	•••	XX 28			I 30
XV 28	***		XV 32	•••		XI 40
XVI 4t			XVI 40	***		XII 50
Total 162		Total	198		Total	232

Bearing in mind that the total number of verses in the Pāli Dhammapada is 423 and that in the Fa-kheu king original 500 we can test whether the above three totals are in keeping with the ratio of 500: 423.

- (1)  $\frac{423 \times 198}{162} = 517$  which roughly gives the total verse-number of the Fa-kheu-king original.
- (2) \(\frac{4\cdot 2\cdot 8\cdot 2\cdot 2\cd

The totals obtained (Pa. 423; F. O. 517; Pr. 606) might be further tested by a standard ratio provided by the verse-numbers of the Suhasra-group in the 5 recensions mentioned in the sub-joined table:—

Pāli	Fa-kheu-king original	Prakrit	Dhammapada quoted in the Mahāvastn	Udānavarga
16	16	17	24	34

Taking in succession the total of the Pāli text and that of the Fa-kheu-king original as the standard number, the relative position of the recensions will appear as follows:—

(1) 
$$\frac{423\times17}{16}$$
 =  $440\frac{7}{16}$ , i.e., 450 (Pr.);  $\frac{498\times24}{16}$  =  $684\frac{1}{2}$ , i.e., 635 (M. V. D.);  $\frac{498\times34}{16}$  =  $898\frac{7}{8}$ , i.e., 899 (°C. V.).

(2)  $\frac{50.0 \times 17}{18} = 531\frac{1}{4}$ , i.e., 532 ( $^{\circ}$ r.);  $\frac{50.0 \times 54}{16} = 750$  (M. V. D.);  $\frac{50.0 \times 54}{18} = 1062\frac{1}{2}$ , i.e., 1063 (U. V.).

Comparing these two series of totals and taking all the recensions of the Dhammapada into consideration, we cannot but persuade ourselves to believe that there were no less than six Indian copies of the Dhammapada with 423, 500, 600, 700, 900 and 1000 verses, and that these copies are no other than those represented respectively by the Pali, the Fa-kheuking original, the Prakrit, the Mahāvastu Dhammapada the text portion of the Chuh-yau-king and the Udānavarga. Of these copies, the first five were well-known before the 3rd century A.D., while the date of the Udānavarga falls in between the 4th and the 9th century A.D. Applying the verse-total as a test of prierry and posteriority we fee justified in concluding

that the Pāli with the minimum total 423 is the oldest copy of the Dhammapada and the Udānavarga with the maximum total 1000 (in round numbers) the latest. The copy which stands close to the Pāli is the Sanskrit original of the Fa-kheu-king; the copy which is one degree removed from the latter is the Prakrit, while the Mahāvastu Dhammapada and the Sanskrit original of the text portion of the Chuh-yau-king occupy in order two intermediate positions between the Prakrit text and the Udānavarga. This chronology cannot, however, be taken to be conclusive until it is further tested in the light of other evidences and harmonised with the general history of Buddhist literature and thought. We propose to examine these evidences under the following heads:

- (") argument from the number and succession of chapters.;
- (b) argument from the multiplication of particular verses;
- (c) argument from traditions.
- (a) Argument from the number and succession of chapters-The four Indian copies of the Dhammapada of which the stables of contents are definitely known to us fall into two pairs, each showing a complete agreement in regard to the number and succession of chapters: (1) the Pali and the Fa-kben-king original containing altogether 26 chapters, and (2) the text portion of the original of the Chuh-yau-king and the Udanavarga containing 33. All these copies agree in so far as the succession of two closing chapters is concerned. For in each of them the last chapter is the Brahmanavagga and the last but one is the chapter on the Bhikkhu. Further, they must be said to resemble one another, inasmuch as they have many chapters bearing same titles. The two pairs differ, however, widely from each other regarding the order of succession of the remaining chapters, particularly that of the first three. As regards the first pair of texts,

their first three chapters are arranged in the followin order:-

- i. Yamakavagga forming the 29th chapter in th 2nd pair.
- 2. Appamadavagga forming the 4th chapter.
- 3. Cittavagga forming the 31st chapter.

On the other hand, the first three chapters in the second pair of texts are arranged as shown below:—

- Anityavarga corresponding with the Jarāvagga the 11th chapter in the 1st pair.
- Kāmavarga having its counterpart in the Piyavagga—the 16th chapter of the 1st pair.
- 3. Tṛṣṇāvarga being an amplified version of the Taṇhāvagga of the 1st pair, placed immediately before the Bhikkhu.

It is impossible to make a definite statement regarding the number and succession of chapters in the Prakrit text and in the Mahavastu Dhammapada, though we are on a somewhat surer ground as regards the former work. As we have already noticed, the Prakrit Dhammapada shows a far closer kinship in its general form with the 1st pair of texts than it does with the 2nd pair, particularly the arrangement of three chapters' in it, viz., 2-4, is exactly on a par with that in the Pali and in the Fa-kheu-king original. Moreover, whatever the precise succession of the Tasavaga, the Bhikhu and the Bramana in it, M. Senart's Fac-simile of the Plate B of the Kharosthi Ms. goes to prove that they are closely bound up in thought as in the texts of the 1st pair. Arguing from the arrangement of the 1st three chapters, the two pairs of texts can be shown to represent two distinct periods of literary growth within Buddhism, the earlier period being represented by the Pali Dhammapada and the Fa-kheu-king original. For the sequence of thought in the first three chapters of these two texts is so much in line with that in a Chandogya passage that one can not but think the former was merely a systematic carrying

out of the latter. In other words, the sequence can be regarded as a proof of these Dhammapada texts standing close to the Chandogya Upanişad and representing an earlier stage of Buddhism. The first chapter of Twin-verses (Yamakavagga) teaches that mana in the sense of cet na-volition or intentionis psychologically the motive for action and determines its moral effect according as the intention of the agent is good or bad. It is followed by a chapter on 'Earnestness' (Appamidavagga) which inculcates the necessity of an active exercise of the will or religious aspiration for the attainment of the highest good which is the quintessence of the older Indian conception of faith (saddha). This naturally leads to another chapter, the Cittavagga, where the nature of mind, as commonly known, is described in order to bring out the idea that the necessity of constant striving, mindfulness and selfcontrol implied in 'earnestness' arises from the very constitution of mind. How this trend of thought was auticipated in the Chandogya passage will be clear from the quotations below :-

1 (a) "Mano vāva vāco bhūyo..... vācam ca nāma ca mano' nubhavati—sa yadā manasā manasyati mantrān adhīyīyeti, athādhīte karmāņi kurvīteti, atha kurute putrāmsca pasūmsca iecheyeti, athēcehata imam ca lokam amum ca iecheyeti, athēcehate mano."

(Chāndogya, VII. 3. 1.)

(b) "Manopubbangamā dhammā manoseṭṭhā manomayā, manasā ce paduṭṭhena bhāsati vā karoti vā, tato nam dukkham anveti cakkam va bahato padam. Manopubbangamā dhammā manoseṭṭhā manomayā manasā ce pasaunena bhāsati vā karoti vā tato nam sukham anveti chāyā va anapāyinī."

(Dhp. L vv. 1-2.)

II (a) "Samkalpo vāva manaso bhūyān, yadā vai samkalpayate atha manasyati, atha vācam īrayati, tāmu nāmnīrayati, nāmni mantrā ekam bhavanti, mantreşu karmāni...... Sa yah samkalpam brahmēti upāste, kliptānvai sa lokān dhruvān dhruvah pratishhitān."

(Chandogya, VII 4 1-3)

(b) "Appamādo amatapadam, pamādo maccuno padam, appamattā na mīyantī, ye pamattā yathā matā.

(Dhp., II. v 1)

III (a) "Cittam vāva samkalpād bhūyo, yadā vai cetavate atha samkalpayate, atha manasyati, atha vacan īrayati, tāmu nāmnîrayati, nāmni mantrā bhavanti, mantreşu karmāņi.''

(Chāndogya, VII. 5,1)

(b) "Na tam mātāpātā kayirā aññe vâpi ca ñātākā Sammāpanihītam cittam seyyaso nam tato kare."

(Dhp. III. v. 11)

This sequence of thought is entirely lost sight of in the second pair of texts-the text portion of the Chuh-y u-king original and the Udanavarga—the first three chapters of which deal respectively with impermanence, vanity of human wishes and desire. The trend of thought is that when a man reflects upon the fact of impermanence all around, he cannot but realise the vanity of human wishes and discover its root in a natural craving for pleasure and enjoyment. Thus the two pairs of texts present a radical change in tone from the optimism of earlier thought to the ascetic or pessimistic outlook of later reflection. But was this change sudden or it came about gradually? The Prakrit Dhammapada bears out the fact that the change did not come about surreptitiously. As we have already noticed above, its first chapter is devoted to the praise of the Buddhist Eight-fold Path, while the succession of the following two chapters is the same as that of the Pali. of thought implied as that Nirvana or the Supreme goal of Buddhism is reachable by the Eight-fold Path which is but a symbol of 'earnestness' which aims at complete control over mind. Viewed in this light, the Mahavastu Dhammapada seems to fall in line with the Prakrit text, though nothing can be definitely stated regarding the former work. If, however, this surmise is found to be correct, the six copies will fall into three ting three successive periods or stages of iterary qer aneq

growth. A happy result of such a classification will be that it will enable us to form a definite idea about the contents of all the Dhammapada texts by the aid of those which are now accessible to us. If we know the Pāli Dhammapada, we are expected to know almost the whole of the Fa-kheu-king original; a knowledge of the Prakrit text will help us in knowing the contents of the Mahāvastu Dhammapada; lastly, if we have read the Udānavarga, we have really known the whole of the text portion of the Chuh-yau-king original.

In order to justify the chronology of the three pairs we must enquire as to whether or no the Prakrit text serves as a link of transition between the Pāli and the Udānavarga as regards the multiplication of the number of chapters. The following investigation will make it clear that it does serve as a connecting link. It is a curious fact that the additional chapters of the Fa-kheu-king, i.e., the chapters which were added by the Chinese translators to the translation of a text of 26 chapters similar to the Pāli, presuppose a Buddhist anthology like the Pāli Suttanipāta as the subjoined table will set forth:

	77 - 1 ( 1-1		a	II . *-91-
	Fa-kheu-king		8	uttanipāta.
Sec.	I Impermanency		. II	I 9 Salla Sutta
,,	II Insight into Wisd	om	, I	I 10 Uțțhāna Sutta
,,	III The Disciple		:	I 5 Cunda Sutta
,,	IV Simple Faith	•	•	I 10 Ålavaka Sutta
,,	VII Love .	•	***	I 8 Metta Sutta
45	VIII Words		. 11	l 3 Subhāsita Sutta
	XXXIX Good Fortune		. 1	I 4 Mahāmangala Sutta

In the same way we can account for the additional chapters in the Udānavarga and *u posteriori* for those in the text portion of the Chuh-yau-king original. If we scan their additional chapters, we at once discover that they are modelled upon certain poems of a work sim ar to the Sutta N pata, and that so far as the r component verses are concerned they are nothing

but a combination of a Sutta Nipata, a Dhammapada and a Jātaka Book. We subjoin a table in illustration of the point:—

		1		
U dānavarga		Other texts		
Chap.	I Impermanency	Salla Sutta (S. N. III. 9) + Dararatha Jñtaka + Mugapakkha Jātaķa + Jarā- vagga (Dhp.)		
17	II Kāma .	Kāmasutta (S. N. IV 1) + Kāma Jātaka + Piyavagga (Dhp.)		
"	VIII Speech	. Subhāsita Sutta (S. N. III. 3) + Kokā. liya Sutta (S. N. III. 10) + Puppha- vagga (Dhp ) + Nirayavagga (Dhp )		
,, X	XXII Bhikşu	Uraga Sutta (S. N. I. I) + Bhikkhu- vagga (Dhp.)		

Similarly the Sutta Nipāta and the Jātaka Book can be pointed out as canonical sources of most of the additional verses in Prakrit, e.g., the additional verses in the Bhikhuvaga are similar to those in the Uraga Sutta (S. N. I.,), while those in the Jaravaga presuppose the Salla Sutta (S. W. III. 9) and such Jātakas as the Dasaratha, the Ayoghara and the Mugapakkha.

The Pali Dhammapada (and a pasteriori the Fa-kheu-king original) differs from the Prakrit text and the Udanavarga, inasmuch as it does not contain a single verse of which the canonical source is no other than the Sutta Nipāta as we now have it. For instance, its Brahmanavagga is mainly constituted of verses from the Väsettha Sutta which is incorporated not only in the Sutta Nipāta, but also ir the Majjhima Nikāya. The Nagavagga contains a few verses which can be traced in the Khaggavisanasutta, but seeing that this particular sutta has been commented upon in the Cullaniddesa along with the poems of the Parayana Group, one may be justified in thinking that it existed as a separate poem before its incoporation in the 1st book of the Sutta Nipāta. At any rate, as we proceed from the Pāli Dhammapada towards the Udānavarga, it becomes increasingly clear that the Sutta Nipāta came to occupy a more and more prominent place in the later texts

- (b) Argument from the vultiplication of particular verses:—Besides the common verses, each copy of the Dhammapada contains some that were evidently drawn upon canonical sources, left untouched by the compilers of other copies. Further, each copy contains a number of verses peculiar to itself, and these, in the absence of evidence proving the contrary, must be regarded as compositions of its compiler. In discussing the question of chronology we have to leave out of consideration the verses that were either newly added or composed on a new model, because chronological data can be derived only from those verses which were multiplied, rather mechanically, on a common basis. The process of multiplication just referred to is twofold: (I) the insertion within one original verse or group some new lines constructed out of some set Buddhist expressions, and (2) the substitution of new expressions. Three instances may suffice to illustrate the first process:-
  - I Pali—Mā pamādam anuyuñjetha mā kāmaratisanthavam Appamatto hi jhāyanto pappoti paramam sukham.

Prakrit—apramadi pramodia ma gami ratisabhamu apramato hi jhayatu chaya dukhasa pramuni () apramadarata bhoda khano yu ma uvacai khanatita hi soyati niraesu samapita () apramadarata bhoda sadhami supravedite drugha udhyaradha atmana pagasana va kuñaru () nai kalu pramadasa aprati asavachaye pramata duhu amoti siha ba muyamatia () nai pramadasamayu aprati asavachayi apramato hi jhayatu pranoti paramu suhu ()

One must note how the counterpart of one Pali verse has been multiplied in Prakrit to five by the insertion of 8 extra lines. But a more apposite instance is afforded by the second set of verses (p. 208)—

II Pāli—Yānimani apatthāni alāpuneva sārade Kāpotakām atthīn tam disvāna ka rati ? that the Chinese versions, as confessed by the translators themselves, are far from being faithful1: (ii) that as appears from Beal's translation of the Fa-kheu-pi-u, some of the verses of the Pāli Jarāvagga are hopelessly confused and the order of some has been violently tampered with.2 It is quite likely that the order was tampered with even in the original commentary. number of 'Jara'-verses in the Fa-kheu-king is said to have been 14, i.e., 3 in excess of the Pali, while comparing Beal's translation with the Pāli Jarāvagga, we suspect that the right number is not 14, but 12, i.e., just 1 in excess of the Pāli. total number of verses in the Fa-kheu-king original was, according to the translators' own statement, 500, whereas we have seen on p. xi that by adding up the additional number of verses as distributed in the chapters corresponding to the Pali we get a total of 502. If the above calculation of the 'Jara'-verses be correct, we at once get the traditional total of 500 by subtracting 2 from 502. The total number 12 of the verses of the Jara-group can be accounted for by the fact that the one verse resembling the Pāli was expanded into two distinct verses. If we can rightly suppose that the Divyavadana verses were quotations (perhaps a little more sanskritised form of quotations) from an older Avadana work such as the original of the Fa-Kheu-pi-u, the order of the verses must have been inverted in the older work itself, and this conjecture is fully borne out by the grouping in the Chinese translation The fact of inversion itself requires a word of explanation. One must admit that it

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Beal's Dhammapada, p. 34: ".....the words of Buddha are naturally hard of explanation. Moreover, all the literature of the religion is written in the language of India, which widely differs from that of China......So to translate them faithfully is not an easy task". (Translators' Preface).

The order of first 3 verses is exactly the same as in Päli. The 4th verse is a combination of the first foot of the Päli verse. No. 6 and the counterpart of averse like the first in Prakrit. The order of the next 3 verses does not differ from that of the Päli. The next 2 verses correspond with the 10th and 11th of the Päli. The verse No. 10 is nothing but a counterpart of the second. Prakrit v. The last 2 copond with the Päk, Nos. 8 and 9

presupposes an earlier process of multiplication and improvement on an older verse similar to the Pali. We have seen that the Prakrit verses amply attest and illustrate the required process. Two distinct verses resulted from an attempt to expand the ideas of the two Pali lines, taken separately, thereby improving the simile of the pumpkins and the decaying bones in the first line (pp. 209-210). Even then, the direct source of the Prakrit verses would not be fully determined by the model of the Pāli verse. For there are very many dialectical forms which have a tinge of Mixed Sanskrit. At the same time we cannot hold that the Prakrit had drawn upon the Divyavadana and the Avadana original of the Fa-kheu-pi-u, as in these two works the order of the two verses, betraying a process of their growth from one verse by thrusting two lines into it, has been inverted. Failing to obtain the much-needed order in Mixed Sanskrit in these two works, one must in the last resort look for it in the Fa-kheu-king original. The Udanavarga verse seems to represent a stage later than the inversion of the verse-order, that is to say, later than the Fa-kheu-pi-u original and the Divyavadana verses. Seeing that the Udanavarga verse occurs in the same form in Vasubandhu's Gāthāsangraha, itself but a selection from a Dhammapada in Classical Sanskrit, we are led to think that the verse similarly occurs in the original of the text portion of the Chuh-yau-king (the Sanskrit Dhammapada with 900 verses).

Thus the entire process of multiplication and reduction suggests the following links of change and points of enquiry: (1) the origin of a Mixed Sanskrit counterpart of the Pali verse, (2) the manipulation of two distinct verses in Mixed Sanskrit by thrusting two new lines into one original verse, (3) the transliteration of these verses into Prakrit, (4) the inversion of their order in Mixed Sanskrit, (5) the further Sanskritisation of the verses in their inverted order, (6) the inclusion of the Classical Sanskrit form of the first verse in inverted order, (7) the quotation of it in Vasubandhu's Gāthāsangraha, and (8) in the Udānayarga If thus be

applied as a test of chronology, the Pāli Dhammapada will appear to be older than the Fa-kheu-king original in Mixed Sanskrit, the latter than the Prakrit text, the Prakrit text than the Avadāna original of the Fa-kheu-pi-u, the Avadāna original than the Divyāvadāna verses, these verses than the Sanskrit text with 900 verses, this Sanskrit text than Vasubandhu's Gāthāsangraha, and the Gāthāsangraha than the Udānavarga which is the latest copy of the Dhammapada. The chronological position of the Mahāvastu Dhammapada in Mixed Sanskrit, with the text of 700 verses, remains yet to be ascertained. Now we shall examine the third instance.

III Pāli—yo ca vassasatam jantu aggim paricare vane Ekanca bhāvitattānam muhuttam api pūjaye Sā yeva pūjanā seyyo yan ce vassasatam hutam.

Prakrit—ya ja vaṣasata jatu agi pariyare vane chirena sapitelena divaratra atadrito () eka ji bhavitatmana muhuta viva puae sameva puyana ṣebha ya ji vaṣasata huta ()

Fa-kheu-pi-u—"If a man lives a hundred years, and engages the (Se VI Metta, whole of his time and attention in religious offer-p. 71). ings to the gods, sacrificing elephants and horses, and other things, all this is not equal to one act of pure love in saving life."

Mahāvastu—Yo ca varṣaśatam jīve agniparicaram caret

Dhammapada Patrāhāro chavāvāsī karonto vividham tapam

Yo caikam bhāvitatmānam muhūrtamapi pūjayet

Sā eka pūjanā śreyo na ca varṣaṣatam hutam.

Udanavarga—"If a man live for a hundred years in forest, wholly relying on fire (Agni), and if he but for one single ent pays homage to a who m on the self this homage is greater than for

complete verses in Prakrit as well as in the Fa-kheu-pi-u and the Mahavastu Dhammapada. The two verses seem to have been constructed out of one older verse of three lines by thursting one extra line within its first two lines. The added line differs in each case as regards its expressions. But seeing that the verse occurs in one of its additional chapters, we have reason to think that the model presupposed, in this particular case, by the Prakrit text, is rather the Mahavastu Dhammapada or the text with 700 verses which was commonly used by the people, according to the Chinese translators' statement, in their time. If so, the Prakrit text must be taken to be later in point of date than and a combination of the Fa-kheuking original and the Mahavastu Dhammapada. Now let us examine the second process of multiplication in order to see whether any fresh light could be thrown on the point at issue.

The second process differs from the first by the fact that it has served to multiply the common verses by the substitution of certain set Buddhist expressions as well as by the construction of a new group of verses on the model of an older one. Its historical importance mainly consists in bringing into prominence some moral qualities or virtues implied in an older verse or in a group of verses, thereby setting forth a greater and greater analytical faculty and power of manipulation exercised by the later compilers. In illustration of it, we can first examine the famous 'Supraudhu'-group (p. 105-6). We notice that the Pāli group consists of six verses and the same is the case with the Fa-kheu-king original as can be inferred from Beal's translation of its commentary (Sec. III. "Srāvaka", pp. 64-55).

The Prakrit group, as it now survives, is an exact counterpart of the Pali, but 17 verses being absent from the existing Kharosthi Ms. immediately after the sixth verse, it is difficult to asserting the number of verses contained in the whole group Having regard to the fact that the group in the Udanavaron

that are common, it is natural to think that multiplication of the number passed through some intermediate stages, and it is clear from the arrangement of the Udānavarga that these stages were no less than four. Probably in the first two stages, the number was multiplied by constructing some additional verses within the original group, and in the third stage some additional verses were constructed by the substitution of one or two synonyms of Nirvāṇa, while in the Udānavarga a few more synonyms came to be substituted.

Turning to the Number-group (Sahasavaga) (p. 154-6.), we detect that it comprises some four sub-groups, typified by the following four catch-words indicated below by their Pāli forms:

- (1) Sahassam api ce vācā,
- (ii) Māse māse sahassena,
- (iii) Yo ca vassasatam jīve,
- aud (iv) Yo ca vassasatam jantu.

We have seen (p. xxiv.) that there is a complete agreement as regards the number of verses in the Number-group between the Pali Dhammapada and the Fa-kheu-king original, that the Prakrit chapter contains 17 verses, the Mahavastu Dhammapada 24 and the Udānavarga chapter 34. In the absence of a faithful translation of the Fa-kheu-king original, it is impossible to state as to what verses were really contained in its Number-group. Beal's translation of the Fa-kheu-pi-u shows that the chapter in the Chinese version contains a few verses of the second subgroup, specified above, of which the counterparts are met with in all the copies except the Pali. The comparison of the Prakrit verses with those in the Mahāvastu Dhammapada reveals a very close agreement between them. Considering that some of the verses of this sub-group occur in one of the additional chapters of the Fa-kheu-pi-u, we cannot but suppose that they were derived from a text other than its original The Pah text supplies a counterpart of the first line

of each of these verses and that of the second line of one verse in Prakrit:

- (i) Māse māse sahassena yo yajetha satam samam.

  (Sahassav., v. 7, 1st line). \( \text{Y}'' \)
- (ii) Māse māse kusaggena bālo bhuñjetha bhojanam,
   Na so sankhatadhammānam kalam agghati solásim.
   (Bālav., v. 11, note 2nd line)

Judging by the arrangement of the Prakrit verses (6-16), we at once perceive that the multiplication passed through no less than two intermediate stages, in the first of which the number multiplied by the first process and in the second by the second.

The chapter on the Bhikkhu contains a sub-group which will seem highly important in determining the relative position of the Dhammapada texts. We quote it below with a view to facilitating comparison:—

Pāli—Mettīvihārī yo bhikkhu pasanno buddhāsasane
Adhigacche padam sāntam sankhārūpasamam sukham
Pāmojjabahulo bhikkhu pasanno buddhasāsane
Adhigacche padam sāntam sankhārūpasamam
sukham.

Prakrit—Metravihari yo bhikhu prasanu budhasasane tunati pavaka dharma drumapatra ba marutu ()
Metravihari yo bhikhu prasanu budhasasani padivijhu pada sata sagharavosamu suha ()
Udagacitu yo bhikhu abhivuyu priapria adhikachi padasata akavurusasevita ()
Pramojabahula yo bhikhu abhivuyu priapria adhikachi padasata aseyane ya moyaka ()

Mahāvastu—Maitravihārī yo bhikṣu prasanno buddhaśāsane
Dhammapada Adhigacchati padam śāntam asecanam ca mocanam.
Karuṇāvihārī yo bhikṣu prasanno buddhaśāsane
Adhigacchati padam śāntam apṛthagjanasevitam.
Muditāvihārī yo bhikṣu b ne
Adhigacchati padam śāntam akap vitam

Upekṣāvihārī yo bhikṣu prasanno buddhaśāsane Adhigacehati padam śāntam nirvāṇam padam

acyuta**m**.

Udagracitto sumano abhibhuyya priyapriyam Tato pramodyabahulo bhikṣu nirvāṇasantike.

-Maitravihārī yo bhikṣuḥ prasanno bnddhaśāsane Adhıgacchet padam śāntam samskārôpaśamam śivam. Maitravihārī yo bhikṣuḥ prasanno buddhaśāsane Adhigacchet padam śāntam asecanaka mocanam.

Pramodyabahulo bhik uḥ duḥkhakṣayam avāpnuyāt.

-"The Bhixu who is kind, who has perfect faith in the teaching of the Buddha, will find the perfection of peace (amatam padam), of which one can never be weary."

"The Bhixu who is kind, who has perfect faith in the teaching of the Buddha, will find the perfection of peace, the peace from the Samskara (body)."

"The Bhixu who is kind, who has perfect faith in the teaching of the Buddha, will arrive by degrees at the complete destruction of all attachment."

"The Bhixu who is kind, who has perfect faith in the teaching of the Buddha, will pull himself out of the evil way, as the elephant does himself out of the mire."

"The Bhixu who is kind, who has perfect faith in the teaching of the Buddha, will shake off all wickedness, as does the wind the leaves of a tree."

"The Bhixu who is kind, who has perfect faith in the teaching of the Buddha, is so near nirvana that he cannot possibly fall away from it."

"The Bhixu who has subdued what charms the heart, what is agreeable to the mind, what delights and what does not delight 'i.e., passions), is full of delight, and will find the end of suffering."

articular instance, we have four Prakrit verses in in the Pali while the Mahayastu Dhammapada

of each of these verses and that of the second line of one verse in Prakrit:

- (i) Māse māse sahassena yo yajetha satam samam.
  (Sahassav., v. 7, 1st line) 🖟
- (ii) Māse māse kusaggena bālo bhuñjetha bhojanam,
   Na so sankhatadhammīnam kalam agghati soļásim.
   (Bālav., v. 11, note 2nd line)

Judging by the arrangement of the Prakrit verses (6-16), we at once perceive that the multiplication passed through no less than two intermediate stages, in the first of which the number multiplied by the first process and in the second by the second.

The chapter on the Bhikkhu contains a sub-group which will seem highly important in determining the relative position of the Dhammapada texts. We quote it below with a view to facilitating comparison:—

Pāli—Mettāvihārī yo bhikkhu pasanno buddhāsasane
Adhigacche padam sāntam sankhārūpasamam sukham
Pāmojjabahulo bhikkhu pasanno buddhasāsane
Adhigacche padam sāntam sankhārūpasamam
sukhamī.

Prakrit—Metravihari yo bhikhu prasanu budhasasane tunati pavaka dharma drumapatra ba marutu ()
Metravihari yo bhikhu prasanu budhasasani padivijhu pada sata sagharavosamu suha ()
Udagacitu yo bhikhu abhivnyu priapria adhikachi padasata akavurusasevita ()
Pramojabahula yo bhikhu abhivuyu priapria adhikachi padasata aseyane ya moyaka ()

Mahāvastu—Maitravihārī yo bhikṣu prasanno buddhaśāsane
Dhammapada Adhigacebati padam śāntam asecanam ca mocanam.
Karuṇāvihārī yo bhikṣu prasanno buddhaśāsane
Adhigacebati padam śāntam apṛthagjanasevitam.

Muditavihar yo bhiksu p yadan santan

vita in

no buddhagaeane

Upekşāvihārī yo bhikşu prasanno buddhaśāsane Adhigacehati padam śantam nirvanam padam

Udagracitto sumano abhibhuyya priyapriyam Tato pramodyabahulo bhikşu nirvāņasantike.

-Maitravihāri yo bhikşuh prasanno bnddhaśāsane Adhigacchet padam śāntam samskārôpaśamam śivam. Maitravihāri yo bhikşuh prasanno buddhaśāsane Adhıgacchet padam śāntam asecanaka mocanam.

Pramodyabahulo bhik-uh duhkhaksayam avāpnuyāt.

- -"The Bhixu who is kind, who has perfect faith in the teaching of the Buddha, will find the perfection of peace (amatam padam), of which one never be weary."
  - "The Bhixu who is kind, who has perfect faith in the teaching of the Buddha, will find the perfection of peace, the peace from the Samskara (body)."
- "The Bhixu who is kind, who has perfect faith in the teaching of the Buddha, will arrive by degrees at the complete destruction of all attachment."
- "The Bhixu who is kind, who has perfect faith in the teaching of the Buddha, will pull himself out of the evil way, as the elephant does himself out of the mire,"
- "The Bhixu who is kind, who has perfect faith in the teaching of the Buddha, will shake off all wickedness, as does the wind the leaves of a tree."
- "The Bhixu who is kind, who has perfect faith in the teaching of the Buddha, is so near nıryana that he cannot possibly fall away from it."
- "The Bhixu who has subdued what charms the heart, what is agreeable to the mind, what delights and what does not delight 'i.e., passions), is full of delight, and will find the end of suffering."

articular instance, we have four Prakrit verses in in the Pali while the Mahayastu Dhammapada and the Udānavarga verses are not exact counterparts of any previous group. They are on the contrary a combination of verses found in all the previous groups, supplemented by a few which were newly constructed by the compiler of the Sanskrit text. Though the counterparts of any of the groups is not to be found in the Fa-kheu-king and its commentary, it is very likely that some sort of transformation also took place in their originals. Nevertheless, the Prakrit verses cannot be satisfactorily accounted for otherwise than by the hypothesis that they are a combination of elements from two older texts, viz., the Fa-kheu-king original and the Mahāvastu Dhammapada.

Thus applying the twofold process of multiplication of common verses as a test of chronology, we are led to think that the Prakrit text occupies a central position, it being later than the Pāli, the Fa-kheu-king original and the Mahāvastu Dhammapada, and earlier than the text portion of the Chuh-yau-king and the Udānavarga. The Prakrit text with some 600 verses was a combination of two older texts with 500 and 700 verses, just as the Fa-kheu-king, considered as a Chinese recension in translation, was a combination, with its 752 verses, of three older texts with 500, 700 and 900 verses.

been referred (on p. xix) to a tradition in the Chronieles of Ceylon proving that the Dhammapada was a well-known Pāli work in the time of King Asoka<sup>1</sup>. The internal evidence of the work does not help us much in determining its date of compilation. The Nāgavagga contains an interesting verse<sup>2</sup> moralising upon the behaviour of a state elephant, named Dhanapālaka, when the animal was first caught and put under training. It appears from the commentary that the elephant

Missey v 5 Dhaws o not length line 1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> M. Sylvain Lévi says, "The tradition that the Appamadavagga of the Pali was constructed in the time of Asoka cannot but be a fiction, and the ty of the m that the of the chapter it clearly" (J A xx. 1912, p. 226)

was in possession of the King of Kasi. Subsequently the elephant came into the possession of King Bimbisara, probably as part of the wedding gift from Mahapasenadi, the King of Kāsi-Kosala. The elephant is no other than one called Nālāgiri2 in the Pāli Nidānakathā, set upon the Buddha by Prince Aiātasattu at the instigation of Devadatta. The earliest trace of the legend about the taming of this elephant by the Buddha can be found in a bas-relief at Bharhut, bearing the inscription "Dhanapāla hatthināgadamana". The legend must have come into existence sometime before the construction of the railing of the Bharhut Stupa, i.e., before the 2nd or 3rd century B.C. But the Pali Dhammapada has nothing to do with this particular legend which seems to have resulted from an after-thought on the part of the Buddhist theologians. The chapter on the Buddha goes, however, to prove that at the time of the compilation of the Pali Dhammapada the Buddha was sufficiently defied and that the legends about the machinations of Mara and his daughters were yet in the making. As shown elsewhere,3 the process of deification of the Buddha through the Birth-stories was synchronous with the history of schisms within the Buddhist Order. Seeing that the date of composition of the Jatakas in their oldest form cannot be earlier than the first century of Buddha's demise, one must admit that the date of the Pali Dhammapada falls within the 4th and the 3rd century B.C.

Tradition attributes to one Dharmatrāta the compilation of each one of the three copies of the Dhammapada, viz., the original of the Fa-kheu-king or the text with 500 verses, the original of the text portion of the Chuh-yau-king or the text with 900 verses, and the Udänavarga or the latest Sanskrit copy with 1000 verses. In the Chinese preface to the Chuh-yau-king

¹ "Tattha Dhanapalako nämäti tadā Kāsirañño hatthâcarıyam pesetvā ramanīya nāgavane gāhāpitassa hatthino etam nāmam."

<sup>&</sup>quot; In the Tibetan the elephant is called, "Batnapāla" or "Vasupāla," is the as Dhanapāla. See a Life of the

Dharmatrāta is said to have been the uncle (rather maternal uncle, as M. Sylvain Lévi points out,) of Vasumitra. According to Tāranātha, there were two Dharmatrātas, a Sthavira and a Bhadanta. The Sthavira was a contemporary of the Brāhman Rāhula and one of the four teachers of the Vaibhāsikas, the remaining three teachers being Ghosaka (Aśvaghosa), Vasumitra and Buddhadeva (?). Candrakīrti, too, mentions two Dharmatrātas, viz., a Sthavira and a Bhadanta. But Tāranātha emphatically says that one must not confound the Ārya or Sthavira Dharmatrāta with the Bhadanta, for the latter was really the compiler of the Udānavarga. Beal, however, points out that the compiler of the Dhammapada is all along spoken of in the Chinese versions as Ārya Dharmatrāta.

It will be going far away from the historical truth to suppose that one and the same individual compiled all the three copies of the Dhammapada, the first of which differed so widely from the rest in some important respects. The attribution of the texts with 900 and 1000 verses to one individual might be justified on the ground that they are substantially the same.

But even the Udānavarga as a distinct copy must have been the work of an individual other than the compiler of the Sanskrit text with 900 verses. The two epithets, Sthavira and Bhadanta, applied to the name of Dharmatrāta, must, therefore, be taken to imply a real historical distinction in the individual and in time. But the question is whether these epithets refer to two individuals or to three. If Tāranātha's testimony is at all to be trusted, Bhadanta Dharmatrāta must be regarded as the compiler of the Udānavarga. Beal is inclined to think that Ārya Dharmatrāta, a contemporary of Vasumitra, was the compiler of the original of the Fa-kheu-king, recognised as a canonical

Beal's 'Dhammapada', p. 9.

<sup>\*</sup> Rockhill's 'Udanavarga', p. xi.

<sup>\*</sup> Beal's ' Dhammapada, p. 9, f. n. 1.

<sup>\*</sup> Burnouf's Introd. a' l' Hist., p. 568.

<sup>\*</sup> Rockhill's 'Udënavarga ' p zi \* Beal's p 9

work of the Vaibbāṣikas at the Council held under the presidency of Vasumitra during the reign of Kaniṣka. He says, "It would not be surprising if we found that the edition of Dhammapada prepared by Dharmatrāta, belonged to the Vaibhāshika school, whilst that known in Ceylon was compiled by the Sautrāntikas."

Beal is the first to suggest that the terms Sautrantika and Vaibhāsika represent two distinct stages of a Buddhist canon. but his mistake lies in identifying the Sautrantika with the Sthayiravāda. The name Sautrāntika in its generic sense was derived evidently from that of the Suttavada school or sect which was the sixth in descent from the Theravada through a schismatic line: Theravāda > Mahimsāsaka > Sabbatthivāda > Dhammaguttika > Kassapiya > Samkantika > Sutta-vāda.2 Even if the term Suttavada or Sautrantika be taken as a generic name for the Mahīśāsaka or the Sarvāstivāda canon in its final redaction or stage of development, it must always be kept carefully distinct from the Sthaviravada on account of its schismatic associations. The contents and arrangement of a Sautrantika work might eventually be found almost identical with those of a Sthaviravāda, and yet, if we are to respect at all the tradition in the Dīpavamsa,3 there must remain a sharp distinction between the two works with regard to their languages. If in the case of a book of the Sthaviravada canon we find that its language is what we now know as Pali, then we must expect that the language of a corresponding Sautrantika work will be something other than Pali, say, Mixed Sanskrit. Granted this, we have got to answer which copy of the Dhammapada is technically a Sautrāntika work and which a Vaibhāṣika.

Beal seems to think that the Fa-kheu-king original with 500 verses was received into the Vaibhāṣika canon during the reign of Kaniska and that it was compiled by Ārya Dharmatrāta, the

Beals D pads p 9

<sup>\*</sup> Mahawarina, Chap V vv 6-9 Suttaväda - Vasnuurtra s

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Dirayurhas Chan V v 22 f n the Kathawatthn Comv n 8 f

Dharmatrāta is said to have been the uncle (rather maternal uncle, as M. Sylvain Lévi points out,) of Vasumitra. According to Tāranātha, there were two Dharmatrātas, a Stha-

According to Taranatha, there were two Dharmatratas, a Sthavina and a Bhadanta.<sup>2</sup> The Sthavira was a contemporary of the Biāhman Rāhula and one of the four teachers of the Vaibhā-

Biāhman Rāhula and one of the four teachers of the Vaibhāsikas, the remaining three teachers being Ghoṣaka (Aśvaghoṣa), Vasumitra and Buddhadeva (?).<sup>3</sup> Candrakīrti, too, mentions

two Dharmatrātas, viz., a Sthavira and a Bhadanta.<sup>4</sup> But Tāranātha emphatically says that one must not confound the Ārya or Sthavira Dharmatrāta with the Bhadanta, for the latter was really the compiler of the Udānavarga.<sup>5</sup> Beal, however, points out that the compiler of the Dhammapada is all along

spoken of in the Chinese versions as Ārya Dharmatrāta.6

It will be going far away from the historical truth to suppose that one and the same individual compiled all the three copies of the Dhammapada, the first of which differed so widely from the rest in some important respects. The attribution of

the texts with 900 and 1000 verses to one individual might be justified on the ground that they are substantially the same.

But even the Udanavarga as a distinct copy must have been the work of an individual other than the compiler of the Sanskrit

text with 900 verses. The two epithets, Sthavira and Bhadanta, applied to the name of Dharmatrata, must, therefore, be taken to imply a real historical distinction in the individual and in time. But the question is whether these epithets refer to two individuals or to three. If Taranatha's testimony is at

all to be trusted, Bhadanta Dharmatrāta must be regarded as the compiler of the Udānavarga. Beal is inclined to think that Arya Dharmatrāta, a contemporary of Vasumitra, was the compiler of the original of the Fa-kheu-king, recognised as a canonical

Beal's 'Dhammapada', p. 9.Rockhill's 'Udënavarga', p. xi.

<sup>\*</sup> Beal's ' Dhammapada, p. 9, f. a. 1.

<sup>\*</sup> Burnouf's Introd. a' l' Hist., p. 566.

<sup>&#</sup>x27; Rockhill's 'Udënavarga ' p xi

Beal D p. 9

work of the Vaibhāṣikas at the Council beld under the presidency of Vasumitra during the reign of Kaniṣka. He says, "It would not be surprising if we found that the edition of Dhammapada prepared by Dharmatrāta, belonged to the Vaibhāshika school, whilst that known in Ceylon was compiled by the Sautrāntikas."

Beal is the first to suggest that the terms Sautrantika and Vaibhāṣika represent two distinct stages of a Buddhist canon, but his mistake lies in identifying the Sautrantika with the Sthaviravāda. The name Sautrāntika in its generic sense was derived evidently from that of the Suttavada school or sect which was the sixth in descent from the Theravada through a schismatic line: Theravāda > Mahimsāsaka > Sabbatthivāda > Dhammaguttika > Kassapiya > Samkantika > Sutta-vāda.2 Even if the term Suttavada or Sautrantika be taken as a generic name for the Mahisasaka or the Sarvastivada canon in its final redaction or stage of development, it must always be kept carefully distinct from the Sthaviravada on account of its schismatic associations. The contents and arrangement of a Sautrantika work might eventually be found almost identical with those of a Sthaviravada, and yet, if we are to respect at all the tradition in the Dipavamsa,3 there must remain a sharp distinction between the two works with regard to their languages. If in the case of a book of the Sthaviravada canon we find that its language is what we now know as Pali, then we must expect that the language of a corresponding Sautrantika work will be something other than Pāli, say, Mixed Sanskrit. Granted this, we have got to answer which copy of the Dhammapada is technically a Sautrāntika work and which a Vaibhāsika.

Beal seems to think that the Fa-kheu-king original with 500 verses was received into the Vaibhāṣika canon during the reign of Kaniska and that it was compiled by Ārya Dharmatrāta, the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Beal's 'Dhammapada ', p. 9.

Mahāvadisa, Chap, V. vv. 6--9. Suttavāda - Vasumitra's Santrāntika.

D pavaries, Chap V v 82 f., quoted in the vattha Comy, p 8 f.

author of the Samyuktabhidharma Sastra. His opinion is mainly based upon Hinen Thsang's account of the Buddhist Council in Kanişka's time which is no less open to dispute. In the Chinese traveller's list of the Buddhist celebrities of Gandhara! Dharmatrata, the author of the Samyuktabbidharma Sastra, is associated with Parsva, Manoratha, Asanga, Vasubandhu and Nārāvanadeva, most of whom flourished in the 4th and 5th centuries A.D., while in another list of Vaibhāsika teachers. quoted by Beal (supra), Dharmatrata enjoys the company of Asyaghosa and Vasumitra. If the tradition in the Chuh-yauking deserves any credence, Dharmatrata, the compiler of the Fa-kheu-king, was the maternal uncle of Vasumitra. According to Hiuen Thsang, Vasumitra, a native of Mid-India and a contemporary of Parsva presided over the delaberations of the Vaibhāsika Council which was convened in Kashmir under the auspices of king Kaniska2 and he was the compiler of the Abhidharmaprakaranapada Sastra.3 How can we believe that one and the same Vasumitra was the compiler of the Abhidharma treatise and the president of the Vaibhāsika Council in Kaniska's time? The three standard commentaries in Classical Sanskrit. compiled at the above council, presuppose an older redaction of the Sarvastivada canon consisting of three Pitakas, viz., the Upadesa or Sūtra, the Vinaya and the Abhidharma. Of the seven Prakaranas or treatises composing the Abhidharma Pitaka, one4 is said to have been compiled 100 years and four5 300 years after Buddba's demise. It is the four treatises attributed \*to Kātyāyana and Vasumitra that are placed by tradition in the Chinese three centuries after the demise of the Buddha. Prof. Takakusu finds reasons to think that Kātyāyana's

Beal's 'Records of the Western World,' I. p. 98 f.

Ibid, I. p. I51 f.

Ibid, I. p. 109.

Abhidharma Vijñanakäyapāda Šāstra by Devasarman.

Abhidharma Jūsnaprasthāna Sāstra and Prajūapatipāda Sāstra by Kātyāyana (Beal's Records, I. p. 195) and Vasumitra's Abhidharma Pra Satra and yapada Sastra.

Jñānaprasthāna Sāstra, which is the most important of seven Abhidharma treatises was composed in the 2nd century B.C. (i.e., four centuries after Buddha's demise) and that its language was a local Sanskrit dialect of Kashmir<sup>1</sup>. We cannot but admit that there is a discrepancy of at least one century in the Chinese tradition which can as well be detected by the aid of Vasumitra's own work, the treatise on 'The Points of Controversy among the Buddhist Schools.' This important treatise, now translated in three European languages from the Tibetan<sup>2</sup> and the Chinese,<sup>3</sup> goes to show that Vasumitra flourished at least four centuries after Buddha's demise. He was acquainted with the views of some of the schismatic schools and sects that sprang up during the 4th century B.E. These are the schools and sects which, according to the Chronicles of Ceylon, arose in post-Asokan times. The names of these schools, as also those of the Andhaka; the Avantika, the Uttarapathaka and the Vajiriya,4 are significant as pointing to a time when not only Buddhism was propagated outside the geographical limits of the Middle Country or 'Mid-India,' but so many influential local schools of thought were formed throughout India. King Asoka is justly credited with having sent Buddhist missions for the first time in history to various regions outside the Middle Country, 5 and there must have elapsed some time before it was possible for so many local schools to come into being. From this it will appear quite reasonable to think that the closing date of the Abhidharma Pitaka of the Sarvāstivāda or Sautrāntika canon was about a century after Asoka, i.e., the reigns of Pusyamitra and Menander which might also be premised as a closing date of the entire Sarvastivada

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> J. P. T. S. 1905, p. 67 ff.

<sup>\*</sup> Wassilief's 'Buddhismus' of which there is a French translation,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> English translation by Mr. J. Masuda in the Journal of the Department of Letters (C.U.), Vol. I.

Mahavamaa, V. vv. 12-18; Dipavamaa, V. v. 54; Kathavatthu Comy, p. 6 f. and Index; Mahavyutpatti, 275.

Dīpavames, VIII; Mahāvames, XII.

canon on the ground that in the Chinese version of one of the books of the Sutra Pitaka, viz., the Ekottaragama or Anguttara Nikāya, there is mention of king Pusyamitra. Rightly or wrongly, Pusyamitra figures in the Savastivada literature, notably in the Divvavadana, 1 as a persecutor of the followers of Buddhism. The date of Pusyamitra's accession to the throne of Magadha is, according to Vincent Smith, 184 B.C. The Chinese version of the Sarvastivada canon contains the translation of only four Agamas which are in many respects the same as the first four Nikāyas of the Pāli Sutta Pijaka, The Divyavadana, too, does not refer to more than four Agamas.2 Prof. Sylavan Lévi has, on the contrary, shown that there was a Ksudraka Nikaya or Lesser Collection consisting of some books similar to the Pali.3 That there were five Nikayas and persons who got them by heart in the time of Pusyamitra is conclusively proved by the votive inscriptions at Bharhut and Sanchi containing such personal epithets as Paficanekayika, and Petaki. As regards the proof of a close resemblance between the Sarvastivada works of the Ksudraka Nikāya and the Pāli, one can profitably compare the Fa-kheuking original with the Pāli Dhammapada and see how much agreement there is between them. All this may suffice to show that the Fa-kheu-king original with 500 verses and written in Mixed Sanskrit belonged to an older reduction of the Sarvastivada canon, prepared probably during the reigns of Pusyamitra and Menander. This is not to deny that a new redaction of the Sarvāstivāda canon was made during the reign of Kanişka along with the compilation of three Vibhasa Sastras or extensive commentaries which subsequently gave rise to the name Vaibhasika replacing the older name Sautrantika. The new redaction was no more than a later Sanskrit recast of the Sautrantika

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Divyavadāna, p. 1484.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Ibid, p. 333.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Toung Pao, p. 116 f.; Winternite's 'History of Indian Literature' in German, Pt. II p 187

<sup>4</sup> Buddhist India, pp 167-8

## ( xlvn )

canon in Mixed Sanskrit. But the difference between the two redactions was not merely that of language. The two redactions differed in manner as well as matter, so much so that the names of the texts of the Vaibhasika canon had to be changed in order to keep them distinct from their Sautrantika originals. This fact is countenanced by the evidence of the original of the text portion of the Chuh-yau-king which was but a Sanskrit copy of the Dhammapada with 900 verses and 33 chapters, compiled on the basis of the Fa-kheu-king original with 500 verses and 26 chapters and as M. Sylvain Lévi seems to think, its title was Udānavarga.1 We cannot persuade ourselves to believe that Arya Dharmatrata who wrote the Samyuktûbhidharma Sastra was really the compiler of the original of the Fa-kheu-king for the simple reason that he was a native of Gandhara, while the latter, described as maternal uncle of Vasmutra, was probably a native of the Middle Country. We are also tempted to think that the Sanskritstext with 900 verses was amplified, though slightly, in the Udanavarga by an individual-Bhadanta Dharmatrāta or whatever the name may be, who flourished about the time of Asanga and Vasubandhu, i.e., during the 4th or 5th century A.D. Thus we incline to regard the Buddhist Council in Kanişka's time as a landmark in the history of Sarvastivada Buddhism indicating a twofold transition: (1) that of the Sarvastivada literature from a Sautrāntika or Canonical stage to a Vaibhāṣika or Scholastic, and (2) that of the Sarvastivada canon from a Mixed Sanskrit redaction to one in Classical Sanskrit.

Beal is doubly wrong in regarding the Pāli Dhammapada as technically a Sautrāntika work and the original of the Fa-kheu-king as a Vaibhāṣika text, compiled during the reign of Kaniṣka. Our contention is that the latter work was technically a Sautrāntika text in Mixed Sanskrit, substautially the same as the Pāli. If its author Ārya Dharmatrāta was uncle to Vasumitra, its compilation must be referred to a

LA

canon on the ground that in the Chinese version of one of the books of the Sūtra Piţaka, riz., the Ekottaragama or Anguttara Nikāya, there is mention of king Puşyamitra. Rightly or wrongly, Pusyamitra figures in the Savastivada literature, notably in the Divyavadana, 1 as a persecutor of the followers of Buddhism. The date of Puşyamitra's accession to the throne of Magadha is, according to Vincent Smith, 184 B.C. The Chinese version of the Sarvastivada canon contains the translation of only four Agamas which are in many respects the same as the first four Nikāyas of the Pāli Sutta Pitaka. The Divyavadana, too, does not refer to more than four Agamas.2 Prof. Sylavan Lévi has, on the contrary, shown that there was a Kşudraka Nikāya or Lesser Collection consisting of some books similar to the Pali.3 That there were five Nikāyas and persons who got them by heart in the time of Puşyamitra is conclusively proved by the votive inscriptions at Bharhut and Sanchi containing such personal epithets as Pancanekayika, and Petaki. As regards the proof of a close resemblance between the Sarvastivada works of the Kandraka Nikāya and the Pāli, one can profitably compare the Fa-khenking original with the Päli Dhammapada and see how much agreement there is between them. All this may suffice to show that the Fa-kheu-king original with 500 verses and written in Mixed Sanskrit belonged to an older redaction of the Sarvactivada canon, prepared probably during the reigns of Puşyamitra and Menander. This is not to deny that a new reduction of the Sarvāstivāda canon was made during the reign of Kanişka along with the compilation of three Vibhasa Sastras or extensive commentaries which subsequently gave rise to the name Vaibhasika replacing the older name Sautrantika. The new reduction was no more than a later Sanskrit recast of the Sautrantika

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Divyāvadāna, p. 1434.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Ibid, p. 888.

Toung Pao, p. 116 f.; Winternita's 'History of Indian Literature' in German, Pt. II p. 187.

<sup>•</sup> Buddhist India, pp. 167-8.

canon in Mixed Sanskrit. But the difference between the two redactions was not merely that of language. The two redactions differed in manner as well as matter, so much so that the names of the texts of the Vaibhāsika canon had to be changed in order to keep them distinct from their Sautrantika originals. This fact is countenanced by the evidence of the original of the text portion of the Chuh-yau-king which was but a Sanskrit copy of the Dhammapada with 900 verses and 33 chapters, compiled on the basis of the Fa-kheu-king original with 500 verses and 26 chapters and as M. Sylvain Lévi seems to think, its title was Udanavarga. 1 We cannot persuade ourselves to believe that Arya Dharmatrata who wrote the Samyuktabhidharma Sastra was really the compiler of the original of the Fa-kheu-king for the simple reason that he was a native of Gandhara, while the latter, described as maternal uncle of Vasmutra, was probably a native of the Middle Country. We are also tempted to think that the Sanskritatext with 900 verses was amplified, though slightly, in the Udanavarga by an individual-Bhadanta Dharmatrāta or whatever the name may be, who flourished about the time of Asanga and Vasubandhu, i.e., during the 4th or 5th century A.D. Thus we incline to regard the Buddhist Council in Kaniska's time as a landmark in the history of Sarvastivada Buddhism indicating a twofold transition: (1) that of the Sarvastivada literature from a Sautrāntika or Canonical stage to a Vaibhāsika or Scholastic, and (2) that of the Sarvastivada canon from a Mixed Sanskrit redaction to one in Classical Sanskrit.

Beal is doubly wrong in regarding the Pāli Dhammapada as technically a Sautrāntika work and the original of the Fa-kheu-king as a Vaibhāṣika text, compiled during the reign of Kaniṣka. Our contention is that the latter work was technically a Sautrāntika text in Mixed Sanskrit, substautially the same as the Pāli. If its author Ārya Dharmatrāta was uncle to Vasumitra, its compilation must be referred to a

date some four centuries after Buddha's demise, and this date is no other than the closing period of the Sautrantika canon which is not earlier than the 2nd century B.C. The Fa-kheuking was subsequently recast in Classical Sanskrit he the Vaibhasikas during the reign of Kaniska and the text prepared was a Dhammapada with 900 verses, i.e., the text portion of the Chuh-yau-king original. The Udanavarga was but a second edition of the Vaibhasika text-the Udanavarra of Kaniska's time, and its date does not seem to be later than the 5th century A. D. One must anxiously await the discovery of the stone receptacle containing the copper plates on which the Vaibhāṣika canon and commentaries were engraved by the order of King Kaniska. Hiven Thrang tells us that Kine Kaniska had built a stupa over this stone receptacle. After the examination of the traditions we feel convinced that the 2nd century B.C. was the closing date of the Santrantika canan. We have reasons even to believe that about this time the canon of each of the earlier schools was closed. It has been shown in our monograph on Asoka's Dhamma that a number of books were added to the Pali canon in post-Asokan times, e.g., the Kathavatthu, the Petavatthu, the Buddhavaman, the Cariva Pitaka, the Apadana and the Khuddakapatha. Vasumitra speaks of a second Mahadeva Council, convened, no doubt, during a post-Asekan period, on the lines of the Mahasanghika. Two Mahadevas are important personages in the history of Buddhism, one who is said to have been sent as a missionary to Mahisamandala (Mysore) during the reign of King Asokas and another who was invited to Ceylon from the Pallava country in the time of King Dutthagamant.4 There are two inserip tions on the railing of the Bharhut Stupa recording the fcate of miracle performed by a Mahadova. It cannot, therefore, be doubted that there lived a great Buddhist leader, named

Beal's 'Recards of the Western World, I. p. 156.

<sup>\*</sup> Journal of the Department of Letbers, Vol. I., p. 5. Cl. J. R. A. S., 1910, p. 418ff.

\* Mahayamaa, xii. v. 8, \* Ibid, xxix. v. 38,

Mahādeva, in about the 2nd century B.C., and if Vasumitra's account of the Mahādeva Council be true, we can equally believe that a redaction of the Mahāsanghika or Lokottaravāda Canon was prepared in Mixed Sanskrit and formally recognised at this council. If so, the compilation of the Mahāvastu Dhammapada can be referred to the date of this council.

As regards the Prakrit Dhammapada, one must look for its place of origin either in Khotan where its manuscript in the Kharosthi alphabet of the 2nd century A.D. was discovered among the ruins of Geérnga or Geérna Vihara or in a North-Western region of India, round about Peshawar, where an Indo-Iranian dialect was current1. So far as the tradition goes, the Buddhist missionaries cannot be supposed to have penetrated into these regions before the time of king Asoka. The Prakrit verses go to prove that they could not be read or intoned without waiving one's head, that, in other words, the manner of reading was akin to the Tibetan. If we can rightly suppose with Prof. Sten Konow that the place of find of its manuscript was the place of its origin, we must admit that its compilation in the local dialect of Khotan<sup>9</sup> was not possible much before the time of Kaniska. Rockhill has prepared an interesting account of Khotan on the basis of Hiuen Thsang's travels and the local annals, preserved in Tibetan translation.8 It goes to show that not long after the reign of Dharmasoka Khotan became the settlement of a population, half Chinese and half Indian, whose habits resembled those of China and whose dialect was neither Indian nor Chinese, but a mixture of the two. Buddhism was first introduced from Kashmir into Khotan

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> According to M. Jules Bloch the language of the Kharosthi Ms. was a dislect of the Western part of the Punjab or that of the North-Western Hills. His views are accepted by Lévi (J. A. xx. 1912, p. 214).

<sup>\*</sup> Festschrift Ernst Windisch, 1914, p. 94 ff.

Life of the Buddha, Chap. VIII.

in the 5th year of the reign of king Vijayasambhava who ascended the throne 105 years after the establishment of the kingdom in 284 B.E.1 It is during the reign of the eighth successor of this king that the doctrines of the Mahasanghika school were brought into the country by the eldest son of the king who entered the Buddhist order under the name of Dharmananda and went to India.2 The doctrine of the Sarvastivada school of the Lesser Vehicle was introduced into the country by the venerable Mantrasiddhi8 who was called from India during the following reign. Thus Khotan became a meeting ground of the doctrines of two Buddhist schools shortly before the invasion of India by Vijayakirti, the 11th successor of Vijayasambhava and Kanişka, the king of Gn-zan. These traditions are important as showing how it became possible to compile a Dhammapada in Khotan as a synthesis of two older texts in Mixed Sanskrit, one belonging to the Mahasanghika school and another to the sarvastivada or Santrantika. The probable date of its compilation must be referred to a time about five centuries after Buddha's demise, say, the 1st century B.C. or A.D. The result obtained is supported by the fact that the Prakrit Dhammanada differs from the Pali and the original of the Fa-khenking by the inclusion of many verses from the Suttanipata. the Mahabharata and the Jataka Book. Curiously enough, most of the verses from the latter source are to be found in the Jātakas illustrated by bas-reliefs at Bharhut. Here we

<sup>&#</sup>x27; Life of the Buddha, p 237.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Ibid, p. 289. Dr. F. W. Thomas says that Dharmananda was the second son, see his notes on Rockhill's summary of the Annals of Khotan in Stein's Ancient Khotan, Vol. I, App. E, p, 581. of. Sten Konow's views in the Festschrift Ernst Windisch, p. 95 ft.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> According to Thomas, his name was Samantasiddhi. He seems to have brought about a reconciliation between the adherents of the Mahasanghika and Sarv

must briefly state the results obtained from the foregoing investigation

Text

Probable date of compilation.

- (1) Pāli Dhammapada (a Sthaviravāda work)
- Between the 4th and 3rd century B C.
- (2) Fa-kheu-king original in Mixed 2nd century, B.C. Sanskvit. (a Soutrantika work).
- (3) Mahāvastu Dhammapada . 2nd or 1st century, B C. (a Mahāsaŭghika work)
- (4) Prakrit Dhammapada . Ist century B C. or A.D. (another Mahāsanghika work),
- (5) Text portion of the Chuh-yau-king 1st or 2nd century, A.D. original or the older edition of the Udānavarga (a Vaibhā-şika work).
- (6) Fa-khou-king (a Chinese recen- Girca 223 A.D. sion).
- (7) Udönavarga or .. .. 4th or 5th century, AD. the Inter edition of No. 5.
- Concluding Remarks.—The total result stated above can be further tested by a general history of the Tripitaka dealing particularly with the genesis and importance of the Dhammapada texts. This important subject has been separately dealt with in a supplementary section of this work. There it has been shown that M. Senart's inference as to the existence of a Dhammapada text older than the Pāli from a particular verse in Prakrit which, in his opinion, contains expressions better or more appropriate than those in the corresponding Pāli verse, is historically incorrect. The legitimate inference in such cases would be rather to say that that particular verse in Mixed Sanskrit or in some such language is older than its counterpart included in the Pāli Dhammapada. We need not be surprised to find that the Dhammapada or the Udānavarga is associated with the Jātakas and Avadanas or that the works of the Jātaka

or Avadana class came to be ne uded 1 the Vinaya P taka of a certain Buddhist school, such as the Mahāsanghika or the Sarvāstivāda, since from the very beginning, as the Mahāpadāna Suttanta of the Dīgha Nikāya goes to prove, these three classes of work were closely connected with one another. As a matter of fact, in this important discourse, called an Avadāna but classed as a Jātaka in the Cullaniddesa, two typical Bhammapada verses are intended to serve as model for the Pātimokkha par excellence (pātimokkha-uddesa). In going through this discourse one cannot but be struck by the fact that the Dhammapada as a type of literary composition, alike the Jātaka and Avadāna, grew up in the Buddhist literature by way of a protest against the orthodox code of morality—the Pātimokkha.

Among other important points, we have sought to show that the existing Pali Tripitaka incorporates counterparts of several doctrines and treatises which had originated with other Buddhist schools and sects. It is not so much important in a discussion of the relative position of Pali, Mixed Sanskrit, Prakrit and Sanskrit within the Ancient Buddhist literature of India to ascertain the dialect or dialects which the Buddha or his disciples generally used as the medium of instruction as to determine the language in which the original materials of the Buddhist canon were prepared during the life-time of the Buddha. We have specified throughout Part II of this work that Dhammapada verses lead us ultimately back to a number of prose discourses in the Digha or in the Majjhima Nikāya, constituted of come stock passages or highly crystallised exegetical fragments, which, as their names, Vibhanga, Niddesa and Khandha imply, appear as so many solid pieces of brick or blocks of stone with which the first fabric of the canon was constructed. Those who have ever cared to be acquainted with the language and phraseology of these fragments will always shrug their shoulders at the slightest suggestion that Pali was derived from a Pallibhaga or popular dialect locally current in Magadha or

in the Middle Country. The progress of researches into linguistic developments within Buddhist literature has been much hampered in this country by a thoughtless and most absurd speculation about what we now call and know as Pāli language on the basis of the identity of the name Pali with the word Paili meaning a village. This school of philologists, quite inhocent of the literary history of India, always appear to err on the wrong side. The word Pali has never been used in the Ceylonese Chronicles and Buddhaghosa's commentaries in a sense other than the canon as distinguished from the commentaries. The significance of the name Pāli or Pāli as denoting the text is that the canon consists of the discourses of the Buddha and those of his disciples, characterised by a connected sequence of thought, (pariyāyena bhāsitam, dhammapariyāyam) having a good beginning, a good middle and a good end. The primary meaning of Palli, Pankti, Pātti or Pāti is no doubt the same Taken in this sense, Palli denotes a group of houses arranged according to a plan. The Bengali word 'Pankli' denotes a well-arranged row of seats and 'Pänti' denotes reasoned opinion in a matter of dispute by a body of experts well-versed in the Sastras. Thus if there is any Beugali word which can be philologically connected with Pali or Pah, it is panti in the sense of a well reasoned view, expressed in words.

There is no teason to dispute the tradition, recorded in the Dipavamsa, that the literary language of the Buddhist Order until the breaking out of the first schism about a century after Buddha's demise and the formation of the Mahāsamghika School was the same or uniform and that the history of the schisms is bound up with a violent tampering with the language and arrangement of the texts. The schismatic developments in language and literature followed two lines deviating from the Sthaviravāda and three recensions of the canon were closed, in about the 2nd Century B.C.—the Sthaviravāda canon in a language which is now commonly known as Pāli and the Sautrāntika and Mahāsamghika recensions in two types of Mixed

Sanskrit. The Sthaviravada line has continued in Cevlon, Burma and Siam until to-day, while the Sautrantika and Mahasamehika lines culminated in Classical Sanskett. The various recensions of Asoka's Rock and Pillar edicts, distributed over a vast geographical area, from Gaudhara to Kalinga and from Nepal to Mysore, appear in history as so many indelible records of a reaction against the standardisation of Buddhist scriptural languages. The repeated attempts of the Buddhist emperor to adapt the language of each educt to local dialects were fruitful in more than one way. These suggested a possibility of translating the Buddhist Canonical texts into local dislects, outside the Middle Country and it was really left to his missionaries to accomplish the task. The compilation of a Dhammapada text, such as the Prakrit, in a local dialect of Khotan or in that of the countries round Peshawar, breadly the Gandhara region, may be singled out as the first visible fruit of the reaction implied in Asokan edicts. Since Asoka the Buddhist missionaries penetrated into dark regions within and outside India with the torch-light of the truths of Buddhism. It is these missionaries and their successors and disciples who translated the sacred texts into several local. dialects and thus raised those dialects to the status of literary languages and laid the foundation of many national literatures, characters, languages, arts and civilisations. The history of the subsequent Buddhist literature goes to show that the development of Prakrit became an undercurrent to manifest itself again in about the 10th Century A.D. in the songs and treatises of Sahajiya and other schools of Buddhist Tantriks. The history of the Dhammapada literature covers some twelve centuries, from the 4th century B.C. to the 9th century A.D. The Dhammapada texts have an international importance, for it is through them that the lofty massages of Buddhism could be appealed to the various nations of Asia who were ess

imaginative and by far the less speculative, although in some respects more practical, than the Hindus or Aryanised peoples of India. They are no less important for the fact that they afford us a clue for understanding the process of the origin and growth of poetry as well as of Niti literature in India and other countries where Buddhism has spread.

# The Kharosthi Manuscript of the Dhammapada

As read by M. Émile Senart, together with his Notes translated from French.

#### A I

- .. pratasuhino apramadaviha ...
- Apramadaviha is, I suppose, the remnant of apramadaviharino, which we again find, for instance, in Itivut., ed. Windisch, p. 74, l, 25, equally in the genitive. Pratasuhino must be in the same way a genitive singular = praptasukhinah. It is clear that the two words might also be some nominative forms in the plural.
- 2 apramadi pramodia ma gami ratisabhamu apramato hi jhayatu visesa adhikachati
  - Cf. Dhammapada, 27.
  - a Pramodea Pili pamodeya, with the y dropped (of miracqu 1 4 seven, A 2, etc.), and with the change

- b. This reading appears to me to be in every way better than that of the Pâli Dhammapada. Sambhrama is better than samstava, but especially gami is so obviously preferable to kāma (for the Prākrit text the hypothesis of a gross mistake is done away with by the absence of mā in the first pāda) that I can only doubt if the Pâli reading is not the result of a mistake. Probably this is an old error, and it may be thought that the Pâli redaction is based upon some version expressed in a Prākrit, analogous to that of the present text, where the substitution of the tennes for the sonant (cf. adhikachati, etc.) was frequent.
- c. Above the letter read ja remains some trace of a horizontal stroke, which, according to an observation of M. d'Oldenburg, marks the aspiration of j in our manuscript.
- d. One might be inclined to read viseşam; but the lower part of the spear in s or in analogous characters is so often twisted in the cases which exclude the interpretation in (cf. gami of the following line, the s of şanaka, A\*, 6, etc.), and the probable notation of the anusvara is so rare in our manuscript (I do not know of any sure instance of it in our fragments of Paris) that I do not besitate to transcribe as I have done.
- 3 apramadi pramodia ma gami ratisabhamu apramato hi jayatu" chaya dukhasa pramuni<sup>5</sup> O
  - Cf. the Dhammapada verse referred to in the preceding line.
  - a. This time the sign of the aspirate is wanting above the j, as often elsewhere. But this curious peculiarity will be brought home to us by a paleographic examination.
  - b. The Sanskrit will be kshayam duhkhasya prāpnuyāt. Pramuni=Pāli pāpune. In lines 6 and 7 we shall meet successively with amoti for āpnoti, and prancti i for prāpnoti. The nasalisation of p into m does not appear solely due to any memory of the compound pn surviving its disjunction into pun, for B, 24 has mano=punah, Pāli pana.

- 4 . . . . rata bhodha" khano yu ma uvacai<sup>b</sup> khanatita (h)i soyati uiraesu samapi' .
  - · Cf. Dhammap., 315.
  - a No doubt it is almost restored: apramadarata as in the next verse. Bhodha for bhotha, as generally in this manuscript.
  - b Yu=rah. In A<sup>2</sup>, 7 we shall find bhadrañu=bhadrañn yu=Sk. bhadrañ vah. Accordingly it should be written vo instead of ve (=vai) in the text of the Dhammapada. We shall again come across uvacai= upacrayā in B, 3; uvacai, that is to say, uvacaya, ya being frequently written i; cf. ll. 6, 7 nai=nāyam; prahai= prahāyu, A<sup>2</sup>, 6 (A<sup>2</sup>, 7?), etc. The g is sometimes totally dropped between two vowels: roa= raga (C<sup>vo</sup>, 3), and may, with stronger reason, weaken into y.
  - c. Samupi is, of course, to be completed into samopila.
- 5 apramadarata bhodha sadhami supravedite drugha udhvaradha" atmana pagasana va kuñ°

# Cf. Dhammap., 327.

- a. All the analogies demand the reading udhvaradha for nddharadha. We shall find elsewhere utvari for uttari (B, 37). As, in the two cases, the compound commences with an initial u, one may think that it is this nearness which accounts for this strange orthography, and that, in reality, it corresponds to a pronunciation rudharadha, rutari, the v having been transposed in writing in the Prâkrit text, like the r of drugha.
- b. In spite of partial mutilation, the last letter is certain; it is kuñ[uro] that ought to be read, or, what recurs in the same way kuñau which we shall presently find again in A. 4.

- 6 nai kalu" pramadasa aprati" asavachaye pramata duhu amoti" siha ba muyamatia"  $\bigcirc$ 
  - a. This, as I have just pointed out, should be understood in Sanskrit as  $n\bar{a}yam k\bar{a}/ah$ .
  - b. Aprāpte. Cf. Dhammap., verses 253, 272.
  - c. For amoti, cf. v. 3 above. The usual omission of the anusvāra does not permit us to decide if we are in the presence of the singular or the plural, if pramata = pramattah or pramattāh, and siha=simhāh or sinhāh. I, however, adhere to the first solution on account of the next verse where the singular is assured and on account of the comparison, which, to all appearance, stands on the sense "a certain lion." The termination o is often represented by a. In 1.5, we have pagasana ra kuñ[aro]. The reading mo cannot be doubtful, although the vowel appears to have been written in an exceptional way. We had dukha in 1.3, but the spelling duha is much more in use.
  - d. A comparison with Coo, 2 will prove that it is right, as I believe, to read mu. It must be admitted. however, that m presents a form which is scarcely ordinary. It is true that if it is read khu-another interpretation easily suggesting itself, the form of the kh will not be any more regular, the bend of the ring towards the right being generally much more prominent. If ba is taken = ra, ira, as elsewhere (e.g., A2, 4), the two expressions khayamalia or muyamatia, both possible in Kharosthi writing, remain equally obscure to me, perhaps because I do not know or remember any story to which there might be an allusion here. According to the first hypothesis we should have  $kshayamaty\bar{a}$ , according to the second, I think, megamatya. In the second case, one might imagine, for instance, a story where the lion killed himself by his negligence, in fancying to have to deal with a gazelle instead of some formidable enemy. In the absence of a parallel verse, which I have not hitherto been able to discover, I can only leave all conclusions suspended. The rest of the strophe is easily rendered: "One should not relax until one should have destroyed the passions in oneself."

7 nai pramadasamayu aprati asavachayi apramato hi jayatu pranoti paramu sukhu O

Cf. Dhammap., 27.

8

... .ga" 25

- a. Ga, that is to say,  $g\bar{a}(th\bar{a}h)$ , of course. The chapter contains 25 stanzas.
- I cannot make anything useful out of the three small fragments that figure on the left of the Plate and do not seem to tally with any part of the principal fragment. The one in the middle gives some sure characters:
  - ... kama cithatu .... where cithatu may be=tisthautah.

#### $\mathbf{A}^2$

1 ...madenamakabha devanasamidh(i)gat.

Not remembering any parallel passage either in the Dhammapada or elsewhere, I do not see I have, for the present, anything really useful to say on this fragment. The very mutilation of the words is doubtful. I should only like to remark that the letters tand d are so very similar that one can never be positive over the transcription of the one or the other, as long as the sense of the context is wanting there to guide the reading. The vocalization of the dh of samidhi is only likely. Kubha may very well represent garbha. I should only like to dwell upon the reading of the last character. It is usually read ph (cf. Bühler), and I myself have read it so in the name Gudupharasa in the inscription of Takht i Bahi (Notes in Engr. Ind., III). But it is, I believe, a transcription that requires to be revised. It is not the normal form of ph, nor its slight variant which is used in this manuscript, e.g., in the word phalana (Cvo. 8) and elsewhere. Is it a double ph or a double /h? Besides the present passage

where the interpretation of the sign remains doubtful, it reappears in abhai (B, 7), in valabhu (B, 20, 21), in sebho=sreyah (Cro, 7, 18, 21) and in prabhamquna (Cvo, 3, 16, 17); in all these words it is the that we expect, more particularly in sebbo which we also find clearly written as schu, where a hardening into ph would be quite odd. I have to add that in one case at least, viz. B, 21, we find the bh of apalabho written in a character different from that which is in question and in which the bh of sulubhu is written side by side. To speak without being positive, the orthography, so inconsistent in this manuscript, seems to indicate that our dialect generally preserved the bh. A sure solution would be possible only after all the monuments, where appears the character in question, will have been verified from this point of view. Meanwhile, I have decided to read it everywhere as hh and not ph I have transcribed in conformity with this conclusion, but I have not failed to note, in every special case, the sign represented in the transcription.

2 . na dhama na sev .a" pramadena na savasi michadithi na roy .a" na sia lokavadhano

Cf. Dhammap., 167.

- a. The comparison with Dh. 167 enables us to complete [hi]na and, probably, sev[e]a.
- b. For recayati equivalent to secayati, cf. Dhammap., p. 122, 1. 15: kassa train dhammain recess "whose law dost thou approve, dost thou follow?" We had soyati for socati (A<sup>1</sup>, 4). It is likely that the manuscript had royea.
- c. Childers (q. v.) declared that he had not any idea of the precise meaning of lokaraddhana. M. Fausboll transcribed the etymological signification: "mundi amplificator", and the rendering of M. Max Müller "a friend of the world", is quite vague. I suspect that the term rests upon the expression kula- or ramsa-vardhana, and that our verse counsels not to increase the number of beings, that is to say, to renounce desire, on the one hand, and to attain to the perfection that closes the circle of samsāra, on the other.

puvi pramajati<sup>a</sup> pacha su na pramajati loku ohaseti abha muto va surju<sup>b</sup> O

Cf. Dhammap., 172.

There is no doubt as to the reading tu. The ca of Dhanmuapada has, as often, an opposite meaning, entirely equivalent. The fold of the back has rendered the reading of the last two letters very indistinct; it seems certain that the final t is accompanied by an i; what is on the top of j is, on the contrary, very doubtful; I have accordingly decided to transcribe pramajati. On the whole, this reading affords a construction equivalent in meaning to pamagjitvā of the Dhammapada, but more correct; for it does not leave the relative yo alone and without a finite verb. Meanwhile, the right reading might well be pramajite or pramajeti = pramajitra; not that the form is justified without difficulty, for it would be necessary to allow a spelling to for trā which ill becomes analogy, but that it seems that in line 8, parirugeti may likewise be = parivajelva.

We have several times already met with a for a method middle of words. Although imam may be more natural, claim has nothing surprizing; the substitution of obhascli for publiascle, and of the sun

for the moon does not require any observation.

# dha nikhamadha yujatha budhasasane" atha macuno sena nalagara ba kuñaru O

Cf. Theragatha, verses 256, 1147.

Cf. Divyavadāna., pp. 68, 138.
Cf. Burnouf, Lotus, pp. 529-530.

The incorrect spelling sasana is invariably reproduced

in this manuscript.

The second and third letters of nalagara are indistinct. The parallel passages alone permit of a restoration of the word, and the traces of the letter which I testore as = la, seem to me less favourable for a reading da, which, in itself, would be equally plausible. I have already pointed out the spelling ba for ta - iva (A<sup>1</sup> 6)

5 apramata smatimata susila bhotu bhichavi" susamahitasagapa sacita anurachadha O

#### Cf. Dhammap., 327.

- a. The vocative bhichave in B. 53 (cf. PAh, bhikkhare) appears to support here the form bhichari. But though the construction is differently modified in either hemistich, I do not find any means to make out anything of bhichari else than a nominative; unless the fault of the copyist be admitted, bholu (=bhontu) cannot be interpreted as a second person: "Let the bhikkhus be intent, conscientious, virtuous. The mind well-collected, guard your thought."
- 6 yo ima sadhamavinau" apramatu vihaşiti" prahai jatisansara" dukhusata" karişa[t]i.

Cf. Divya Avad, p. 68.

This verse in the Div. Avad. comes immediately after our verse 4 above.

- a. Vinau=vina[y]am fits well. But there seems to be, before vi, a trace of the remnant of another letter. Besides that it gives a syllable too many for the pada, I searcely imagine what it could be. Viharati is construed with the accusative, probably on the analogy of carati.
- b. Vihasiti=riharisyali, with syncope of ri (1?), and i= ya, as in prahai=prahāya, and frequently. Similarly rihasisi, B, 19.
- c. I do not see how the compound which I read his ean be interpreted otherwise. It is not difficult to find out the sthere; as regards the h, we have not, I believe, any instance of it in the inscriptions; it is, then, not easy to state precisely the analysis of the constituent elements. The value of the sign is attested by several instances.
- d. The n of khn is perfectly clear; I can only see here a mistake of the scribe which is explained by the n of the preceding syllable the of course that a mistake is mistaked.

vadami bhadrañu" yavatetha samakatab adarata bhodha sadhami supravediti" 🔾

Cf. Dhammap., 337.

We have already met with yu = vo, rah (A¹, 4), and bhadrañu is again = bhadrañ yu = bhadrañ vah. We see that the reading in the Pâli text should not be voradāmi = vyavavadāmi, but separated into two words. The two vah s are not a double use; bhaddain does not qualify tain: bhadrain vah is, in some sort, the plural of bhadrain te, bhadante, and forms an independent expression. It is a different question, which I do not pretend to decide, namely, whether it is convenient to attribute to it all its etymological value or to consider it as a mode of address, and whether to render either simply "Lords!" or "welfare to vou!"

For sumukata, ef. adhikuchair (A1, 2, etc.).

Cf Dhammap., 78: anyapparedite dhamme... For supraredita compare, more particularly, one of the frequent epithets of the Dharma: \*rākhyāta.

ada parivajeti" apramadarata sada etha kuśala dhama yokachemasa prataa" 🔾

I have, in line 3, already suggested that parivajeta might be=parivajeta. The t and the i are clear; we cannot, then, look for the second person plural here, which would be expected side by side with bhāvetha. This expression is met with, for instance, in

This expression is met with, for instance, in the Suttanpata, 425: Yoyakkhemassa pattiya; "Estianged from all relaxation, true to a constant application, practise virtue to attain to Nirvana."

Iere is the transcription of what remains visible of the two verse-ends, that figure on the fragment reproduced up on the left of Plate A. 2:

loke athatha dhiravenea dicha." O lana sabrayano pratismato O

It does not seem, as one would expect here, that the last letter is ti which would give dichat, and

- supposing the separation of the words to be precise, it would permit of a transcription in Sanskrit: loke 'ithārthaih dhīro \*raineyo ditsali. But we are simply hypothesizing. The sarest course is to wait till a parallel Pali text is found.
- b. That is to say, samprajānah pratismetah. The two epithets are likewise contiguous in Suttanipāta, v. 413 (425?). Our dialect tends particularly to weaken the tenues that follow the nasal: we have had sa(i)gapa = sanka/pa (1. 5 above); we shall have (B, 35) sija = sinca, and (Cro, 16) annabisa = ann-kampi[sya]nah, etc: similarly sahrayano; cf. Cro, 43.

#### $\Lambda^a$

- 1 savi saghara anica ti yada prañaya paśati tada nivinati<sup>a</sup> dukh
  - Of the two small fragments that follow, the first one applies well to this verse; there are to be found traces of the following: eso magn ri[sodhia]; the second surely belongs to another passage, since the verse ends with visodhia.

#### Cf. Dhammap., 277.

- a. One of the peculiarities attaching to the dialect of this manuscript is that the compound nd, dental or cerebral, is written n, that is to say, if its appearance as it is written is to be believed, is changed into nn: panila for pandila, etc. Vibbindati dukkhe, as Childers has rightly understood it: "Only does he conceive disgust for [existence which is nothing but] pain."
- 2 savi saghara dukha ti vada pramo gradhati tada nivinati dukha 6 e30 magu visodhia O

Gradhati=granthati, in keeping with the observation just made on the A<sup>2</sup> fragment of the principal Plate I understand the word here in the sense of "to deduce to recent to conclude"

deduce, to reason, to conclude."

Our manuscript gives, here and in the next verse, dukha, that is to say, dukham, the accusative as opposed to the locative of the Pâli. It is, very likely, the same in the preceding verse. This construction is,

I realhi for visudhi is not surprising in this manuscript where the u and the o are constantly used, the one for the other. As regards the final letter, it is not impossible that it may be e instead of a, but there is no more certainty, the less so, as the next verse clearly has visadhia.

dhama anatma ti yada pasati cachuma² nıvınati dukha eso mago visodhia ⊖

Cf. Dhammap., 279.

however, difficult to explain.

Above the letter chu appear traces of a sign, which is hardly distinct. I can only explain it as a kh, some reader having felt the necessity to note that, for chu, khu could also be written; in fact, the compound ks is changed equally into ch and kh in this manuscript. And the conjecture is so much the more plausible, as, just in the verse following, the word is written cakhuma. If it is verified, it will have this interesting character that this superadded kh, written, consequently, after the original execution of the manuscript, displays a form more archaic than what figures there ordinarily.

na athagio setho sacana cauriª pada u setho dhamana pranabhutana cakhuma O

Cf. Dhammapada, 273.

Citvāri, cattāri is in the Buddhist dialects readily used for the masculine. I do not believe that our

cauri may be the direct reflex of catvāri, but it may be rather of catura; the change of a into i may strictly be mechanical. Meanwhile, it is difficult to imagine that the analogy of the use of cattāri should not have floated in the mind of those using caturi, and influenced this spelling, just as the memory of a Māgadhism bhirchare has similarly aided the orthography bhichare, bhichari (cf. A<sup>2</sup>, 5). As regards the clision of the t, see I. 10, phasai side by side with bhasati, etc.

.,

ga 30

6 utifha" na pramajea dhamu sucarita cari dhamacari suhu seati " asmi loki parasa yi " O

# Cf. Dhanmap., 168.

- a. The omission of the final c, with a for withe, may only be due to the negligence of the scribe, not to any dialectic peculiarity.
- b. The traces of the final v of robu are not quite distinct; it is perhaps suba which the copyist wished to write. Scali appears to rest, not on the usual form set, but on the form sayals, and being written c.
- c. This last pada is found again likewise in Con, 20, with the genitive parasa for the locative parasmin. Similarly, numeric and, B, 30, sugarandana, Cro, 3. A mistake, simply clerical (parasa for parasi = parasmi) is hardly probable side by side with name loki. It is more natural to admit a perversion, a confusion in the use of cases, of which the following (1.9. fg) will supply many instances, and of which the language of the Mahavastu affords us so many evidences. For ca we find in this manuscript a regular scale of eterical modifications: ju, Cm, 17; ji, B, 35; ya, A\*, 4; yi, here and elsewhere; i, C'ro, 37; i for ya is explained well, and the orthography gi may be strictly understood as equivalent to ya; but in ji, for ju, it is difficult not to admit an abnormal action of the analogy of i-vi

7 uthanena apramadena sañamena damena ca divu" karoti medhavi ya jara nabhimardati <sup>b</sup> O

#### Cf. Dhammap., 25.

- a. The weakening of the medial p (and also initial) into r, is most frequent in this manuscript.
- 6. The comparison which this variant of the last påda involves with that of the Påli recension, is in itself certainly less satisfactory. For an island, age is a factor of destruction less menacing than the surge (flood) to which it is a prey. I wonder why it should not be understood as jharā, and why, on the analogy of jharī meaning "river" (PWB q.v.), the word could not be taken, not in the precise acceptation of "caseade, waterfall," but in a generic sense hordering upon that of ogha. Cf the Kharoṣṭhī inscription where Bühler thinks he is able to read jharanī and interpret it in the sense of "well." Whatever that may be, the substitution of the verb abhumardati is probably inspired by the desire to do away with the metrical inaccuracy of abhukīrati.
- 8 uthanamato smatimato suyikamasa" nisama-[carino sañatasa hi<sup>t</sup> dhamajivino apramatasa yasidha [vadhati O

# Cf. Dhammap., 24.

- a.  $Suyt^{\circ} = \sin et^{\circ}$  as in suyigan(dh)a,  $C^{\circ}$ , 3, not to speak of other analogous cases.
- b. Hi is pretty nearly as good as the ra of the Pali text.
- 9 uthane alasa anuthahatu" yoi bali alasieuvito" sansanasagapamano smatima' prañai maga alasu [na vinati ]

# Cf. Dhammap, 280.

a. The manuscript has clearly uthane, which would not permit of any other division of words. It is certain that we have here before us a confusion of

ř

- In alter

the scribe and that the original reading was uthanaalasa, that is to say, uthanakalasmi. Compare note c to l. 6, where I have cited sugarandasa = samkārakūtc. I do not see how it can be read otherwise than as anuthahatu; yet it must be admitted that the tha has a somewhat unusual form, which, the context permitting, might be read the.

- b. Voi=yo ayam. This reading is assuredly preferable to yarā of the Phli—little matters youth, since moral force, and not physical activity, is only concerned—which must be due to a confusion of the reductors. There is another confusion lurking, I believe, in ālasiyā or ālasiyam (cf. Fausböll's notes), neither of which can be well construed with upeta. In a dialect where the spelling—and perhaps the pronunciation—e could be substituted for ya, as is the case with the language of our manuscript, the compound alasicupeta=ālasiyaupeta would save the metre; the Phli reductors have sought to restore it by an arbitrary expedient.
- c. Smatima can only be explained as = asmatima, the negative a being dropped after the final a which precedes. It is, if I am not mistaken, the only instance of sandhi our fragments afford.
- 10 na tavata dhamadharo yavata baho" bhaşati yo tu apa bi şutvana⁴ dhamu kaena phaşai¹ ○
- 11 sa ho<sup>d</sup> dhamadharo bhoti yo dhamu na pramajati O

# Cf. Dhammap., 259.

- a. O for u is in this manuscript particularly common after h, cf. for instance, buhojano, C<sup>ro</sup>, 31 (30?); C<sup>ro</sup> (C<sup>ro</sup>?), 12, etc. But we have already pointed out some parallel cases, after other consonants.
- 6. Bi = (a)pi is met with elsewhere, as in  $C^{ro}$ , 0. The spelling g for gr is by far the most common in this manuscript.

..... The reading phasa is certain. . . . . . The Pâlı has pussati, that is to say, pasyati. S might here be interpreted in the same way as  $s = (=\hat{s})$  in phusamu (B, 25) from sprs, and, as I find that in the verse of the St. Petersburg manuscript which corresponds to Dhammapada 398, phalia is = paligha, we might strictly bring back our phusai to pasyate But a double anomaly must have to be admitted in the word itself and immediately beside its exact spelling. I prefer by far to hold that ph is for bh and phasa =  $bh\bar{a}sati$ . I have myself sought to discard a whole series of apparent instances of this transformation (cf. A2, 1). That it is not produced from sporadic cases, is no reason, especially as the hardening of the sonant into tenues is more frequent in our text. The very resemblance which is manifest here between the letters bh and ph, might have caused an accidental mistake. With bhāsatī the sense is excellent. "it does not suffice to make fine speeches, he must speak by his acts (layena, in keeping with the classification of kaya-, vāk- and manaļikarma)", or, if you like, "to teach by example." At the most we may question if bhasai=bhāsatr, as abhai=ābhāti (B, 7), or=bhāsaye, bhāsayet. Anyhow it is curious to think that such a substitution of ph for bh in the version which has served as the basis of the Pali redaction, has been quite the cause of the confusion that has introduced passati in the text, and quite naturally, misled the interpreters.

Ho = kho, khalu, as in  $C^{ro}$ , 6.

amadu amatapada pramadu mucuno pada amata na miyati ye pramata yadha mutu "

### Cf. Dhammap., 21.

The singular mulu, myluh, may strictly be understood as "those who live in relaxation are as a dead man", but it is very probable that our scribe has made a slip and that the original text read mula: "they are as those dead," that is to say, sure to die, so as not to escape transmigration 13 eta visesadha" ñatva apramadasa panito apramadi pramodia ariana govari rato O

#### Cf. Dhammap., 22.

- a. I take rišesadha as formed by the suffix dhā, which may in this case very well supply the suffix tah of the Pali.
- h. Apramalusa for the locative; cf. parasa in 1.6 above. I had at first read panti = panelite, but I do not think that we are here forced to admit this sort of Maghadism. The vowel stroke is not lengthened upwards, and, if it crosses the transverse har of the letter /, it is, I think, only a simplification due to cursive writing, which has united, in the form of a ring, the top of the vowel stroke and the left hook of the consonant. It is certain, at all events, that the phrase is here construed in the singular and not in the plural as in Pâli.
- 14 pramada anuyujati bala drumedhino" jana apramada tu medhavi dhana sedhi "va rachati O

# Cf. Dhammap., 26.

- a. Drumedhi, just as we have had already drughe. Other analogous instances will be found afterwards.
- 6. The reading sethi appears to me decidedly better than the Pali sethum, which gives here but a vagne and colourless epithet. Sethi is the nominative of siesthin, and the half-verse should be rendered: "But the wise clings to diligence as a banker to his treasures." Although the vowel stroke does not descend below the lower transverse bar of that, several instances prove that it is i and not c that should be read. I am content with referring to diffi; A4, 2. It is quite the reading sethi or sresthi which, in his text, the Tibetan translator of the Udanavarga had before his eyes, as is shown by the version of M. Rockhill (Udanavarga, IV, 18): "the wise man must be careful, as is the head of a caravan watching his treasures."

matu pramateșu suteșu bahojagaru 18aª va bhadrasu hitva yati sumedhasu

# Cf. Dhammap., 29.

This is, if I am not mistaken, the only instance, in our fragments, of the substitution of v for b. It is true that in verses  $A^1$ , 4 fg., we find b completely suppressed between two vowels: supraudhu, etc. In the same way, the compound  $\hat{s}v$ , reduced here into  $\hat{s}$ , is in several cases preserved in the form of  $\hat{s}p$ :  $v\hat{s}pa$ , B, 26;  $v\hat{s}pa\hat{s}a$ , B, 25.

nada apramadena yada nudati panitu aprasada aruyu" asoka soino jana atatho va bhumatha dhiru bala avechiti b

The y is sometimes more square, sometimes more

# Cf. Dhammap., 28.

angular at the top; materially, it will be perfectly lawful to read urusu; but it is allowable also to read aruyu, and this is the only transcription which appears to me to give an intelligible form. We want, in fact, an equivalent for aruhya. Yu=hya is peculiar. I must say that it is specially the v that astonishes me. As regards the consonant, there are several instances which reveal a particular affinity, in the language of this manuscript, between h and j, which is readily written y [cf. sabrayana (A<sup>2</sup> fragment) etc.]; in B, 34 we find daj(h)amana for  $dahyam\bar{a}na$ , and in the St. Petersburg fragments I have found y(i)samano=himsamānah, and parvahita = prairajila. We may also compare these instances with schu, Cro, 8, scho Cro, 9, etc. (śreyah), where y is represented by #. As for the vocalisation in u, if I cannot explain it, I am at least in a position to cite another instance of it, altogether similar: abhivuyu = $abhibh\bar{u}ya$  (B, 30, 31). In both cases, the vowel in the preceding syllable is n. It seems then that it may be this nearness, which, by somewhat of an effect of vowel harmony, may have coloured our a.

h. Must we explain the first i of arcelati for arcelati similarly, or just take it to be a simple slip of the copyist baving been influenced by the i of the following syllable?

17 apra ...... tu apramada prasajhati" pramadu garahitu sada

#### Cf. Dhammap., 30.

a. The j is surmounted by a horizontal stroke, which, as I have said above, appears to mark the aspirate; we have, then, sajhute=sainsati; and there is no doubt about the reading, for exactly likewise we find again prastif(h)ati in B, 21.

#### $\Lambda^4$

 juo namo" so magu abhaya namu sa diśa radho akuyano\* namu dhamatrakehi sahato\* ○

# Cf. Samy, Nik., I, V, § 6, v. 2.

- u. This verse is closely bound up with the two following, and completes with them a sort of allegory founded on the imager which likens Buddhist teaching to a "vehicle", yāna. The restoration must be nju(k)a. The reading of the vowel in the syllable mo of nama is not fully certain; it is so much the more probable that in the next padas we have, of a surety, name. We will find plenty of other cases where m labinhors a following vowel into n.
- b. Our akuyana confirms the reading akujana adopted for the Pâli by the editor, M. Feer. To me the explanation appears to be a-kujana, "where the wicked are none."
- c. Sanitula is as good as sumyulla of the Pali. The word that precedes is perhaps more doubtful. Fortunately the Pali text continues the comparison we arrest as the theme to these vise peak up a it does of

...

the "wheels of the Law." But here our reading can only be dhamatrakehi or dhamadrakehi. The second form gives us nothing intelligible; on the contrary, the first may be interpreted as "dharmatarkaih, that is to say, "the reasonings, the thoughts of the Law." The Suttanipata, 1101 rightly speaks of annavimokhum, which is dhammatakkapurejaram; the dhammatarkas are thus likewise represented as affording a quick impulse to religious progress. We are going to have, in the next verse, the expression samedithipurejara, which, on the other hand, has its counterpart in the Suttanipata, and the first two terms clear up each other samyagdrsti denotes "true, just ideas", dharmatarka, in the same way, "the reasonings, the ideas conformable to religion", consequently just and right. It is probable that the reading tarka is older than the reading cakra, which, being more ingenious and piquant, could, once established, no more have been displaced. I translate therefore. "The path is the straight road, the country, the region of felicity, the chariot, the resort of honest people firmly established in truth."

asa avaramu″ smati sa parivarana¹ .ahu″ saradhi bromi samedithipurejavu″ ○

Cf. Samy. Nik., I, V, § 6, v. 3.

For this verse and particularly for the meaning of apālamba, cf. Morris, Journ. Pali T. Soc., 1886, p. 128. The form ararama is, I believe, the only example, found in our fragments, of the substitution of r for l; as for m=mb, we may compare udumaresu = udumbaresn in B, 40.

Small sa=small usa. It seems to me that parroarana ought to denote rather a part of the car than, as M. Morris would have it, the escort which accompanies it; this is perhaps the roof which covers and protects it.

Although the character read 'hu' appears cut off by the transverse stroke of i, I doubt, as it seems to bear well the sign u at the foot, if this stroke, at all events shorter and less prominent than ordinarily, should be taken into account and I am of opinion that it is dhamahn that should be read, that is to say, dhamam aham, as in Pali.

- d. Samyak is, in this manuscript, always written same = samya. For the expression, compare note (c) of the foregoing verse. This verse is rendered: "Modesty is its skid; consciousness, the roof which protects it; and I call the Law, the driver that impels and quickens the truth."
- 3 yasa etadiśa yana gehi parvaitasa va " sa vi etina yanena nivanaseva satie O

#### Cf. Samy, Nik. I, V, § 6, v. 4.

- a. It must be gehino, in order that the construction might be correct. I venture only to affirm that the scribe may not have meant to write gihi, for the bar (i.e., the vowel stroke) passes slightly down the ring of the letter ga. This detail is of so little importance that the confusion between i and e is here complete, as can also be seen from vi=vai, ve and ctina. The inversion parral for prarral is not rare; I have several times noted parrahila in the St. Petersburg fragments, where we moreover find the j replaced by an h, which perhaps has no other rôle than to prevent hiatus, like the y of the Ardhamāgadhī orthography. I translate: "Whoever possesses such a car, layman or monk, proceeds to Nirvāṇa in that car."
- 4 supraudhu praujati" imi" gotamaşavaka yeşa diva ya rati ca nica budhakata smati O

#### Cf. Dhammap., 296.

- a. I do not see here any trace of the upper stroke intended to mark aspiration, which the following verses present in that word. I have already pointed out this complete elision of b between two vowels (A<sup>3</sup>, 15).
- b. The reading imi of our manuscript is evidently much better than sadā of the Pāli version. "These disciples of the Buddha are roused truly to the understanding which....." The theme is an exhortation not a liackneyed praise, of al the disciples of the Buddha indiscriminate y

5 supraudhu praujhati imi gotamaşavaka yeşa diva ya rati ca\_nica dhamakata smati O

#### Cf. Dhammap., 297.

6 [s]upraudhu praujhati imi gotamasavaka yesa diva ya rati ca nica saghakata" smati O

#### Cf. Dhammap., 398

- a. The form of the second letter (i.e., as much of it as is on a level with the line) does not denote gh (aspirated). In fact, the upper stroke which mounts the letter is intended to mark aspiration. We see then that the letter itself does not express it. Cf. B, 3, below.
- 7 [sup]raudhu praujhati imi gotamaşavaka yeşa diva ya rati ca nica kayakata smati O

# Cf. Dhammap., 299.

 8 supraudhu praujhati imi gotamaṣavaka yeṣa diva ya rati ca ahinsai rato mano O

# Cf. Dhammap., 300.

9 supraudhu p[r]aujati<sup>a</sup> imi gotamaṣavaka yeṣa diva ya rati ca bhamanai<sup>b</sup> rato mano ○

# Cf. Dhammap., 301.

- a. I do not see any trace of the upper stroke. It is not, however, certain that it had not existed, the ink in this passage being a little effaced.
- b. We shall again meet with other instances of the change of v into m, as  $namu = n\bar{u}vam$  (B, 35).

#### FRAGMENTS OF A

Of these, I have collected twenty-seven in all, mostly very small. I attempt only to transcribe those which have preserved at least some complete characters.

I. These are four commencements of line.

[d]ur.(?)ga. e

vario" va thale chi

anuvathitacitasa b

anuvașutacita

Cf. Dhammap., 37:

dürangamam ekacaranı

Ibrd., 34:

vārijo va thale khitto

Ibid., 38:

anavațțhitacittassa

Ibid., 39 ·

anavassutacittassa

- a. Vario for varijo, like parvaita for parvajita, as I have pointed out above (A<sup>1</sup>, 3).
- b. The u of anu is perfectly certain, as much in this word as in anurașuta of the next verse. In the Pali, however, it has no place either in the one or in the other word; it is anavasthita and anavasruta which the sense requires and which are in the Pali version. The scribe has, perhaps, been drawn into this blunder by the thought of anuvathita = anupasthita, which floated in his mind.

II.

# unapanucirah .

III. A verse-end, written on the darkest surface of the leaf [cf. p. 197 (?) —perhaps p. 19 i.e. A? Fragments of verse-ends]

# , ma(?)tvadadatasava ?ya ○

To me the letters, almost all, are too doubtful for transcription.

IV. The letter no marks the end of a pâda.

# uhașino yokama, e

The two fragments that follow belong to the leaf B, where they will be in their proper places in verses 42-45. They were found, when I was unfolding the the manuscript, mixed up with sheet A. Nothing can show better the disorder in which these fragments have come into my hands.

V. Cf. B, 42 fg.

??? so bhikhu jahati o ...... .. mahoho sa bhikhu jahati ...... s. bhikhu jahati o .........

VI.

vikaya so bhikhu jahati o saitha s...kh

VII. A commencement of line.

samadhimu.i

VIII.

la cita druracha drunivarana a

u

Cf. Dhammap., 33.

a. This reading is certain, and of the rest, durnivāraņu seems to me to be least so well as dunnivāraņu.

IX. A verse-end.

#### $\mathbf{B}$

The top of this leaf exactly fits in with the end of one of the leaves of the manuscript, which have found their way to St. Petersburg; so that our first sixteen lines meet with their complement there, partially at least. I have thought not to exceed the reserve, imposed upon me by the very courtesy with which my learned colleague and friend M. d'Oldenburg placed his documents at my disposal, by adding any copy of the verse-pieces which have been joined to our own fragments; both of them form an inseparable whole. I have taken care to enclose within brackets what are thus borrowed from the St. Petersburg fragment.

1 yo cutiu veti satvana Fvati ca" —[śana" budhu atimaśarira tam aho bromi bramana" ○]

# Cf. Dhammap., 419.

- a. It is not possible to distinguish a priori the t from the d in our manuscript. We might as well read redi. Yet the present appears to me here much more probable than the past, and I should be more disposed to admit that the reading of the Dhammapada proceeds from some old confusion, based, perhaps, exactly on the similarity of those two characters. Of the letter which I have replaced by a sign of interrogation, the bottom of the stroke only remains. It has no significant hook permitting of reading it as u in order to represent uravati, the upapatti of the Pali. At all events, I believe that the last letter, though the vowels stroke may be a little clipped, bears well the notation of t.
- b. M. d'Oldenburg transcribes the beginning of his fragment as [sa]rraśana. In the facsimile, there is nothing but the end, of which the reading śana is surely possible, but not certain, so much the less because it is difficult to see how this form sarraśana would be related to the formulauraśah, sarraśa which the Pâli permits us to expect. On the other hand, with this reading, way lable is wanting for the metre, and the facsimile, to a certainty, permits us to think that a small piece of the leaf is dropped between the

end of our fragment and the commencement of the other. The exact reading of this pada-end remains, therefore, necessarily doubtfuluntil further settled.

· Atimaśarira = antimaśarīram. Cf. Dhammap., 400.

# odhu anuvayasa vipramutu p. n. ... dhu vatamala dhira tam aho bromi bramana 🔿

- e Anuvayasa = anupāyāsam. The last letters are balf cut off; but the traces tally well with a restoration punabhava = punarbhavāt.
- With this pâda we may compare Dhammap., v. 261: sa ve vantamalo dhīro thero ti (thanro ti) pavuccati I translate: "The man without anger, without despondency, released from all future birth (=antima-sarīram of the preceding verse), wise, stainless, and firm, it is that man whom I call [truly] a brâhman."

tu puñe ca pave ca" uhu saga uvacai<sup>ð</sup> ga viraya budhu tam ahu bromi bramana O]

### Cf. Dhammap., 412.

- Let it suffice to note in passing the Maghadhisms puñe and puve i.e., pape, for puññam and papam.
- 6. We should note the letter hu, whn=who, whhau [h=bh, as often; ef. ohaseti (A², 3), etc.]; the interpretation cannot be contested. It is decisive for the transcription of whu = who, which recurs so often in our St. Petersburg fragments. Saga, here as well as in the following pada, presents a double peculiarity of for s, and the particular form of y. One might be tempted to interpret this form as = gh aspirated; but, besides that the aspirate would not be justified here, we have already (A², 6, note) met with an instance of a variant equivalent to the letter, with a stroke above, expressing aspiration. It is then much more natural to explain this base of the character as an accidental stroke of a habitual writing, which is very much generalised in certain numismatic alphabets. As regards wacai = upaccayā, I refer to A³, 4.

- 4 jai parakata" budhu jitavi akatagati" [pruju devamanuśana tam ahu bromi bramana O]
  - a The initial j has not the upper mark of aspiration; it is, however,  $jhai = dhy\bar{a}yin$ , that we must understand, and  $parakata = par\bar{a}kr\bar{a}nta$ .
  - b. Akatagati, that is to say, agatügati, "who is not drawn into the four agatis", for which cf. Childers. A graceful scribbling without any special signification will here be noticed, whereby the scribe has finished off the spear of ga, and which he has reproduced in the lower part of the vowel-stroke of mi in the last påda.
  - c. The reading prin seems certain. For my part, I cannot account for the r, and until a better interpretation should be found. I propose to understand pujn deramanusana=pūjyain deramanusyaih. The expression deramanusyapūjita is, with its various equivalents, current in Buddhist phraseology. The change of manusya into manuscript. I translate: "The man who applies himself to meditation, heroic and wise, who is a vanquisher [of passions], who does not force himself into evil ways, and who is worthy of the respect of gods and men, it is that man whom I call [truly] a brâhman."
- 5 jai" parakata budhu kitakica anasavu [budhu dasabaluvetu' tam ahu bromi bramana O]

# Cf. Dhammap., 386.

- a. This time again the *letter read* j is well=j, without any sign of aspiration.
- b. This påda appears here to be quite out of place, inferior certainly to its Påli counterpart: budhu is twice repeated, figuring already in the first påda, and dasabalopeta is an epithet that only suits the "Buddha" in the technical sense, which cannot be aimed at here. "The man who applies himself to meditation, heroic, wise, true to his duty, and free from passions, the Buddha endowed with ten powers, it is that man whom I call [truly] a bråbman."

rapraña medhavi marga[ma]rgasa koi?\* nu pravara vira tam ahu bromi bramana]

# Cf. Dhammap., 403.

I have already noted some spellings like gamera = gambhīra. The end of this pada presents some difficulty. It is hard to believe that our text does not correspond to that of the Pali. In the syllable which I transcribe as i, we could, it is true, admit the clision of v, just as we have ascertained that of the b in suprandhu and pranjhati,  $A^4$ , 4, 9. It does not yet appear to me certain, a little probable though it may be, that the reading should be bi, with the frequent change of v into b; although half of the last-letter is destroyed, what remains of it does not seem to warrant the letter da, and I do not yet see any other reading to suggest, that may at the same time be plausible to the sense and consistent with the trace of the manuscript.

tavati adicu rati abhai e cadrimu lhu [chatrio tavati jhai tavati bramano sarva ahoratra budhu tavati teyasa 50°]

# Cf Dhammap., 387.

The bh of abhai is written by the same character as the bh in A,<sup>2</sup> 1 (cf. note). For the elision of t between two vowels, cf. phasa, A<sup>3</sup>, 10, note c.

The cipher is here added on the margin, at the end of the line, and without the addition of ga[tha]. The scroll-mark that denotes the end of chapters is thrown into the next line, as can be seen from our fac-simile.

ıa savruto bhikhu atha vayai" s.v.to nena savruto bhikhu sarva drugatio jahi ○]

Savruto = samrytah. For the change of  $\gamma$  into ru, we may compare 1 25 · apru[tha]jana. I restore rayas racaya although the transverse line of  $\gamma$ 

ij

The same of the sa

THE THE CHEET ! THE PROPERTY AND THE PROPERTY IN THE PROPERTY IN THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY

may have disappeared in the break), because of the sure reading in the next verse; i=ya. Cf. rayaya in l. 10 (?). "The monk who is master of himself in his actions and in his words, the monk who is master of himself in his thoughts, would not fall again into any of the evil paths."

9 kaena sañamu sadhu sadhu va[yai" sañamu manena sañamu sadhu][sadhu savatra sañamu sarvatra sañato bhikhu savadugatio jahi]

# Cf. Dhammap., 361.

- a. That which, in this verse and in those following, is enclosed between the first brackets, belongs to the detached fragment on the left of Plate B, and ought to have been connected with the principal fragment. "It is good to be master of self in one's actions, good to be master of self in one's words, good to be master of self in one's thoughts; it is good to be master of self in all circumstances; the monk who is, in all circumstances, master of his self, would not fall again into any of the evil paths."
- 10 hathasañatu padasañatu [vayasañatu savutidrio ajhatma][rato samahito eko satuşito tam ahu bhikhu 0]

# Cf. Dhammap., 362.

11 yo muhena sañato bhikhu mana[bhania anudhato artha dhar][mu jib deseti masurua tasa bhasita O]

## Cf. Dhammap., 363.

- a. This reading suggests the form mandabhānin, "who speaks little," as understood from the Pali texts; it is much more probable than the form mantabhānī, which the scholiast takes great pain, though in vain, to explain.
- b. The r is distinguished by the elongation of the right branch of the m (frag of Plate B) I should not decide, with certainty of the text has run or run

c. The reading masuru seems very clear on the fac-simile of M. d'Oldenburg. There has been a confusion on the part of the scribe, but this confusion is easily explained; in the Kharosthi writing it is indeed a form of s, which is only distinguished from dh by the terminal hook being drawn to the right. This hook is turned towards the right in s and towards the left in dh. This detail seems to prove, as one would expect, that the copyist was working on a manuscript written in a hand similar to his own

uñakare " pravithasa satacit . . [bhikhuno manusa rati] [bhoti same dharma⁵ vivasatu ○]

# Cf. Dhammap., 373.

- a I do not attempt to decide, at least for the present, if the final  $e = \alpha m$ , or, what seems at first more probable, if we have to deal with an extension of the use of the locative.
- b. The extremity of the tail of the r, attached—as one may see in verse 14—to the right arm of m, still remains visible below the gap.

ato yato sammaṣati " kan(dh)a[na udakavaya" ahati priti][pramoju amutu ta vianatu " O]

#### Cf. Dhammap., 374.

a. I should linger awhile over the reading I suggest for this word; for the conclusion I have come to regulate a whole series of parallel cases: I would speak of the second character. It is certain that the n is usually marked in this manuscript by a hook placed at the foot of the consonant and more or less inclined towards the right, besides more or less closed, so as to appear occasionally as a small circle, somewhat oblong. The sign which we have here below our m is also a hook, but more rounded and more open than the other and, particularly, placed more towards the right of the consonant. If we consider this circumstance, viz., that the sound mn is usually noted by a special character, it must be avowed, n spite of a certain similarity that the sign

scribbled below should be distinguished from the sign ", and that therefore it is not m" that quest to be read. Given the form of the anusvara in the epigraphic alphabet, we might incline to find it here again; but surely, the anusvara is not generally noted in our manuscript; and in the only case, absolutely certain, which I may have cited already—it is in the St. Petersburg fragments-it presents, on the contrary, the exact form of m; I cannot, therefore, see in our hook an anusvara, but simply an m final, written below, as often in the epigraphic texts in Dévanâgarî. The reading sumanisati will, in itself. be unlikely; it is especially in the word brahmana that our sign reappears; the transcription bramamna is equally inadmissible. The comparison which is forced with the anusvara of the inscriptions is, however, instructive. Everyone admits that this form of the anusvara is nothing but the m turned round. I think that, in the same way here, our hook is nothing but the w turned round, but preserving its normal value. The sign will be thus =mm. In all the cases where I have pointed it out, this analysis is absolutely satisfactory. Had it appeared only in the word brahmana, one might surmise a compound mh; but, apart from the unlikelihood in writing, there is no room for an h in the present case. There is an example which appears to me to be decisive for the interpretation I propose, and which I for my part am finally convinced of; it is in the St. Petersburg fragments, in the verse which corresponds to Dhammap., 82, where the word cannot but be read as gammiro=gambhīro. Cf. also the two verses in the same fragment, which, correspond to Dhammap., 81, where we are authorised in the same way to read summijuti (i.e., mmi  $mi\dot{m}$ ) =  $sammi\pi_i ati$  of the Buddhist instead of Sanskrit and also of Påh. As regards the s=8 of sammasali, it is explained perhaps by the nearness of the r, somewhat like  $s=\delta r$  (cf. sutvana A<sup>3</sup>, 10). Nevertheless, the ordinary transcription of Sanskrit rá is á, as in °dasima, 1. 32.

b. The compound udh is generally written n with the stroke of aspiration above, so that it might almost be interpreted as udh or nh, as bañana = landhana n 19 (?) and e sewhere. Here the mark of aspiration s wanting. Precise y in the same

पुर का जब

way, the spelling udaka = udaya, such is quite peculiar, is reproduced in  $C^{ro}$ , similarly we will find dhoreka  $(C^{ro}, 3i)$ .

c. Neither do I construe nor understand this last påda like the previous interpreters; I cannot believe that placed as it is, the pronoun tam may refer to prin-pramoja. I am of opinion that it is necessary to cut off the sentence at the end of the third påda, and that the fourth should be translated literally: "liberation from death is [the lot] of him who knows [of those who know] it", that is to say, of those who, knowing it, practise it and destroy the skandhas. For the suppression of the medial j, suffice it to refer to parvailusa of A4, 3.

14 śuñakari pravithasa śataci[tasa bhikhuno ama][nuṣa rati bhoti same dharma vivaśatu ○] .

This line is exactly identical with line 12. There is some confusion here of the copyist who must have repeated a line by mistake or neglected some partial variant, which in his text distinguished the two verses. Cf. p. 251-2.

15

][

same dhama vivasatuO]

In spite of the traces that remain of the first three padas, I have not succeeded in restoring the probable reading of it.

,16 nathi jhana aprañasa praña nathi ajhayato [¹yasaª jana ca praña ya so ho] [nirvanasa satia⁴○]

[Foot-note . 1 Fr B vii ]

Cf. Dhammap., 372.

a. This verse and the two following are completed by means of a detached fragment which I designate as fr. B viii. Yasa is genitive doing the function of the locative, as often in this manuscript. I cannot decide, on account of the break, if the letter read j of jana hears or not the stroke of aspiration. Ho khalu for var ve of the Pali

- b. The lower part of the last character is tolerably indistinct on the fac-simile. I dare not affirm if the true reading may not be satii; it would be nearer to the Pâh and grammatically more justifiable.
- 17 tatrai adi bhavati tadhaprañasa" bhikhuno [¹idriagoti satuthi pratimukhe i³]

[Foot-note: ' Fr. B vii ]

# Cf. Dhammap., 375.

- a. The t is clear. We have, then, opposite to idha, ihu of the Pah, another variant tatha prañasa, whether tathā refers to the description in the previous verse [" the monk who thus, that is to say, by meditation, is in possession of the wisdom"], or whether this expression tathāprajāa goes back to the general analogy of Buddhist phrases as tādrīs (tādi, tāyia), tathāgata, etc., with one of which I have dealt elsewhere, IRAS, Oct., 1898, p. 866.
- b. I=ra, as we have seen already. For the expression pātimokkhe ca samvaro, cf. savuta prātimuhhasa, fr. C.Iro, 2.
- 18 mitra bhayea" padiruva sudhayiva a".... [¹padisaʔra.tisa. aprak]"
- 19 datu ayarakuśało suhu bhikhu vihaşisi<sup>d</sup> ○

[Foot-note: 1 Fr. B viii.]

Cf. Dhammap., 375:

mitte bhajassu kalyāņe suddhajīve atandite *Ibid.*, 376:

pațisanthāravuttassa äcārakusalo siyā

Ibid., 379:

so altagutto satimā sukharu bhikkhu vibāhisi

- a. On account of the break the reading of the character ye = je is not quite certain; but to me at least the reading seems infinitely probable.
- 6. The commencement of the pada can only be read in the light which the comparison with the Pali lends us. The half verse of the Dhammapada forms the

はず はない の時に 気がないない

end of a hypermetric stanza of six pådas. Ours on the contrary forms, as can be seen, the first third of a stanza of that kind. It is obvious that the arrangement of our text is the best: the half-verse mitte bhajassu, etc., connects itself, as badly as possible, with the sloka that precedes.

The lower part of the letters having disappeared, the reading in the first påda, is not sure for all the characters; after padi we may allow sadhara which will be well—the Påli santhära; but the top of the next character has not at all the appearance of a v, but rather of a g. Did our text read padisadharaguti?

Data is, of course  $= d\bar{a}ntah$ , and  $ayara = \bar{a}c\bar{a}ra$ . We have already met with nihasili (A², 6). Our form is midway between that of Sanskrit and that of Pali  $vih\bar{a}hiti$ . In Pali, besides the change of r(i)s into h, which seems to surpass the middle level of phonetic degeneration in that dialect, we should notice the spelling i for ya, which appears also to have been derived from a habit of writing, more free and less regular than has generally prevailed with its literary fixity. Likewise has this only normal form been partly supplanted by spellings like  $k\bar{u}hasi$ ,  $k\bar{a}hati$ ,  $k\bar{a}hanti$  side by side with  $k\bar{a}hisi$ ,  $k\bar{a}hiti$ ,  $k\bar{a}hinti$ , which appear isolated and peculiar.

bhu a natimañea nañesa smihao sia b a smihao bhikhu samadhi nadhikachati O

# Cf. Dhammap., 365.

For the character bh of salabhu, cf A<sup>2</sup>, note a. I need hardly remark that utimaüñati should be understood in the sense of "to despise, to disdain". Childers and M. Max Muller have already corrected the slight oversight of M. Fausböll.

I have discovered no means to distinguish a priori the m from the conjoint r in compounds like tr, tm, sm, vr. It is perhaps svihao = sprhayam, that ought to be read. The instances we have already cited, where  $\rho$  is changed into m (A', 3, note  $\delta$ ,) have induced me to think that it might be the same here. But I do not

see that it would be to any positive purpose to decide between the two transcriptions. In verse 25, we will meet with the usual change of sp into ph. For the change into o of the final syllable of the present participle, we may compare annici(m)tao and anusmaro (II. 22, 23).

21 apalahho tu yo bhikhu salabhu " natimañati ta gu deva prasajhati " sudhayivu atadrita O

#### Cf. Dhammap., 366.

- a. In the plate one might doubt if it is apalapho or apalabho that ought to be read; I should say that the original leaves no doubt, and it places us quite face to face with the character bh. In salabhu we again find the same form of the character bh (as in 1.20).
- b. For prasajhati = prasajnsanti, cf. the note on A<sup>3</sup>, 17 I take gu for ghu (with loss of aspiration as in kadha = skandha) = khu i.e., khalu, which is also represented by ho and hu.
- 22 kamaramu \* kamaratu kamu anuvicitao kamu anusmaro bhikhu sadharma parihayati O
  - a. This verse only differs from the next, which alone is represented in the Pali Dhammapada and of which it forms the antithesis, by the substitution of kāma, "desire," for dharma, "law, virtue," and by the corresponding suppression of the negative.
- 23 dhamaramu dhamaratu dhamu anuvicitao dhamu anusmaro bhikhu sadharma na parihayatiO

(f Dhammai 364

THE PERSON NAMED IN

samu <sup>8</sup> nekhamasukhu aprudhajanasevi . hu višpaša ma?? a?te asavachaye ° O

opasa marrare c

Cf. Dhammap., 271-272.

Bahosukena is well explained as = bahussukkena, bahu autsukya, and "energy, activity" is a satisfactory meaning. One would, however, expect s, rather than s, for ts. To make amends, the Pali bāhusaccena, if it is, with Fausböll, to be derived from bahu+sata (=smrta), ought to double the  $s: b\bar{a}hussacca$ . As the compound or is usually changed into s in our dialect, one may almost ask if the original reading should, as Childers seems to suppose  $(\overline{Dict.}, q. v)$ , have been  $b\bar{a}husacca = b\bar{a}hu\hat{s}rutya$ , so that our two variants would be parallel deformations from it. It is so much the more difficult to decide it, as, after all, our text bahoşukena, such as it is, is irreproachable for the sense, and—in view of the confusions between the sibilants, of which the only verse that follows exactly gives us two examples - very much acceptable for the form. Mano for pana(h), punah with p changed into m.

The plural phusamu is certainly preferable to the singular, by the more general turn it gives to the thought. For the substitution of s for s, cf. sammasati (verse 13).

Our text would confirm, if there were need of it, the correction that Childers (J. R. As. Soc. n. ser. V, p. 225) has rightly introduced in the Pâli text, vissāsam māpādi for vissāsam āpādi; for, the cases where the final m is, in this manuscript, preserved by Sandhi, are quite rare, however a priori the division mspasa mao may be by far the most probable. I scarcely doubt that the two letters, half lost, may have been pudi; but I am not quite sure, so as to represent them in the transcription. The medial compound in niépasa hardly appears clear here. For the different aspects of the compound letter, cf. nispa in the next line, and v(i)śpaśa, Cvo, 24 (23?). Striking is the resemblance of the normal form of the compound with the compound figuring on the monuments of Spalagadames, Spalahores, Spalirises, which is generally transcribed as sp and sp (Rühler, pl. I. l. 29); I dare not declare myself in a decisive way between

readings. Meanwhile, there cannot be any bout the word, which is risvana, to be sure: and dental (i.e., \*) is then unduly palatalised this text; and this irregularity is explained Loubt, as in Susana (II. 28, 29; A, 24), by the of another palatal s; there is thus but little ance that this palatal s could have been ted in the preceding syllable, where it is justithe etymology. I therefore incline to the and introduce it in my transcription. compound figures here only as representing a It does not appear to me to be a suffireason to transcribe it as so and risk the disince of a dialectic peculiarity which likens muscript real—a stereotyped variation is what to a well-known peculiarity of Zend. To be we already met with (A1, 6, 7) and which I more gennine than the turn adopted by Ali.

> ir tavata bhoti yavata bhichati para" irma samadai bh .khu hhoti na tavata O

Cf. Dhammap., 266.

a can be no hesitation in reading it as para; I ot explain the elongation of the stroke of the rthe small lower hook, which generally ends the r in this manuscript. It is clear that tenu of pali text should be substituted by tāratā, as it not give the necessary measure nor furnishes usual correlative face to face with yarata.

hoti pavana" vatava brammayiyava arati loku' so tu bhikhu tu' vucati O

Dhammap., 267. Ct.

b not decide if the copyist has, in pavana, forgot troke of the  $i = p\bar{a}p\bar{a}ni$ , or if we have to do with mitive, which the Buddhist style presents often transitive verb and in the function of the prative (cf. Mahavastu, passim).

30

31

6.

For ratara cf. ratarantam, Dhammap., 308, 400 Brammay, yara = brahmacaryatan; I have already pointed out the spelling  $y_1 = ca$  (A³, 6, note c); as for  $y_0 = ry_0$ , the verse Cro, 17 will give us side by side verya and hinamyava. In the same way, I find brammay, ryena in a passage of the St. Petersburg manuscript.

I have already pointed out the tendency of compounds commencing with the nasal to soften the surd into the sonant (cf.  $A^a$  Frag., note b) whence saghai = sankhāya. For carati construed with the accusative, cf. above,  $A^a$ , 6, and Mahdrastu, I, 410.

 $\mathcal{T}_e$  of the Pâli is preferable to our first tu, which by an unhappy redundance is repeated from the first pâda; as for the second, it is a mistake for ti, a mistake to which, it appears, our copyist had a natural proneness (cf. v. 38), always under the influence of a u close by.

ravihari yo bhikhu prasanu budhasasane ati ' pavaka dharma drumapatra ba maturu O

# Cf. Dhammap., 368, for the first half-verse

If we read tunate, I can make nothing out of it but tundati = tudati (cf. the Middle Vedic tundate); but the sense "to strike" is vague, and the expression middling. It is also easy to read dunati, and one might believe that it is an accidental inversion of nudate "to drive away, to suppress", which suits very well, just as at the end of the verse, maturu, which is certainly a gross error of the copyist, is for marutu = mārutah. It is perhaps a great deal to own two mistakes of the same nature in the same line. The general sense is in every way clear. "The monk who lives charitably, adhering to the teaching of the Buddha, chases the evil as the wind a tree-leaf."

ravihara yoʻ bhikhu prasanu budhasas ivijhub pada sata sagharavosamu suhac O

Cf. Dhammap., 368.

Metraurhara, that is to say, autravihuro, can very well be used as equivalent to maitravihurin. This

form of speech is added as grammatically possible and from a comparison with the verses following, so as to command the disjunction "rihārī yo in the Pali text.

- b. Pativijihati is understood in Pali to mean "to penetrate": it is then a very good synonym of adhigacehe. The termination only is surprising; in short, I can only see in it a present participle of padivijiham, which should be completed by the substantive verbunderstood.
- c. In sagharavošamu also, I cannot help admitting an inversion, but of the vowel only, for sagharovašamu which exactly corresponds to the Pâli.

dagacitu yo bhikhu abhivuyu priapriadidhikachi pada sata akavurusasevita O

For the third pada, cf. Dhammap., 368.

a. A similar case has already (cf. arnyn, A3, 16) given me the occasion to cite this absolutive in yn for ya. But I have not hitherto found any other example (save, of course, the repetition in the next verse) of r for bh. Be that as it may, abhirnyn cannot be anything but abhibhūya. Abhirnhya, which one might also think of, on the precedent of arnyn, would give neither sense nor any allowable construction. "The monk who feels happy having dominated pleasure and pain, reaches the region of peace, inaccessible to the weak-minded."

pramojabahulu" yo bhikhu abhivuyu priapria adhikachi pada sata aseyane moyaka" O

For the first påda, cf. Dhammap., 381.

- a. Note the regular form of the character hu.
- b. A syllable is wanting in the last pada, and this irregularity is complicated with the somewhat unforeseen Maghadism aseyane = asecanam, so that I searcely dare to suggest anything by way of firm

conjecture. If we admit that the copyist might have omitted a letter, and restore it as useyanekamoyaka, that is to say, asecanaekamocakam, we would suppress at least the oddness of the final e; but I have no parallel examples for ekamocaka "the only deliverer." The general meaning is not affected by this uncertainty of detail: "The monk who feels plenty of joy having dominated pleasure and pain, reaches the region of peace, the region (which is) delicious, (and which is a) liberator."

madaratu yo bhikhu pramadi bhayadasima" avu parihanae nivanaseva satii O

Cf. Dhammap., 32.

Between the character read  $\hat{s}i$  and the character read ma, an oblique stroke will be noticed. If the case were not, to my knowledge, so isolated, I would propose to see in the form ma with the oblique stroke an example of the analogous variant of ma to which I have had occasion to call attention elsewhere (Inscriptions de Piyudasi, I, pp. 23-24). This is, at least provisionally, the only explanation I can offer on this peculiarity.

amadaratu yo bhikhu pramadi bha.

# Cf. Dhammap., 31.

p. 10).

The traces of letters at the end of the line do not fit in exactly with the two lips of the break, and therefore I cannot read them with certainty; but it is very likely that our verse ends like the Pali by agiva gachati. As to the preceding pada, nothing is certain except that the last letter but one was accompanied by a n which well corresponds to the of thulam. I have, in the Pali text, substituted the correction daham for saham, rightly pointed out by M. Max Muller (Transl. of the Dhammap., S. B. E.,

34 jai bhikhu ma yi pramadi" ma te kamaguna [bhameñsu cita<sup>b</sup> ma lohaguda gili pramata kana dukham ida ti da-[jhamano

#### Cf. Dhammap., 371.

- a. The construction of the nominative pamādo is not happy, and a finite verb would be more proper; but it appears to me difficult to take promadi, which might be pramaji, for the Potential, and I am inclined only to find in it the exact counterpart of the Pali with a Māghadism in the termination i=e.
- L. Our text furnishes a sure correction of the Pah, the incongruity in which had justly embarrassed the interpreters. The compound \(\vec{u}s\) in our (i.e., the Prakrit) alphabet has so much the appearance of a doubled s that one would be tempted to imagine that it was on a text written in the same alphabet that the deformation of \(\text{bhamerics}u\) into \(\text{bharass}u\) must at first have been produced. The identity, in the dialect, of the nominative and the accusative plurals, both in \(\vec{a}\), facilitates on the other hand, the change of \(\kat{k\vec{u}mayu\vec{u}\vec{u}}\) into \(\kat{k\vec{u}mayu\vec{u}\vec{u}}\), rendered necessary by the first alteration.
- a. kunu, kundu, that is to say, krandan. The form dajjhai=dahyate is explained in Hemacandra IV, 246. "Meditate, O Monk, have done with relaxation! Let not the attraction of desire make thy spirit stray. Do not make the folly to swallow a (redhot) iron ball to groun afterwards and be burnt with the thought, "what a suffering!"
- 35. sija bhikhu ima nama" sita ti lahu bheşiti chetva raka ji doşa ji tato nivana eşitib O

## Cf Dhammap., 369.

- a. I have already pointed out this change of r into m in  $nama = n\bar{a}ram_{\ell}$  (A<sup>4</sup>, 9).
- 6. I need not go back to ji=yi=ca (cf.  $\Lambda^s$ , 6, note c; B, 27, note 6.). The third person *cylli* can be strictly defended if we admit that with the second

half-verse the turn becomes general and indeterminate. To be brief, however, the second person of the Pâlı is more natural.

- - a. Of the traces at the end of the second påda there remains too little to be restored with certainty. Nevertheless, the last letter but one appears to have been a ja. I suppose then that the påda had ended in jahi.
  - b. This last verse may, I think, be re-established with much certainty. I translate, therefore, omitting the short gap of the third pâda "Spurn the man of anger, the ungrateful, the hateful, the........... observe purity, O Monk, true to the teaching of the Buddha."
- 37 paja china paja jahi<sup>a</sup> paja utvari<sup>b</sup> bhavai pajasagadhio<sup>c</sup> bhikhu ohatino ti vucati ○

## Cf. Dhammap., 370.

- a. Above the ja of the second paja can be discerned a small stroke; but it is short and thick, and does not appear to have been intended to mark aspiration.
- b. I had occasion above to point out this transposition of v: utvari = vuttari (A<sup>1</sup>, 5, note a).
- c. Although I may have expressed before (1.3) that I do not think it right to transcribe as gh the form of the letter read ga, which we have here, it is not the less singular that this form is met with again precisely in the same word, here and in 1.3, and that, in the two passages, the word (saga) should have been written incorrectly with s for s dental. It goes without saying that our compound is sangūdhiko and that it well answers to the Pâli in sense,—"who is above the five bonds—though not in form

38 savašu namaruvasa yasa nathi mamaita asata i na šoyati so hu bhikhu tu vucati "O

## Cf. Dhammap., 367.

- a. Namaruvasa, genitive for the locative, as frequently, hu=khalu; tu for ti, cf. v. 27 above.
- 39 alagito" ya vi carea dhamu datu satu sañatu [brammayarı savişu bhuteşu nihai dana so bramano so b [samano so bhikhu 0

#### Cf. Dhammap., 142.

- a. Strictly, it is rather alageto that the manuscript has, nevertheless, the vowel stroke appears to pass a little beyond the hook of y. It is, besides, very difficult, in most cases, to decide with certainty if the copyist wished to write r or i; to judge of it by a host of examples would not attach to it any importance save a quite relative value.
- b. The o is comprised in the upper book of the s.
- 40 yo najakamo bh.v.s. s.r(?)<sup>a</sup> bhikhu jabati o...... viva udumareşu '

## Cf. Suttanipāta, 5.

- a. There is no trace of the stroke of aspiration above ja. I am, I believe, sure of the reading mo. We have already met with several proofs of the tendency of this dialect to labialise the a into u after m. It quite seems that our manuscript must have had a reading wholly equivalent here to that of the Pâl: Meanwhile, it is impossible to restore bhavesu saram; the stroke of the vowel is wanting above the v; as the s which follows is certain, we are allowed to ask
- b. Our text appears to have transposed the second and the fourth padas. It so the more singular that the second half of the stanza forms in this verse and

if it is not a gross error of the convist.

those following, a sort of stereotyped cadence. I do not see what sense the comparison might have at the end of the verse, where it seems to have been transported from the second påda. Be that as it may, we may, on the likeness of  $C^{ro}$ , 1, 2, admit that it should be completed as  $pus[u]nira\ u^{\circ}$ .

Here we come to a series of stanzas, which find their Pali counterparts in the first chapter, Uragasutta, of the Suttanipata. Unfortunately, the lines that are about to follow are still more fragmentary than these. Some fragments, several of which can be reconciled with certainty, enable us to fill up only a part of the gap; no line can be completed entirely. These restorations concern especially the end of verses, and this end is here uniform for all; what remains of the commencements is short and occasionally doubtful, lastly, although the threads along the margin may be preserved, the scraps which still adhere thereto in the principal leaf B, could not with certainty be re-instated in their proper places and with their respective intervals. In the circumstances, it will be understood that I have not been able to reunite in a decisive manner the beginnings and the ends of line.

It seems at least certain that the eight line-ends, as far as and including that which ends in the cipher on the margin, succeed one another without interruption. Above and below, the leaf is broken, some gaps are then, a priori, allowable; but, as to the lower gap, the visible tension of the thread on the left appears to exclude it. The cipher on the margin marks, besides, the end of a chapter; with the line that is prolonged, the last of our eight lines,—ends then the series of stanzas of uniform frame.

If now we looked at the beginnings, it would not appear that, between the line yo upa° and the line yau vana°, there are missing more lines than one, viz., that of which the beginning yo eca sarr is preserved by the Fragment B vi

This being granted, the fragment B XIII, which fits in surely above the end of line 42, preserves the remainder of a previous stanza of the same structure. A line, then, is certainly dropped after the one which we number 40. Is one only dropped?

To co sider the beginnings of line gaps scarcely seem to be noticeable. If we fill up with a line (to each), we will have eight commencements as against eight ends, and all will appear to agree.

But the fragments A vi and A v, which hold together, apply with certainty to the ends of lines 42-45. It will be necessary then that the beginning yo uput should belong to verse 42. Now, if vikaya and saitha correspond well, which I cannot doubt, to vigayha and osudhehi of the Suttanipâta, the commencements yo upuo and yo mana, indisputably continued by Fr. B x, can only belong to 11. 43 and 44. This adjustment is, it will be seen, confirmed by the lines that follow.

two verses. The fragments B IV and B III, which make up the rest, should be joined to the commencement yasarana°. The uncertainty which the comparison with Pâli might raise on this last point and on the connection of the end of line kapa...with the commencement yo necasari of 1. 48, does not appear to me to counterbalance the possibilities which I have pointed out. The real difficulty lies elsewhere.

It implies the omission not of one but of at least

The figure on the margin of 1. 49 gives 40 as the number of the stanzas in this chapter. In our arrangement we should have 42. It is not probable that a figure marking the units should have been dropped. By supposing the loss of a single verse this contradiction can be partly reduced. It has been seen that verse 14 occurs twice. It might be said that our copyist has committed a very gross mistake and that the cipher was right. But the hypothesis of a gap of two verses does not support this explanation; for it must be 41. It only remains for us to take the cipher as a mistake. I understand how extreme this procedure is. But I have found no means as yet to avoid it. It is important, at all events, to remember that the agreement of Fr. Bx with the commencement of lines 43-44, that of Fragments B vi and B xiv with the commencement of lines 45-47 and 48-50, and that of Fragments BxIII, Avi, Av, BII, Bv and Bvit with the ends of lines 11-48 are equally unassailable.

I beg to be excused for having entered into such long details in connection with fragments which are very neoberent and of unid ng interest but it should be the duty of an editor not to neglect them

41

..........[¹ orupa. urako jinav(i)va (t)vaya purana²]

[Foot-note . 1 Fr. B xiii.]

## Cf. Suttan., 1 fg.

a. The first four letters that are readable can hardly be anything but orapara; but the n is quite visible at the foot of the second letter which has all the appearance of an r; the next one may be, though there is no certainty, the remainder of a p. As for the final r, it has left no trace in our fragment. The regular change of the final m of jinam=jirnam into v will be noticed in all these passages. It is the counterpart of  $bhamana=bh\bar{u}vana$  (A<sup>4</sup>, 9),  $nama=n\bar{u}vam$  (B, 35).

42 . [¹vikaya so bhikhu jahati] orapara urako² jinaviva tvaya [puranaª

[Foot-notes. 'Fr. A vi

Fr. B. XIII preserves the traces of the top of the letters orapara ura, whereby it agrees with Plate B.]

## Cf. Suttan., 2.

- a. I suppose that our rikaya=vigayha, vigāhya. The equation here is not constrained. At all events, this is the only serial verse of the Suttanipāta with which ours appears fit to be connected.
- 43 yo upat——[<sup>3</sup>ineti kodhu visara<sup>a</sup>] . [<sup>4</sup>saitha<sup>b</sup>] [<sup>5</sup>so bhikhu jahati] orapara urako jinaviva [tvaya purana

[Foot-notes · 3 Fr B \( \).

4 Fr. A VI, and fr. A V, which are adjusted below bear traces of the lower parts of the characters cothas bh Eh

5 Fr A VI-]

#### Cf. Suttan., I.

- 7. This last letter cannot be a t, and has rather the appearance of an r, although the foot of the vertical line has not the hook which generally accompanies it. If such then is the true reading, it only remains to admit that the r is for the cerebral t, visura for msata = visyta.
- b. The reading satha appears with certainty to result from joining the two fragments. The striking similarity which these characters have with (o)sathehi of the Pali and, at the same time, the difference which renders the interpretation thereof so doubtful, are misleading. If at least we had sathai, we could believe in a hardening of dh into th, and in a spelling i=hi. It is useless to risk conjectures for which we possess only too narrow a basis.
- 44 yo mana udavahi" a['šeṣa bisa] ['mahoho' so bhikhu jahati] orapara urako jinaviva tvaya [purana

[Foot-notes: 1 Fr. B x. 2 Fr. A v.]

## Cf. Suttan., 4.

- a. Morris (Journ. P. T. S., 1887, p. 136) was of opinion that it should be read udabbahi in Pâli, and derived the word from ud-vrh "to extirpate." Our text can only favour this conjecture. It seems that the mistake in Pâli rests upon a false interpretation of a more corrupt Pârkrit which must have ordinarily weakened dh into h.
- b. Mahoho=mahogho, just as we have ohatino in l. 37. I would have some difficulty to discern the first two characters without the comparison with the Suttanipata

45 yo tasa [³udachai aśesa sa]<sup>a</sup>
[¹so bhikhu jahiti o]rapara urako jinaviva tvaya
[purana<sup>5</sup>

[Foot-notes: 3 Fr. B vi.
4 Fr. A v.
5 Fr. B vii bears traces of the foot
of the characters at this line-end,
after rake.]

Cf. Suttan., 3.

- a. I take udachai = udachida, with elision of the d (in Pâli itself we come across khāyati, khāyita) and an approximate orthography ai for iya, somewhat as we have uvacai = upūtyagāt, upaccagā.
- 46 yo sa[¹ rvakeleśa dalaitha″ na] [²ku³ so bhikh][³ u jahati orapara u][⁴ rako jinaviva [tvaya purana]

[Foot-notes: 1 Fr B vi. 2 Fr. B ti. 3 Fr. B v. 4 Fr. B vii.]

- a. The Suttanipāta does not furnish any counterpart to this verse. Keleśa is for kileśa; dalautha from dālayati: "He who has smashed all evil passions."
- b. The consonant k is quite doubtful.
- 47 [5 yo ecasari a na precasari sa][6 rva]
  [7 so bhikhu jahati orapara u][8 rako jinaviva tvaya
  [purana]

a The on neca seems also utely n

48 yo necasari na pre[¹ casari sarva vi] " . . [² ? p . so bhikhu jahati orapara ura][³ ko jinaviva tvaya [purana]

[Foot-notes - \* Fr. B xiv. \* Fr. B v. \* Fr. B vii ]

#### Cf. Suttan., 8-13.

a. The formula which constitutes the first pada, here and in the preceding stanza, and which is reproduced in verses 8-14 of the Suttanipata, is embarrassing. This time we have neca, as surely as we had eca in the previous line. In both cases we have precu, to be sure. This ill agrees with the Pâli text such as M. Fansböll's edition gives us. That text is in itself very doubtful M. Fausböll analyses na-ati-sarati, and obscure pratisarati. According to this hypothesis, neither the long a, which however is contrary to metre, nor the long 7 which the metre demands, is explained. As regards the rendering "he who did not go too fast forward nor was left behind" (S. B. E, X2, p. 2), it only affords, over and above the difficulties too evident to dwell upon, a very vague meaning, which hardly satisfies me. Unfortunately, it is more easy to criticize than to substitute a clear version for it. persistence of the vowel e, and of the reading preca in our Ms., appears to me at least decisive against the reading of the Pali. On the other hand, the persistence of the n in the Pali, face to face with the divergences of our text, makes me inclined to admit for both the cases neccu, so that the antithesis between na eca and nu precu might be established, that is to say, na etya na pretya. But what is to be done with sari, for such is the spelling which the measure seems to require? Sarin occurs in Pali in certain compositions, as avanisari (Suttanip., 685), to mean "he who guides himself, who takes his course towards." In etyasarın and pretyasarın I propose to see some expressions formed on this type and opposed to each other, to mean "he who is never on the way to come to this wor lor to eave to in other words freed from the round of rebirth and death

The letter p, though cut off mid-way, seems certain, as regards that which precedes, it might be the remainder of a p. This reading kapa, at the end of the second pada, would make us think of verse 16 of the Suttanipata, of which the second pada finishes with netukappā; it is true that the first pada does not contain the formula yo neccasari, etc. This is still one of the reasons that leave some doubt in me about the precision of agreement which I have attempted to show between the beginnings and the ends of lines. It is in some measure aggravated by the circumstance that the beginning of our line 50 appears to agree with the first pada of verse 16 of the Suttanipata, so that if the two adjustments were justified, it is to the commencement of line 50 that it would be suitable to join the present line-end. I have pointed out the difficulties with which a similar adjustment would be confronted; it would render impossible the combinations proposed for the preceding lines, several of which appear surer and more convincing than this one. Besides the uncertainty lurking in the reading kapa and the possibility, quite open, of variations between our text and the Pali version, it will be seen that the identification of our 1.50 with the Pali beginning of stanza 16 is far

anosea na [¹ sati keyi o] a ..... ..... [2 urako jina]... [3 tvaya purana]

On the margin: 40.

from clear.

[Foot-notes: 1 Fr. B xiv. 2 Fr. B xi. 5 Fr. B vii.]

Cf. Suttanip., 14.

Anosea, for anusea, affords again a particular spelling, eu = aya. We see by the initial o, which is certain, that our redaction was deviating from the Palı

50 yasa vanasia" na [¹sati keyi] [²śala³ so bhikhu jahati orap][³ara urako jinaviva tva]

Cf. Suttan., 16:

yassa vanathajā na santi keci

and Suttan., 17:

u

a. It is natural to think that the commencement corresponds well to the first pada of the Pali<sup>4</sup>, and vanadhia = vanathajd should have nothing surprising in such cases, where the j is more than once eliminated between two vowels; s for th would, on the other hand, have for its defence, the comparison of sisila for sithila. The letter read a which follows, has a slightly abnormal aspect and the upper hook is much more rounded than ordinarily. If the fac-simile is compared, it may be ascertained that ranasia, such as it is written, is not different from ranadhia. Perhaps the scribe had committed a mistake in the reading, which must necessarily have been reflected in his copy.

[Faot-note. \* The fac-simile might make us believe that it must be read yase rana; this would be a mistake. A very small piece of bark sticks above the s, and it is the shade that is reflected from it which, in photograph, appears as ink-mark.]

b. The character m in half is still discerned before \$a. Therefore, I can but little doubt that our two letters represent the end of the word visalla which occurs in the next verse of the Suttanipāta. If it is so, our text would represent, for the Pâli, a variant which must have more or less completely associated a pâda of verse 16 with a pâda, or part of a pâda, of verse 17. I have stated farther above (l. 40, note b) the motives which, in spite of this difficulty, and although the fragments B IV and B III may not be connected with the fr. B xIV by any external evidence, have persuaded me to the up these diverse remains into a single stanza

index of these units was eaten away.

any more certainty than is proper, that fr. B III seems to agree quite conveniently with the little that remains of this line in the principal leaf. I have already said farther above (1.40, note b) why the figure 40, which marks certainly the end of a chapter and the number of verses it contains, appears inaccurate. It is, I am of opinion, 41 or 42 that ought to have been written, and the margin is so little affected that I dare not venture to admit that the

I like to remark, without attaching to the observation

o. vanas . . . ru a

I can make nothing out of what remains of the last pâda. I regret all the more that the tail of the s, which is clearly recognizable, is traversed by a semicircular stroke, which undoubtedly formed with the auxiliary letter a compound, of which it might have been interesting to fix the value.

pašadha muto ban(d)hanam eva jayati $^a$ 

Cf. Dhammap., 344: yo nibbanatho¹ vanâdhimutto, etc.

We have already met with, and will come across several times as we proceed, the n surmounted by the stroke of aspiration to express ndh of Sanskrit. I do

[Foot-note: 'This is Childers' correction, J. R. As Soc., n. s. V, p. 226.]

not here decide what exact pronunciation this outhography corresponds to. The reading jayati appears very certain. We cannot think of javati, written by y for v, since the first syllable would be short. I can only see in it the equivalent of the Pali yāyati which I find, for example, in Mahāvaggu, v, 9, 4, and which I interpret as a term derived by extension from yāti. The j is for y, just as we have often in this manuscript the inverse, y for j.

# yi nivana" bhodha bhichave O

a. Nivana = nirranā. This word connects this stanza well with the preceding one. Nih-rana, "without concupiscence," is the synonym of nibbanatha of the Pāli in the previous verse. "O monks, liberate yourselves from concupiscence!"

54

# ? cheravayo? . taraª

a. These few characters do not suggest to me any plausible restoration. We must wait for the discovery of the Pali counterpart.

## FRAGMENTS OF B.

- I have collected under this head twenty-seven fragments in all. I transcribe here only those which contain at least some certain letters. Although the most important ones already figure above in the partial reconstruction of lines 41 fg., I think I ought, in order to be clearer, to reproduce them here separately.
- I. A few remnants of four lines; there is no padaend to furnish even a rough indication of the place which the remaining words, more or less visible, occupy in the stanza, and out of which I regret not to be able to draw anything satisfactory.

ruakamanaipracea u vinavanaukavaihadu ?????ga(?)ti?

which appears at the top, on the right, belongs to it or goes with the preceding letter which has disappeared. I need not repeat that for want of an intelligible context all the t's or d's may be taken, one for the other.
II. Cf. line 46 ku so bhikh
III. Cf. line 50 ra urako jinaviva tv
IV. Cf. line 50.  višala so bhikhu jahati orap
V. Cf. lines 46-48.  P?u  bh. u jahati orapara ur  o bhikhu jahati orapara ur  [ka?]pa s . bhikhu jahati orapara urak
VI. Cf. lines 45-47udachai aśeṣa sa?rvakeleśa dalaitha na yo ecasari na precasari sa
VII. Cf. lines 45-49.  ?ko ???? (t)v-u  rako jinaviva tvaya purana rako jinaviva tvaya purana ko jinaviva tvaya purana
tvaya purana

VIII. Cf. above, lines 16-18.

yasa jana ca praña ya so ho (ni)r(va)<sup>a</sup> idriagoti satuthi pratimukhe i<sup>b</sup> padisa?ra?tisa ayarak<sup>c</sup>

IX.

gamagasa

X. Cf. lines 43-44.

ineti kodhu visara aśesa bisa

XI. Cf. line 49.

urako jina

XU.

sañoya

XIII. Cf. above, lines 41-42.

... orup(?). urako jinaviva tva ???? . orapara u

XIV. Cf. lines 47-50.

casari sarva vi sati keyi o sati keyi

XV.

 $C^{ro}$ 

[¹ yamaloka ji] itaª sadevaka to dhamapada sud.sita kusala pusaviva payesiti

[Foot-note . 1 Fr. C vi.]

Cf. Dhammap., 44.

a. Ita, that is to say, etain: the world of Yama and the world of the Devas. Eta, as used here, is preferable to imain of the Pali, which is not happily associated with pathaviin. The change of puspa into pusa is to be noticed. For the sandhi pusaviva, cf. jinaviva of verses B, 40 fg., and for payesiti compare nihasisi (B, 19), etc.

oudhu pradha"... șiti yamaloka ji eta sadevaka oudhu dhamapada sudesita kusala pușaviva"

[payeşiti O

Cf. Dhammap., 45.

- a. It is certainly to this line and to the next that the commencements belong which, in the present condition of the leaf, appear lower on the right. The spelling pradha[vi] = prthin is to be noticed.
- b I dare not affirm if it ought to be read pusariva or pusuviva. Meanwhile, I incline to the belief that the scribe had the intention to efface the u which he had at first traced. I incline thereto all the more, as in the same word in the preceding line, a somewhat miscarried intention to write su seems also to be discovered.

radha saga?uḍasa" ujhitasa mahapathi padumu tatra jaea suyigan(d)ha manoramu O

## Cf. Dhammap., 58.

a. To complete, sagaraudasa = sankārakūte, with elision of the medial k. For the equivalence of sankārakūta and sankāradhāna, cf. Childers s.v. sankāra. The genitive here performs the function of locative The stroke of aspiration is well visible on the top of the 1 of 1/4/4 and of the n of gandha

4 ... saghadhadhamaa andhah.te prudhijane " abhi.o.ti¹ prafiai samesabudhasavaka "

[Post-note: In every part of the line, Fr. viii helps us to complete the characters, the lower portions of which it has preserved in part. The initial a of the third pada is wholly preserved there also.]

#### Cf. Dhammap., 59.

- a. The reading sagudhadhaman appears to me certain, except the last letter which might be e or i; the lower part is not visible. The word remains difficult. I can only get out of the trouble by admitting that the scribe has, through mistake, written saghadha for sagara = sankāra. This is what follows from a comparison with the previous verse. Saghara = samkhāra would, in itself, not be inexplicable. Cf., however, line 14. As regards the second part, it only remains for me to take dhamae=dharme, just as we have in the inscription of Takht i Bahi sutatimae, etc. The expression "which is in the of dunghill," "which is condition dunghill" can be justified. It seems reading is almost hote, which should be =  $bh\bar{u}te$ . it is not certain, it is at least highly probable. transcription prudhi = prthak is remarkable.
- b. The bh appears certain; abhirocati may very well be used = atirocati. Same = samya[k] is the usual orthography in our manuscript.
- $5 \quad [^2 \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots ga \quad 15]$

[Foot-note · 2 Fr. C vii.]

[Foot-note: \* Fr. C vii.]

## Cf. Dhammap., 103.

a. The g of sagami has once more the form which one might had it appeared only here be tempted to interpret as gr To be right nearer the Pah it

- should be admitted that "sahasani is an inversion for "sahasınu. But the variant sahassam sahassāni "thousand thousands" is at least as plausible as the other expression.
- b. I am not at all convinced that the Pâli reading saṅgāmajuttamo may be something else than the result of a confusion of writing, skilfully arranged. At all events, there is nothing to look for in our text but the nominative suṅgrāma uttamah.
- 7 saha[¹sa bi ya gaśana<sup>a</sup> anathapa][² e]. . . . sebha<sup>b</sup> ya sutva uvaśamati

[Foot-notes . 1 Fr. C vii. 2 Fr. C xi.]

#### Cf. Dhammap., 100.

- a. I should not dare, on the appearance of the character alone, to decide positively if it must be read \$a\$ or ya. But in several cases we find \$for th, for instance, in \$i\$ila, C<sup>vo</sup>, 32 (C, 30?).
- b. The character which I read bh is again the same as before.
- 8 ....... [\*sata bhase anathapadasahita\*]
  e ....... [\*sata bhase anathapadasahita\*]

[Fool-note: 3 Fr. C XI.]

## Cf. Dhammap., 102.

a. Although there is nothing left but a faint part of the characters, the agreement of the main leaf puts the restoration of the verse beyond doubt. As regards the gaps, it is less certain that they can be filled up almost exactly from the Pâli; for in that case the present verse will be repeated, without variation, together with line 10. It is probable that some differentiation of detail may have been intended, sufficient to justify, in the not very scrupulous judgment of the Buddhists, the repetition of the stanza in two formulae very much bordering on each other

9 sa bi ya gadhana anathapadasahita eka gadhapada şeho ya şutva uvasamati O

Cf. Dhammap., 101.

 ja gadhasata bhase¹ anathapadasahita eka gadhapada seho ya sutva uvasamati ○

[Foot-note: 1 These first letters are partly completed by the lower portions in Fr.O ix.]

Cf. Dhammap., 102. Cf. line 8 above.

11 [²masamasi sahasina yo yaca] satena ca" nevi" budhi prasadasa kala aveti sodasa O

[Foot-note: 2 Fr. C IX]

- a. This verse and the next are cast in the same mould, the elements of which we recover, disjointed and loosely differentiated, in verses 106: mase make sahassena yo yajetha saturisamain, and 70: na so sankhāta-dhammānain kalain nagghati solasiin of the Dhamma-pada. The equivalent of our six stanzas is found again exactly in the Udānavarga, translated by Rockhill, chap. xxiv, where it seems that the text must have been closely nearer ours. The first half-verse, however, is there translated: "Whoever performs month by month, a thousand sacrifices, for a hundred years", which corresponds exactly to the Pâli text. I understand our reading sahasena satena ca in the sense which the text, by multiplying the eiphers, imposes upon the indefinite or infinite number of sacrifices.
- b. In the verses following we have neva which is the only correct form, because, whether neva or navi, it must be = nāpi. "He who would, month by month, offer sacrifices by hundreds and by thousands, does not earn a sixteenth part of the merit that procures faith in the Buddha

¹.samase sahasena yo yaea satina ca eva]...... prasa.sa kala aveti sodasa°O

[Foot-note: 1 Fr. C VIII.]

a. It is evidently to be completed as [dhama]prasadasa, and this stanza corresponds to Udânav., xxiv, 27.

nasamase sahasina yo yaca satena ca eva saghi prasadasa kala aveti sodasa O

Cf. Udânav., xxiv, 28.

nasamasi sahasena yo yaea satena ca eva saghasadhameşu° kala aveti sodasa ○

correction of Childers:  $samkh\bar{a}tadhamm\bar{a}nam$ . Here the reading  $saghata^\circ$  is excluded, and one can only hesitate between saghadha and saghasa; the last letter has suffered indeed. It seems very well, however, that the black point which still appears below, marks the end of the hook turned from left to right, which characterises the s. On the other hand, we have, in line 4, met with saghadhadhama, where the dh is as certain as difficult to explain, and I was in that case obliged, for want of something better, to take dh for r as a copying mistake. I do not see any other alternative than to suppose an error similarly here, s for t. If it could be read dh, this peculiar transformation of t into dh should at least have a counterpart in samadha of line samadha in samadha in line samadha in samadha

a. This verse is to be compared with stanza 70 of the Dhammapada, which reads, according to the right

is quite unlikely that the two qualificatives should be identical in both verses: the sense required by the context is in the one case as unfavourable as it is rily favourable in the other. It may be admitted here that the use of an oft-occurring exp. in ke

events, in spite of their close exterior resemblance, it

verse corresponds stanza 33 of the Udânavarga, of which the rendering "he who explains well the holy law" appears to reflect the expression sankkyātadharma.

15 masamase sahasena yo yae[¹a śatena ca] ?eşuª kala aveti sodaśa O

[ Foot-note: ' Fr. C XLII.]

a. The mutilated consonant to which is attached the e may be f or r. I know no means to decide between the two, any more than complete the line. The Udånavarga has four stanzas, 29-32, which may strictly correspond to the spirit of this; yet, as the numbers 29-31 are composed on a unique formula, slightly differentiated in each case, which is in keeping with the turn of the next stanza, and as, on the other hand, the termination can suffice to prove that our present verse was not imitated from the next, it is likely that it was to verse 32 of the Udånavarga that this formed a pendant.

# 16 masamase sahasena yo yaea šatena ca ekapananuabisa" kala naveti sodaša O

- a. As I have just said, this stanza forms a pendant to the idea expressed, with slight variations, in the stanzas 29-31 of the Udāna. Ekapanunuahisa is indeed = ekaprānānukampinah: "he does not acquire a sixteenth part of the merit that belongs to him who takes pity on a single living being."
- 17 ya ja vaṣasata jivi kusidhu" hinaviyava muhutu jivita sebha virya arahato dridba<sup>b</sup> ○

Cf. Dhammap., 112.

- a. For kusidhu=Pali kusito, Sk. kusida, ef. l. 14 above, (note a)
- b We will find dridha and wridha in Cro 1 32 and 34

18 ya ji vaṣaśato jivi apaśu udakavaya<sup>a</sup> muhuta jivita ṣebh.<sup>b</sup> paśato udakavaya○

## Cf. Dhammap., 113.

- a. B, 13 has already made us familiar with the orthography udaka = ndaya. Sato and apaśu represent side by side the two equivalents o and u for the termination am, so often ascertained in this Ms. Ji and ja are spellings entirely equivalent; it can be ascertained by our ji being in the place of ja in the two verses which contain them.
- b. This is the character which I read bh.
- 19 ya ja vaṣaśata jiv. apaśu dhamu utamu m.huta jivita .e.hu paśatu dhamu utamu

Cf. Dhammap., 115.

- 20 ya ja vaṣaśata jatu" agi pariyara vane
- 21 [¹eka ji bhavitatmana muhuta]viva p.a?<sup>b</sup> sameva puyana şebha yaji vaşasata hotu<sup>c</sup> ○

[Foot-note · 1 Fr. C XXIX.]

#### Cf. Dhammap., 107.

a. The śloka of six pådas of the Påli appears in our manuscript under the form of a regular double śloka; the third and fourth pådas develop the idea contained in the first two. I dare not propose anything to fill up the gap of three syllables. The reading jatu of our Ms. suggests instead a correction for the Påli text, which appears to me to be certain. Jantum has only been explained as -jantu, a nominative by some ptable devices It is jatu that should be read in the Påli and understood here. The vowel

hundred years, continually keeps up the sacred fire in forest, day and night unwearied, ...... with oil

- b. I take multivaria = muhula meva. For the last letter, the head of an "a" is still recognisable; the copyist had no doubt written puae with elision of the y=j.
- c. Sameva=sayeva. Hotu=hutum shows to what extent (though small) o and u are made equivalent easily in the eye of our scribe.
- 22 [¹ya keja yatha° va ho. va lok ti ahiyadana ujukatesu siho

[Foot-note: 1 Fr. C Iv.]

Cf. Dhammap., 108.

a. Keja and yatha=kiji and yitha; the scribe cares little for the vowels.

23 ga 17

24 [²śilamatu suyisacho"] dhamatho sadhujivano atmano karako sadhu³ ta jano kurati priu

[Foot-note: 2 Fr.C IV completed by fr. C II.]

# Cf. Dhammap., 217.

- a. As parallel to silamatu, seil. sīlamantam I recall vatamata, C, 37. The realing suyisacho seems to be well established by the agreement of the two fragments IV and II. Suyi=suci is warranted by several examples; sacho can only be=sacam, satyam, but then it must be admitted that the aspirate is faulty. I do not see any other alternative. The compound sucisatya, although it is rendered well in French with a unique literalness "(he) who speaks the pure truth," is not, however, a current expression. Sādhujīvana: "of good life."
- b. The resemblance with the Pali is here only general. Kāraka appears to me used absolutely, as in the commentary of the Dhammapada, pp. 150-151, in co-ordinating kārakam and sādkum I mean "who

is active and conscientious himself," that is to say, who does with zeal and conscience whatever concerns him.

The hook at the foot of the r not being exactly closed, as would suit to explain the u, I do not dare to affirm that the copyist may have wished to write kuruti, that is to say, kurute, though to me it may be very probable.

lhu silena sabano yasabhohasamapitu]ª yeneva vayati³ tena teneva puyita O

[Foot-note: 'Fr. C II, the top of the letters for the second pada being completed by the main leaf.]

Cf. Dhammap., 303.

Sadhu=śrāddhah. I find no trace of o in the of yaka. Bhoha is certain as far as the consonants are concerned. But it almost seems that the h is accompanied down on the left by a small hook expressing the vowel n. Must the scribe have written yaśabhohu for yaśubhoha? Besides, I take bhoha=bhoga, through an intermediate form bhoya, just as we have scho=ŝreyah and as we shall in the next line meet with dhamho=dhamiyo, for dhammiko Vayati might strictly be explained after the analogy of abhivnya, B, 30, 31 as=the Pâli bhajati. But it is more natural to think that it is=vrajati, and I should rather believe that bhajati of the Pâli, which it is very difficult to interpret, has sprung, by an inverse confusion, from an original vojati.

natimahetu na parasa hetu pavani kamani samaya]reaª

chia [² samidhi atmano so śilava] panitu<sup>b</sup> dhammiho sia

[Foot-note: 1 Fr. C II
2 Fr C xxxI. These are nothing but a few
traces; they do not appear to me
sufficient for the purpose of restoring
these few words with certainty.]

) [\*dhamu cari sucarita] [\*???earita cari dhamayari suh.] šeti asmi loki parasa yi O

[Foot-notes \* Fr. C xIII. \* Fr. C v.]

Cf. Dhammap., 169.

[¹ah][²o nako va sagami cavadhi vatita śara<sup>a</sup> ativaka ti] [³druśilo hi bah]o jano ○

Cf. Dhammap., 320.

- a. The termination dhe, for tah, in cavadhi = cāpātah would again afford an instance of the change of t into dh, if a direct transition could be admitted from to the, dhi, with the Māgadhism to for to. I have nothing more convincing to suggest; this, however, does not mean that the explanation satisfies me.
- 32 [\*.sa acata" drušilia malua vavi lata vani<sup>b</sup>
  kuya su tadha].[\* tmana yadha na viṣamu" ichati ○]

[Foot-notes - 1 Fr C v. 5 Fr C xxii.]

Cf. Dhammap., 162.

a. I know few cases in our Ms. where it would be tempting to seek for an anusvâra under-written, more than in the ca of acata, so much accentuated is the hook at the foot. But that would be imprudent; if one compares dhe of caradhi in the foregoing line, and ca of carata in the line preceding that, one will, I think, share this impression and conclude that the sembe, while at work, readily amused himself with making manifest the minute details of cartain characters.

31

- b. We see that in this pada our text deviates from the Pali version, and simply says: " He whose malignity is extreme like (irap) [that of] the maluka creeper in the forest"; and, in fact, a passage of the Lalitavistara (p. 259, l. 2) characterises this plant by the epithet asukhudā, without any other explanation; for my part, as I am ignorant of what exactly the plant in question is, I should not hope to elucidate the reasons for this vexatious name; the verse on p. 207.1.5 appear- to indicate that it is a parasite plant and that it destroys the tree to which it attaches itself The turn of expression in our recension seems to me more simple and original. Whatever it may be, given the Sanskrit form maln. I suppose that  $m\bar{a}lua$  is =  $m\bar{a}/uk\bar{a}$  and that the Pali  $m\bar{a}lur\bar{a}$  is only another spelling for malua, formed on the basis of the Pali rule which does away with hiatus.
- e. Kuya = kurnāt. Na = nam, nam. Visama has a sense, "vicious, wicked", more vague and less expressive than the disa (= dris, "enemy") of the Pali.

[1 yok.d.a bh]

a rathapina asañatu

[Foot-note: 1 Pr. C v.]

#### Cf. Dhammap., 308.

a. All that we can see from the commencement of verse is that in guda the g has been hardened into k, as is so often.

[Foot note: 2 Fr. C XII.]

- 35 ida ja mi keca ida ji karia ida kari ..... vinamana abhimadati muca?? sasoa"
  - a. I have not discovered the Pali reflex of this stanza. What remains of it would, I suppose, give in Sanskrit idan as me krigam ida ca kāryam idam k pundamano bhimardati mriya sabokam which,

THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF TH

33

with the reservation of the necessary complements, can go back to a general sense like "by properly understanding one's duty, one crushes death and the pains of it."

36 ?dha vaşa karişamu" ?dha h.matagi.

#### Cf. Dhammap., 286.

a. It is not quite possible to decide if karışamu is, on the analogy of the Pali, a first person singular with an inaccurate or hography (cf. phuşamu, B, 25), or if it regularly represents the first person plural.

# 37 ta putrapašusamadha<sup>a</sup>

## Cf. Dhammap., 287.

- a. I have pointed out à propos l. 14 this particular orthography samudha for samata = sammatta, and cited analogies.
- 38 puve i kica parijaga [1??—kici kicakali adea<sup>o</sup> tata diśa parika][²ma kicakari no ¡ kicakici ali adea]

[Foot-notes: 1 Fr. C XXVII. 2 Fr. C XXX.]

a. Although the gap is comparatively small, I have not been able to find out the exact sense of this stanza. The gap before kici should, beyond doubt, be completed by no i as in the fourth pada, the two having, I think, been identical, and hence I conclude that the last one ought to be read no i kici kica[k]ali adea which is transcribed: na ca kiācit kṛtyakāle adeyam, and may mean: "and in the hour of duty he should not recoil from any sacrifice." But I do not know exactly how to complete parijaga, which must go back to the verb pari or pratijāgarati.

By applying purre to the time preceding the hour of sacrifice, we may, however, understand: "it is indispensable (for him) to be awake to his duty" (cf. stanza 35), and we might complete (the word) as parijagarea or parijagaretra. The third pada has quite perplexed me. As for kicakari it must be a verb; we might assume that it is hidden in disa which stands for disc, and that tala = latra has, as occasionally in this manuscript, the sense of "in this world"; but the rendering "let the dutiful man teach in this world the preparation" would morally involve purcharma into a usage which appears to me little probable, and I think that the true analysis fails me. Here is what at all events would, according to these provisional hypotheses, he the general sense of the stanza: "He must at first be thoroughly convinced of his duty; for in the moment of performing it he must not shrink from any sacrifice; let the dutiful man teach in this world the preparation for it; for in the moment of performing the duty he must not shrink from any sacrifice."

39 ya puvi karaniani [\*pacha şakaru ichati\* atha dubakati\* balu] [\*suhatu paribayati]

[Foot-notes | Fr. Canil. | Fr. Canil.

- a. I admit that sakara is for sankara, just as we have pointed out saga for sanga in B. 3, 27, and I take the word to denote the trouble and tunnily of the outer life contrasted with the tranquil duties of religious life.
- b. The true analysis of dubakati fails me, I am afraid; if we take kati = gati, duba would be left, of which I cannot make out anything; so I am led to hold that dubakati = dusprakyti; and on the strength of the use of pakatattā to mean "regular observer of his duties" (Jātaka, I, 236; S. B. E. xvii, 340 n.), I understand it to mean: "who does not observe the rule or the duty." I should add that the letter which I transcribe ka might very well he interpreted = sp. But what to make out of dubakpati? In short I translate: "He who, having once loved his d ties 'oves that 't of the world to forget ful of the rule loses happiness

40 akita kuki. [²ṣehu" pacha tavati drukita kita nu sukita ṣeh].[³ya kitva nanutapa(?)ti]

[Foot-notes 2 Fr. C xxvii 3 Fr. C xxx.]

Cf. Dhammap., 314.

a. Kukṛtam for duṣkṛtam gives no difficulty. I cannot, on account of the break, affirm if it is exactly sehu and not seho that our copyist has written.

Below this line we have some slight, but certain, traces of at least two lines: the top of a first letter, and the bottom of three or four. There is, of course, absolutely nothing to draw out of it.

41 asava tesa vadhati ara te asavacha (a)

Cf. Dhammap., 253.

- a. To complete, usavachaya, as in Pali. We see that our recension has a plural (construction) instead of singular. Anyhow this half-verse can only end after a previous description of "those men," and in fact the Pali half-verse forms the end of a stanza; in our manuscript it begins a line; whence it follows that either it formed the end of a śloka of six padas, or the thought, collected into a single stanza in the Pali, filled here two (of the padas) which completed themselves
- 42 yesa tu susamaradha nica kayakata sma
- 43 satana sabrayanana taşa?"

Cf. Dhammap., 293.

a. This is to believe that we had, as in the Pâli, a stanza of six pâdas and that the third and the fourth pâdas were at least very analogous to those of the Pâli. Yet, in the sixth, the two texts differ, and I cannot make out anything certain from our tasa, perhaps a mistake for tesa. It will be observed that the orthography salana is = smp/ānām, side by side with smalt

1 ?.?.? ra athu?

222

- 2 yo vi varşasata jivi" so vi mucuparayano na bhaje pari
  - a. As can be seen from its commencement, the verse belongs to the series which we have had above (Cro, 17 fg.); this series is, as we have seen, represented in the Pâli recension; but there the present stanza has no exact counterpart. The beginning of the third pâda would appear to stand comparison with the commencement of Dhammap. 78; yet the identity is the more doubtful, as our fifth letter, though mutilated, well appears to have been, not ra of paraka, but ri. Mucuparayana: compare, for instance. Suttanip., 578 (675%). "Should one live a hundred years, one would remain vowed to death."
- 3 parijinam ida ruvu roanida [¹prabhaguno" bhensiti (b) p.ti]

[Foot-note 'Fr. C xxxii.]

Cf. Dhammap., 148.

d. . ..

b. The compound which I read he has exactly the appearance of the character to which I have already been induced to attribute this value (A<sup>2</sup>, 6, note c; B, 34, note, b). It might be thought proper here to analyse it into be, the being added below the buckle of the s; but this explanation, perhaps acceptable here woul no do mother instances the paon because because (that is to

say, bhetsyale) can, on the contrary, be justified by this tendency of masalisation before the sibilant, of which Páli and the Prákrits offer more than one trace. I should only like to recall an example here, riz., bhimsana = bhisana Anyhow our text has the future as against the present of the Páli. In the Páli verse I have introduced the certain correction maranantam, long since urged by Childers.

4 ko nu h?" . . . . . . . [¹lite sati an.kar.? prachiti² pra]

[Foot-note ' Fr. C xxxIII]

#### Cf. Dhammap., 146.

- a. The sheet, torn in this place, is not exactly readjusted in the glass-case. This commencement is warranted by the fragment which contains a portion of what follows.
- b. If the reading is not conclusive, it is at least certain that our text had a variant here. Prn, which begins the last pada, seems to indicate that the termination was alike in the two parts. In all probability, we should have here had an equivalent of andhakārena onaddhā. An(dh)akar fits well, and prachita = prakshipta equally; only the termination ti is astonishing; it is also sure that we had something else than the termination \*kāre\* or kāram; besides the metre, the traces that exist below the line after the letter r demonstrate it. I have not arrived at any convincing restoration.
- 5 yam eva padhama rati gabhirasati manavo 'avi thia"
  - a. The transcription in Sansksit: yam eva prathamām rātriin gambhīrasmṛtir māṇarah api sthi-, appears to suggest itself; it gives no component parts of a construction permitting of a glimpse into the general sense

- 6 yasa rativivasina ayu aparato sia apodake"
  - a. The gap, which extends too much, again renders the understanding of the remnants impossible here. I transcribe: yasya rātririrāsena ājurr aparatam syāt alpadake. But I know no instance of the use of aparata, and aparata would not, as far as I can see, give any sense. The bottom of the initial letter is perhaps not completely intact; it is perhaps a which the manuscript originally had. Even with aparata we hardly see what the subject can be: "whose life stops with the dawn."
- 7 ye hu dhayeyu" dahara ye ca majhima poruşa anupa? sa nica maranato bhayo" O
  - u. The final y is joined to the following d in a cursive connection forming a ring, which marks perhaps an intention to denote u; anyhow it is necessary. Dhayeyu is the potential of dhayati, "to suck."
  - b. Cf. Suttanip., 576: eram jātānam maccānam niccam marapato bhayam. I do not hope to till up the gap, which does not thus time hinder the understanding of the uniform sense. "Suckling infants or mature men (on all) always (hangs) the dread of death."
- 8 ?dha phalana pakana nica patanato ..... \_ ... ya ayu payeti panina" O

(4. Suttanip., 576, and Dhammap., 195.

a. Our verse seems to be made up by patching together, though quite uncoherently, a half of each of these stanzas. The final a of aya, however necessary it may be is still more doubtful than that of dhayeya n the preceding like somewhat of the same nethod appears briefly indicated.

'adha nadi pravatia racha vahati?'........

tavi oharanaseva satii 🔾

What we see more clearly here is that the verse is inspired by the same spirit as verse 18, chapter I of the Udānavarga: "Like the waters of a stream, the hours of man's life lapse day and night; gradually it runs to its end." I am not sure of the reading rucha or vacha; anyhow I can only see in it a reflex of rṛkṣu, whether for vracha or for rukkha. Pravutra = pravarteyu. Lastly, I take ohārana, not on the strength of any instances, for they fail me, but on the strength of the etymology and the evidence of the Abhidhānappadīpikā, in the sense of "suppression, end." "As a river beginning to flow, carries away the trees . . . . towards its terminus."

/adhavidanivikoti yayedevaoduopati pakabhotivo

mam eva manus s(?).ti(?) pranayo /aya avi?sati(?)rati(?) maranaseva satii

I regret not to be able to draw any continued sense from these two remnants of verse. The uncertainty weighing upon many readings gets complicated with the lacunae. Having nothing probable to suggest for the first two padas of the first stanza, nor for the second and third padas of the second, I prefer not to multiply conjectures, which the discovery of a Sanskrit or Pali counterpart will, some day, render superfluous.

ati<sup>a</sup> eki na diśati pratu ditho bahojano pratu eki na diśati sati ditha bahojano O

Cf. Jataka, IV, 127, st. 6 (Dasarathajataka).

a. I do not know how to explain our form sati or sadi = sūyam; sai would do well; but this intercalary dental is, at least, highly exceptional I do not, however, see how there can be any doubt on the equatio

# 13 tatra ko višpaši macu daharo dhitijivit." ?vi miyati nara nari ca ekadabo

- a. Tatra, that is to say, "in this world" As rispassi requires a complement. I suppose it is necessary to read dhitijirite, of which in fact I believe I recognise the traces; and I take ahitijirita, that is to say, dhytijiritam somewhat in the sense which would require jirit alhyti. "the solidity, the duration of life." Maen = marcyah.
- 6. I consider vi to be the second syllable of survi=surve, of which the first letter appears still sufficiently recognisable. Before it, either manusa or some equivalent is dropped. Above the va appears a sort of ring, like the i of the Devanagari alphabet. I perceive neither the utility nor the possible signification of it. "What mortal, however young, could in this world rely on the duration of life? All (mankind), men or women, are destined to die one day."
- 14 ayirena vatai kayu padha [¹siti ruchu"] viñana niratha ba kadigaruO

[Foot-note | Ve. CALIA.]

### Cf. Dhammap., 11.

- a. Rucho, Pali rukkho "rough, rude," may very well take, in our text, the place of the Pali chuddho, "vile, despicable." Our text appears also, in the compound apetanthāno, to have replaced apeta by some synonym. We discover in fact a character besides, and none of the letter-heads standing apparent carry the vowel stroke e.
- 15 .... [²avathani a ... n ??????"
  ... ]["ni ŝiṣani tani diṣṭani ka] rati ºO
- 16 [4yanimani prabhaguni vichitani disodisa c (62) kavotaka].[5athini tani distani ka] rati O

[Foot-notes: Fr. C xty % Fr. C xxy vo. Fr. C x x r vo. Fr. C x x vo.]

# Cf. Dhammap., 149.

I bave brought together these two stanzas, a halfverse from each of which makes up a whole stanza in Pâli. This line, I am indeed of opinion, can be confidently restored: [yanimani] arathani alapuni ra sarade; none of the traces that remain contradict this bypothesis.

I have no means to re-establish the first syllables of this line; the result is that the interpretation of what remains visible, ni sisani, remains uncertain The last pâda of the two lines has tani distant as opposed to tāni disvāna of the Pali The reading does not seem to be contestable, although the taffects a form which is purely conventional. It would seem rather derived from the dental f. The construction is therefore less normal, but not unacceptable in this form.

Prahhamyuni (written in the same character as prabhaguna of the next line) implies a base prabhangu, identical in meaning with prabhanga, which has given in Pâli and in our dialect, prabhariguna. The verse that follows confirms this form. Vichitani = vikshiptānt.

ina putikaena aturena pabhaguna suhavijinena jaradhamena s] na parama śodhi yokachemu anutara" 🔾

[Foot-note 1 Fr. C XIV]

This verse may be compared with stanza 321 of the Theragatha, which, though not identical, is analogous sense and quite similar in structure, and to which more precisely corresponds our line 20:

ajaram jīramānena tappamānena nibbutim nimmissam paramam santim yogakkhemam anuttaram.

Certainly we have not in our manuscript the first person form nimmissum; but as the third letter dha is certain, as an m (perhaps me?) appears also certain immediately before, and as an i accompanied the preceding consonant, nimadha or nimedha would appear probable t is assured by line 20 t will be rendered 18 ['imina putikaena vidvarena ['(nicasuhavijinena)]

dha parama sodhi yokachemu anutara O

[Foot-notes . \* Fr C XIII. \* Fr C XIV]

19 [³imina putikaena viśravatena putina\* nica][⁴śuhavijinena jaradha]
-[⁵medha parama śodhi yokachem.] anutara\*

[Footsnotes. \* Fr. C xxi. \* Fr. C t \* Fr. C xi.]

- a. These fragments enable us to recognise that the two stanzas were generally cast in the same formula as verse 17.
- Visravatena, visravantena, from ri-sru "flowing, being decomposed." Pūti, adjective.
- 20 [\*ayara] jiyamanena dajhamanena nivruti\* nimedha\*] [\*parama sodhi yokachemu anutara]

[Foot-notes: \* Fr. C t. \* Fr. C xxvi.]

- a. I refer back to the verse of the Theragatha which I have ented with reference to line 17.
- 6. For nimedia, cf. l. 17. "With this [body] doomed to decreptude, to suffering, obtain the undiminishing joy the perfect unity t e supreme blus

21 [¹jiyati hi rayaradha sucitra" adha śarira bi jara [uveti na ta tu dharma ca ja][²ra (u)veti³]. ka⊙

[Foot-notes: 1 Fr. C 1. 2 Fr C xxvi.]

- a.  $J\bar{\imath}yati=jiryate$ .
- b. The n which begins the third påda is of a somewhat peculiar form; I do not, however, see any other possible reading. I will say the same about the character which follows dharma, and which I transcribe as ca. Perhaps the verse-end would have explained the present tense here. I understand the verse thus: "The royal chariot, inspite of all its splendom, comes to destruction; similarly destruction invades the body; but destruction never invades this Law."
- 22 [\*muj. p.rat. muj][4u pachatu majhatu muju\* bhavasa parako sarvatra vi . . ](na punu jatijaravuvehisi)\*

[Fnot-notes. <sup>3</sup> Fr. C I For this beginning of line this fragment has preserved but few traces of heads of letters. I believe, however, that in the light of the Pâli and on the analogy of what follows, the letters, such as I have transcribed, may be restored with certainty.

<sup>4</sup> Fr C II

# Cf. Dhammap., 348.

- a. The spelling majn corresponds not to the imperative muchou which the Pali has, but to the present participle muchoun, which is so suitable to the construction.
- b. I have enclosed the last pâda in parenthesis; the traces that remain of it, permit, in the light of the Pâli version, of an interpretation as I have given But evidently I cannot vouch for the several details

ga 25]

24 [¹aroga parama labha satuthi parama dhana vaspasa" parama mitra] nivana paramo suha

[Foot-note: 1 Fr. C H.]

# Cf. Dhammap., 204.

a. In raispasa instead of ri, we have a clear instance of negligence of vowel-notation. I believe that the word really contains two vowels and that it should be rispasa. I take ārogya, santuthi and vissāsa not as members of compound, but as nominatives, and I believe that the Pali should have ārogyam and vissāso exactly as it has aiblanam.

25 [\* saghara parama duha eta ñatva ya]dhabh.tu nivana paramo suha\* O

[Foot-note . Pr. C II.]

# Cf. Dhammap., 203.

a. In the Pâh we must choose between jighacchāparamā rogā with samkhūraparamā dukhā and samkhārā paramā dukhā with jighacchā paramo rogo.

26

, matrasuha dhiro sahasu vi la suha O

# Cf. Dhammap., 290.

a. Sabaśn = sumpaŝyan, with the usual softening of the tenues into sonant after the nasal. The missing letter cannot be pn; on the other hand, as vi and la appear certain it is most probably vu which we must read vivula for vipula 27

u . esu anusua

(usu)eşu manuseşu viharamu anusua O

Cf. Dhammap., 199.

28 suhai vata jivamu viranesu averana [¹veranesu ma]nusesu viharamu averana ○

[Foot-note 1 Fr C XXIV.]

Cf. Dhammap., 197.

a. Suhvi=sukhaya, that is to say, "for happiness, in happiness." It quite seems that our copyist, who writes neruna everywhere, may have had the impression of an adjective verana and not of verina derived from rerin, vairin, which is reflected in arcrino of the Pali. The locative vereness of the Pali is indeed difficult enough. I would readily incline to allow an adjective averana as the original reading; perhaps it is not as improbable as it appears at first, if, to start with, the negative averana is taken as formed from a substantive verana, vairana, which might be explained as=raira; the adjective kiñcano of the next verse would support this interpretation.

29 suhai jivamu kijaneşu akijana<sup>a</sup> kijaneşu ma∵u∴u ∴haramu akijana ○

a. In interpreting Dhammap, 200, which partially corresponds to this verse and the next, Childers (Dick., s. v.) hesitated on the translation of kiūcana. Was the word composed by means of the technical substantive kiūcana which comprises the triple category rāgo, dosa, moha? It seems that the dedoublement of the stanza in our text indicates that the two possible ideas floated alike in traditional thought and were afterwards detatched each into a particular strophe. Whatever it may be, the adjective kiūcana can, I think, be only explained, even as I have proposed to do in the case of verano, as derived from the negative akiūcana. In the present case the necessity for this periphrasis appears to me quite striking

30 suhai vata jivamu yesa mu nathi kajani<sup>(a)</sup> kijaneşu manuseşu viharamu akijana

# Cf Dhamniep., 200.

- a The form mr, mo=nah is known, for instance, in the language of the Mahavastu. Kajani is a mistake of the copyrst, for kijana.
- 31 na ta dridha bau(d)hanam aha dhira ya asa daruya f babaka ya<sup>a</sup> saratacita manikunaleşu<sup>b</sup> putreşu dareşu ya ya faveha

# (T. Dhammap., 345.

- a. I do not decide if the original reading was āhu dhīru or āhu dhīru. The metre proves that the error of the copyist does not consist in a simple inversion yausa, for ayasa, but, as the Pali text indicates, in the omission of the second ya, ya asa for ya ayasa. The first word being an adjective, I take darunu = dāruvam, for dāruyam, dārujam and not for dāru followed by rā. It is curious that in the next word babaka we find, inversely, the hardening of j into k.
- b. The reading sumrattacittā is certainly preferable to the Pali sārattarattā which is tolerably obscure. Kunala, that is to say, kunala.
- 32 eta dridha ban(d)hanam aha dhira oharina sisila [drupamuchu\*]
  eta bi chitvana parivrayati anavehino kamasuhu
  [prahai

# Cf. Dhanmap., 346.

a. I have already pointed out the substitution of \$ for a th etymological; the last letter might perhaps be strictly read in instead of the. Yet the transcription which I give is to me by far the most probable. The memory of words like moken may have tacilitated the m stake.

33 ye rakarata anuvatati° sotu saigata eta b. ch.tvana parivrayati anavehino kamasuha [prahai

# Cf. Dhammap., 347.

- a. But for the Pâli text, I would not have hesitated to take it for annuarianti instead of anupatanti. The second expression appears to me so little natural that I have to ask if anupatanti is not the result of an artificial restoration made on a text, oral or written, which had anuvata(m)ta, like our own.
- 34 ahivadanasilisa nica vridhavayarino "
  catvari tasa vardhati ayo kirta' suha bala O

# Cf. Dhammap., 109.

- a. The scribe has made a confusion between apacayin and upacann. He ought to have written either vridhovayarino or vridhavayayino. A comparison with Paliturns the balance in favour of the second form.
- b. It should be kirti, kīrtti.

# 35 d.l.bh.p.r.s.?

yati viru ta kulu suhu modati"O

# Cf. Dhammap., 193.

a. Mahavasta, III, 109, 5, where this verse is repeated, has vira like our Ms., which appears to me indeed preferable. On the other hand, the repetition agrees with the Pali text and with the metre so as to condemn our sukhain modati, which is nothing but a lectio facilior introduced through the unconstraint of our scribe or his predecessor, the meaning of which, however, is hardly satisfactory.

36 [1999??? ya narethina\* v.].[2.u. suha sichi]tasavasa kici tesa na vijati\*O

[Foot-notes: 1 Fr. C xx. 3 Fr. C xvi.]

- a. I take narethina = naritthinam, "of men and women."
- b. Of course it should be understood in Pali as sukho sikkhitasamrāso. The last pada is less clear in sense than in form. I take it as equivalent to te (that is to say, the sikkhitas)) akiācanā bhonti, and I render ".. The association with learned men is a blessing, they have no stain."
- 37 [<sup>3</sup>suha darśana ariana sa][<sup>4</sup>vaso vi sada suho<sup>4</sup> adaśanena] balana nicam eva suhi sia ○

(Foot-notes . \* Fr. C 1x. + Fr. C xvi.)

# Cf. Dhammap., 206.

- a. The only variant of our stanza, noticeable from a comparison with the Pali, is sanaso pi for sannināso; the sense is equivalent, and the addition pi marks the gradation, daršana, from accidental connection, in the corporation of life.
- 38 [¹.lasagatacariu drigham adhvana soyişu" dukha balehi] .vasu amitrehi va savrasi "O

[Foot-note : ' Fr. C xvi.]

# Cf. Dhammap., 207.

a. Here we have the plural instead of the singular of the Pâli. I take soyien as a common acrist which amounts to the sense of the present tense. The omission must naturally be supplied as bala; it is carino or carinu that we expect to have. I do not see any way to do away with the hypothesis of a mistake by the copyist. It seems to me that the

simplest correction would be to admit that the copyist has written u for i, the two characters differing only in the elongation, on the right of the vertical stroke, of the extremity of the lower hook; cari i would be  $= c\tilde{a}r\tilde{a}$  (for  $c\tilde{a}rnpo$ ) ca. In sagata the form of the g will be noticed;

- b. Savrasi can only correspond exactly to sabbadā if we admit a double irregularity, a Māgadhism and the substitution of \* for \$, so as to get a form \*arvu\$so. To my mind it is much more probable that the scribe is mistaken and has read \*avras\* for suvradhi (sabbadhi in Pāli), s and dh differing in this manuscript only in the direction of the lower hook.
- 39 .... " [² suhasavasa ñatihi va samakamo " dh][³ira hi prañai] bhayeya panito dhorekaśila [vatamata aría"

[Foot-notes: Fr. C xxxvi. S Fr. C xxxv.]

40 ['tadiśa sapuruṣa sumedha bhay...[2nachatra-[patha va cadrimu© ra]dhe arovacamasa parikica uvahana"

[Foot-notes: 1 Fr. C xx Fr. C xxxv.]

41 \_\_\_\_ [sjahati kamana tada sa majati] [4s.h. sarva ca suhu] ichia sarvakama paricai O

[Foot-notes: 1 Fr. C xx. \* Fr. C xxxv.]

# Cf. Dhammap., 207, 208.

a. We see that in these three lines the verses overlap one another. Inspite of the punctuation at the end of l. 38, the first two padas of l. 39 of this manuscript belong, like verse 207 of the Dhammapada, to the preceding stanza, which is thus made up of six padas. The metrical difference between the commencement of the line and the following does not leave any doubt in this respect. The comparison with Dhammap.

- 208 agrees with the punctuation that follows cadrimu, so as to prove that with this word ends a new stanza in the middle of i. 40. What follows must have been a fresh śloka of six pådas finishing with l. 41, and, moreover, our l. 10 has not the concluding punctuation at the end.
- The traces preserved by Fr. NXXVI for the commencement warrant the reading subasavaso.
- Inspite of its resemblance with the Pâli version, our text deviates in a manner which is sensible enough the verb bhajati repeated in the last pada appears from the first; it is here in the third person; I cannot decide if pandito is the subject or if it represents an accusative panditam, coordinated with others. The hi that follows dhira seems to be equivalent to tasmā hi, which by a singular exception precedes this strophe in the Pali text. I do not doubt that our text had not a good tradition, and that the addition tasmā hi is not a minor extension of our simple particle, an extension that would quite explain the frequent use of this formula in other constructions. Our dhoreka is = Sanskrit dhaureya, with k for y, as we have already met with. I doubt very much if the Pali dhorayha should veritably be= dhauraráhya (after Fausböll and Childers).
- d. I regret not to have been able as yet to discover a counterpart of this stanza, so that the whole beginning remains quite obscure to me. It seems that the first pådas ought to be transcribed in Sanskrit ratha (othe) aropyacarmano pariekrtyodvahanam; and supposing this transcription to be exact, we might draw out of it some sense like "having prepared the strap for the foot-board that helps to mount the car," but besides the fact that this would be quite confusing I cannot imagine, given what follows, how the beginning was joined to the end of the stanza I think that it is necessary to complete the commencement as yada. I take kamana either for kāmām or for a genitive performing the function of the accusative, as is to be mot with so often in the style of the Mahavastu. Admitting then that majate= Sanskrit majjati and that s.h. represent suha, sukham, I get this meaning: "when one suppresses the desires,

one punges into happiness et a man wish for

happiness and let him east off all deares.

42

# [¹ nena yo atmano] .?? so duha na parimucati °O

#### [Foot-note ' Fr. C xix.]

- a. "He (whose description is lost with the first padas) is not freed from sorrow." This is what I can compare with, and what is more analogous to, Dhammap., 189:—

  na sabbadukkhā pamuccati.
- 43 jaya v.ra [² prasahati dukhu sayati parayitu uvasatu sohu sa]yati hitva jayaparayaa O

[Foot-note: 2 Fr. C xix.]

#### Cf. Dhammap., 201.

- a. The h of prasahati is very clear. I do not see how this could be anything else than a gross mistake of the scribe.
- b. We see that our text has *sayati* or *selv* without any differentiation.
- 44 anica vata [<sup>3</sup>saghara upadavayadhamino upaji ti nirujhati] tesa uvašamo suho<sup>a</sup>

#### [Foot-note . 3 Fr. C xix.]

a. That is to say, anatyā rata samskūrā utpādanyayadharminah utpadya te nirudhyante tesām upašamah mkhah The samskāras are impermanent subject to production and lestruction ly are they produced

#### FRAGMENTS OF C.

To preserve analogy, I mark by the sign ro (recto) the fragments of dark colour which must be connected with that face of leaf C which I have denoted in the same way, and by ro (verso) the fragments of brighter colour which belong to the opposite side.

Iro, fits below fr 11 . See Cro, II. 27-29.

- sañatu sukati yati drugati yati asañatu ma sa viśpaśa
   savutu pratimukhasa idriesu ya pajasu pramuni anu
   v.s.t śudhasa suyi
  - I'a. fits above fr. 11va. Cf. Cva, 11. 19-22.
- suhavijinena jaradha
   yara jiyamanena dajhamanena nivruti nimedha?
   jiyati hi rayaradha sucitra adha sarira bi jara uveti
- na ta tu dharma ca ja
- 4 (muj. p. rat. muj)

II<sup>ro</sup>. fits below ir. 1v<sup>ro</sup>. Cf. Cro, Il. 24-26.

- 1 u...o . .
- 2 şadhu śilena sabano (yaśabho)hasamapitu
- 3 yo natmahetu na parasa hetu pavani kamani

	II <sup>vo</sup> . fits below fr. 1 <sup>vo</sup> . Cf. Cvo, ll. 22-25.
1	u pachatu majhatu muju bhavasa parako sarvatra vi
<b>2</b>	
3	aroga parama labha satuthi parama dhana vaspasa parama mitra
4	saghara parama duha
7	eta ñatva ya
	III <sup>ro</sup> . Line-end.
1	avaja ida vidva samucari <sup>a</sup> O
2	. pruvina savasañoyanachaya O
	a. The fragment clearly has mu, although the use of samuccarati be very rare, and that of samācarati, on the contrary, frequent. It may be transcribed: \(\bar{a}vadya(\bar{m})\) idam vidrān samuccaret.
	b. It may be transcribed: $p\bar{a}rve\eta a$ sarvasamyojana-kshaya $(\bar{m})$ .
	IV <sup>ro</sup> . fits above the fr. 11 <sup>ro</sup> and with the commencement of 11. 22-24.
1 2	ya keja yatha va ho. va lok
3	śilamatu s. yis. ch
	$V^{ro}$ . The top fits on the right with fr. xIII <sup>ro</sup> . Cf. II. 30-33 of $C^{ro}$ .
1	carita cari
	dhamayari suh.
<b>2</b>	(aho) nako va sagami cavadhi vatita sara
	ativaka ti

abhi.o  2 ga 15  3 hasasahasani sagami manusa jini eka ji  4 sa hi ya gasana anathapa"  a. Or unartha"; the foot of the character in disappeared.  VIII*** belongs to line 12 of C***.  . samase sahasena yo yaea satina ca neva  IX***. fits below line 10.  1 gadha  2 masamasi sahasina yo yaea  IX***. forms the commencement of lines C***, 36, 37, and on its left with fr. xv***.  1 ya narethina v	3	. sa acata drušilia malua vavi lata vani kuya su tadha
yamaloka ji  VIII. belongs to ll. 4-7 of Cro, and fits with the last li of fr. xi.  1 abhi.o  2 ga 15  3 hasasahasani sagami manusa jini eka ji  4 sa hi ya gašana anathapa.  a. Or anartha; the foot of the character disappeared.  VIII. belongs to line 12 of Cro.  . samase sahasena yo yaea satina ca neva  IX. fits below line 10.  1 gadha  2 masamasi sahasina yo yaea  IX. forms the commencement of lines Cro, 36, 37, and on its left with fr. xv.	4	yok.d.bh
VIII. belongs to ll. 4-7 of Cro, and fits with the last lift of fr. xi.  1 ga 15 3 hasasahasani sagami manusa jini eka ji 4 sa hi ya gasana anathapa.  a. Or martha; the foot of the character disappeared.  VIII. belongs to line 12 of Cro.  . samase sahasena yo yaea satina ca neva  IX. fits below line 10.  1 gadha 2 masamasi sahasina yo yaea  IX. forms the commencement of lines Cro, 36, 37, and on its left with fr. xv.		VIro. fits with Cro, 1.
abhi.o  2 ga 15  3 hasasahasani sagami manusa jini eka ji  4 sa hi ya gasana anathapa"  a. Or unartha"; the foot of the character in disappeared.  VIII*** belongs to line 12 of C***.  . samase sahasena yo yaea satina ca neva  IX***. fits below line 10.  1 gadha  2 masamasi sahasina yo yaea  IX***. forms the commencement of lines C***, 36, 37, and on its left with fr. xv***.  1 ya narethina v		yamaloka ji
abhi.o  ga 15  hasasahasani sagami manusa jini eka ji  sa hi ya gasana anathapa"  a Or unarthu'; the foot of the character disappeared.  VIII**. belongs to line 12 of C***.  . samase sahasena yo yaea satina ea neva  IX***o. fits below line 10.  gadha masamasi sahasina yo yaea  IX**o. forms the commencement of lines C**o, 36, 37, and on its left with fr. xv**o.  ya narethina v		VII <sup>re</sup> , belongs to ll. 4-7 of Cre, and fits with the last line of fr. xi.
hasasahasani sagami manusa jini eka ji  a Or unarthu'; the foot of the character disappeared.  VIII**. belongs to line 12 of C***.  . samase sahasena yo yaea satina ca neva  IX***o. fits below line 10.  gadha masamasi sahasina yo yaea  IX**o. forms the commencement of lines C**o, 36, 37, and on its left with fr. xv**o.  ya narethina v	1	?ne
hasasahasani sagami manusa jini eka ji  a Or unarthu ; the foot of the character idisappeared.  VIII*** belongs to line 12 of C***.  . samase sahasena yo yaea satina ca neva  IX*** fits below line 10.  1 gadha 2 masamasi sahasina yo yaea  IX***. forms the commencement of lines C***, 36, 37, and on its left with fr. xv***.  1 ya narethina v		abhi.o
eka ji  4	2	ga 15
4 Or marthu'; the foot of the character disappeared.  VIII <sup>ro</sup> , belongs to line 12 of C <sup>ro</sup> .  . samase sahasena yo yaea satina ca neva  IX <sup>ro</sup> , fits below line 10.  1 gadha 2 masamasi sahasina yo yaea  IX <sup>vo</sup> , forms the commencement of lines C <sup>vo</sup> , 36, 37, and on its left with fr. xv <sup>vo</sup> .	3	hasasahasani sagami manuşa jini
<ul> <li>a. Or unartha; the foot of the character disappeared.</li> <li>VIII<sup>10</sup>, belongs to line 12 of C<sup>10</sup>.</li> <li>. samase sahasena yo yaea satina ca neva</li> <li>IX<sup>10</sup>, fits below line 10.</li> <li>gadha</li> <li>masamasi sahasina yo yaea</li> <li>IX<sup>10</sup>, forms the commencement of lines C<sup>10</sup>, 36, 37, and on its left with fr. xv<sup>10</sup>.</li> <li>ya narethina v</li> </ul>		17
VIII <sup>10</sup> . belongs to line 12 of C <sup>10</sup> .  . samase sahasena yo yaea satina ca neva  IX <sup>10</sup> . fits below line 10.  1 gadha 2 masamasi sahasina yo yaea  IX <sup>10</sup> . forms the commencement of lines C <sup>10</sup> , 36, 37, and on its left with fr. xv <sup>10</sup> .  1 ya narethina v	4	sa hi ya gasana anathapa"
. samase sahasena yo yaea satina ca neva  IX <sup>ro</sup> . fits below line 10.  1 gadha 2 masamasi sahasina yo yaea  IX <sup>vo</sup> . forms the commencement of lines C <sup>vo</sup> , 36, 37, and on its left with fr. xv <sup>vo</sup> .  1 ya narethina v		a Or unartha ; the foot of the character has disappeared.
IX <sup>ro</sup> . fits below line 10.  1 gadha 2 masamasi sahasina yo yaea  IX <sup>vo</sup> . forms the commencement of lines C <sup>vo</sup> , 36, 37, and on its left with fr. xv <sup>vo</sup> .  1 ya narethina v		VIII <sup>re</sup> , belongs to line 12 of Cre.
IX <sup>ro</sup> . fits below line 10.  1 gadha 2 masamasi sahasina yo yaea  IX <sup>vo</sup> . forms the commencement of lines C <sup>vo</sup> , 36, 37, and on its left with fr. xv <sup>vo</sup> .  1 ya narethina v		. samase sahasena yo yaea satina ca
<ol> <li>gadha</li> <li>masamasi sahasina yo yaea</li> <li>IX<sup>vo</sup>. forms the commencement of lines C<sup>vo</sup>, 36, 37, and on its left with fr. xv<sup>vo</sup>.</li> <li>ya narethina v</li> </ol>		
<ul> <li>2 masamasi sahasina yo yaea</li> <li>IX<sup>vo</sup>, forms the commencement of lines C<sup>vo</sup>, 36, 37, and on its left with fr. xv<sup>vo</sup>.</li> <li>1 ya narethina v</li> </ul>		IX <sup>ro</sup> . fits below line 10.
<ul> <li>2 masamasi sahasina yo yaea</li> <li>IX<sup>vo</sup>, forms the commencement of lines C<sup>vo</sup>, 36, 37, and on its left with fr. xv<sup>vo</sup>.</li> <li>1 ya narethina v</li> </ul>	1	gadha
on its left with fr. xv <sup>vo</sup> .  1 ya narethina v		
1 ya narethina v		IXvo. forms the commencement of lines Cvo, 36, 37, and fit
		on its left with fr. xv vo.
	1	va narethina v
	2	suhu sav

# śudhasa hisadaśi gu śudhasa posarudra"

w. We have already met with gu = khala (B, 21), I suppose that it is the same here, and I propose to transcribe suddhasya himsādaršā khala; but the fragment is too short to permit of any attempt at interpretation until the Sanskrit or Pâli redaction of it be met with elsewhere.

XI" agrees with fr. vu".

padasahita
e

sata bhase anathapadasahita

XIIIo,

1 jini 2 kayayapada

XIIIro, fits on the right with the top of fr. v.

dhamu cari sucarita ah?

XIIIvo. fits below fr. XIV and begins line Cao, 18.

imina putikaena vidvarena ...

XIV to fits below line Cvo, 14. Cf. ll. 15 fg.

avathani a. u
yanimani prabhaguni vichitani disodisa kayotaka

A County Section 1

l £

r de au

3 imina putikaena aturena pabhaguna nicasuhavijinena jaradhamena s 4 (uicasuhavijinena)

XV<sup>vo</sup>.

1

1 bhayo
emu jatasamaca
2 ya
emu ne(?)rayamuca

XVIVo. fits with Cvo. II. 36-38.

suha sichita

2 (sa)vaso vi sada suho

adaśanena 3 . lasagatacariu drigham adhvana sovisu

XVIIIO.

dukha balehi

satohişasabhi pravera(ya)

XVIII<sup>vo</sup>. Line-end.

ti so gachu na nivatati 0

va mansana ki tesa ukumulana" 0

at least with regard to the second line, afford any complete sense. sa gacchan na nevartati: "he never turns back from his course"; mānsānām kin teṣām okaunmālanan. Mānsa should be the part of a compound referring to teṣām. If the form only were taken into account, one would think at once of a form ulkā-unmālana; but this is a form of speech which is very little likely and I believe rather in the transcription which I have suggested: "The destruction of their abode...".

XIX'e, agrees with lines 42-44 fg.

1 nena yo atmano

2 sahati dukhu sayati parayitu uyasatu so hu s

3 saghara upadavayadhamino upaji ti nirujhati

XXVo. fits after fr. xxxvVo, II, 39-11.

1 \_\_\_ ?u.s.v.s.

diša sapurusa sumedha bhay jahati kamana tada sa majati

XXI<sup>vo</sup> fits below fr. XIII<sup>vo</sup>. Cf. ll. 19-20.

iminaputikaena visravatena putina nicas

XXII<sup>ro</sup>, fits with line C<sup>vo</sup>, 31, on the left of fr. v<sup>ro</sup>.

1 . ? drušilo hi bah2 tmana yadha na visamu ichati

	,
	XXIIVO, fits with fr. C xivvo: cf. II, 15-16.
1	ni sishani tani distani ka athini tani distani ka
	XXIII <sup>vo</sup> . Lme-end.
	lapabhavabanana
	XXIV <sup>vo</sup> . fits within line C <sup>vo</sup> , 28.
	veraneșu ma
	XXV <sup>vo</sup> .
1 2	radhamena savašu ni FFF
	XXVI <sup>vo</sup> , fits below line 19.   Cf. II, 20-21.
1	. 24444
2	parama śodhi yokachemu anutara
3	ra uveti
	XXVII <sup>ro</sup> , fits on the left with fr. XXX <sup>ro</sup> , and finds placin ll. C <sup>ro</sup> , 38-40.
1	25 - kici kicakali adea tata disa parika
2	pacha şakarn ichati atha dubakati balu
3	sehu pacha tavati drukita kita nu sukita seh

vati unadana ny

XXVIII<sup>ro</sup>.

yati unadana pra

XXIX<sup>10</sup>. Commencement of line C<sup>10</sup>, 21.

(

eka ji bhavitatmana muhut

XXX<sup>10</sup>, fits after fr. XXVII<sup>10</sup>, Cf. II, 38-40,

1 ma kicakari no i kica kiciali adea

2 suhatu parihayati

3 ya kitva nanutapa(?)ti

XXXI. fits with the fragments of letters preserved by line 26, in:

samidhi atmano so silava

XXXII<sup>vo</sup>, fits with C<sup>vo</sup>, 3

prabhaguno bhensiti p.ti

XXXIII'', fits with C'', 4

lite sati an.kar.? prachiti pra

XXXIV10.

# suruga

XXXV<sup>vo</sup>, fits on the left with lines 39-41, and on the right with fr. xxxvi and xx.

ira hi prañai 2 nachatrapatha va cadrimu ○ ra 3 s.h. sarva ca suhu XXXVI's, lits with the preceding.

s.h.s v.s. hatihi ya samakamo

dh

 $XXXVII^{10}$ 

j...t.s 2 chirena

XXXVIII".

rasa şaga

XXXIX<sup>vo</sup>.

ka parama, ok, ruha paricai

XL<sup>re</sup>. Remnant of line 19; only the top of the letters is preserved.

medha paramasodhi yokachem

XLI<sup>ro</sup>, belongs to C<sup>ro</sup>, I. 34.

ga 10

XLIVO. fits with Cvo, 14.

siti ruchu

XLII<sup>ro</sup>. fits with Coo, 15.

ea satona ca

#### M. SENART'S POSTSCRIPT.

I am happy to be able now to rectify the transcription which I was at first induced to suggest for the verse of the

manuscript "Dutreul de Rhins" that forms line 38 of Ca reasons to present my interpretations only as a provisional hypothesis. The discovery which I have made, in a recent reading, of a very analogous Pali counterpart, though not wholly identical, has put me in a position to improve it

sensibly. I do not see any reason to change the material reading of the characters, but rather the divisions of the words, and I transcribe now:

> pure i kica parijaga--kici kicakah adea ta tadiša parikamakicakari no i kica kiciali adea

The Pâlı verse is found in the Samuddavânijajâtaka (Fausböll, IV, 166 fg.). This is the history of a thousand families of carpenters who, harassed by pressing creditors, expatriated themselves on a boat constructed by their own hands

drove them into the open sea towards a fortunate isle where they settled down only to live in abundance. The company was divided into two, and each obeyed its chief,

one of the chiefs was prudent and the other was swayed by greediness; the latter manufactured rum and through intoxication lost the condition which the deities of the isle had put on the sojourn of the new comers. The deities became inclined to avenge themselves by raising up a flood of the ocean that would sweep away the entire island. Warned by a compassionate

deva, the wise carpenters prepared a vessel on which they escaped in the moment of danger, the others preferring to believe in the delusive promises of another deva; they perished victims to their idle optimism. A moral in three stanzas is put into the mouth of the (abhisambuddhagatha'), urging on the necessity of

foresight. The third one is construed in the text of M. Fausboll

as follows: Anagatan patikayırütle kiccam tan tedusad patikatakocakaruh

mâ mam kiccam kiccakale vyadhesi na tam k ceam kiocaka o vyadbeti

I would prefer to have at the second plan mā main or mā tain; but strictly, by supplying it at the end, the first person is left open to interpretation. Fradheti is, I believe, = ryathayati, although the scholinst may seemingly write ryādhesi, which the metre does not support and which he seems to take as a denominative from ryādhi.

Whatever it may be, the direct relation of this strophe with that of our Kharosthi Ms, is not less apparent than the differences which distinguish it. From a comparison it follows that it must be understood, by restoring the anusvaras which the manuscript does not note, as follows:

pure (h)r kica(m) parijaga—ma tatm) kica(m) kicakah adea ta(m) tadisa(m) parikumakicakari(m) no (h)r kica(m) kica(k)ali adea

The difficulties are not avoided though the general sense is certain. There is first of all the gap of four syllables; for the first two I can only provisionally maintain the conjecture by virtue of which I have proposed to complete parijagarea; parijagar being perfectly clear, I do not see how a literal correspondence with patikarate of the Pah could be admitted. The next two syllables would be ma name or ma tain.

In the third phda parikama, parikarma is on the whole little removed from pratiketa; but the substantive cannot exactly fill the function of the participle. If ketya were not wholly employed in all the strophes in the sense of "want", parikarmaketya would very well be rendered; "what has to be done by way of preparation." Inspite of the difficulties which the particular application of ketya in kicakale seems to present against this interpretation, I do not yet see anything else to suggest.

If we pass from the i, certainly wrong, of kiel for kiel to the second and the fourth padas, there still remains a stumbling block in adea. To judge it by the Pali, it would be valken = ryathayeya. A similar deformation is too abnormal to be easily admitted, but the explanation which I have attempted = adeyan failing necessarily, I have nothing satisfactory to suggest; ādeyya, from ādiyali, could be explained, in the sense of "to take, to domineer, to rule", only by forcing the ordinary meaning in a troublesome way.

# The Kharosthi Manuscript of the Dhammapada

With improved readings and readjustments

# [I. Magavaga]

The heading here, as in other chapters, is our own, and is not to be found in the Kharosthi manuscript But the title chosen suggests itself from the burden of the verses themselves. chapter, as may be judged from its colophon "ga 30" (I. A3, 5) consists of 30 stanzas, of which 17 (i.e., 10-26) are missing from the manuscript in hand. With the exception of the first three which are to be traced in the Samyutta-nikāva, all the stanzas are to be found in the Pali Dhammapada, distributed in two chapters, vic., the Magga and the Pakinnaka, verses 4-9 being in the Pakinnakavagga and 27-30 in the Magga number of verses may itself be regarded as a proof of the combination of two groups of verses of the Pali recension under one chapter of the Prakrit. The Maggavagga which just precedes the Pakinnakavagga in the Pali recension, contains 17 stanzas and the Pakinnaka 16. Rockhill's translation of the Tibetan version of the Udanavarga shows that the verses under notice are distributed in the latest known Sanskrit recension in two chapters, the Marga and the Smriti, of which the former contains 20 stanzas, and the latter 28. The Chinese recension, Fa-khen-king, has two chapters, which are named and juxtaposed in the same way as in Pali. But, as a matter of fact, the similarity between the two recensions is only in name, the stanzas being en irely different thoigh the chapters bear the same title

- 1 (u) juo namo so magn abhaya namu sa disa radho akuyano namu dhamatrakehi sahato O (A<sup>4</sup>, 1)\*
- 2 hiri tasa avaramu smati sa parivarana dhamahu saradhi bromi samedithipurejavu O (A<sup>4</sup>, 2)
- 3 yasa etadisa yana gehiparvaitasa va sa vi etina yanena nivanaseva satie O (A4, 3)

Cf. Samyutta, ed. Feer, I. p. 334;-

Ujuko näma so maggo abhayā nāma sā disā Ratho akujano\* nāma dhammuvakkehi samyuto, Hirī tassa apālambo saty-assa\* parvāranam Dhammāham sārathim brūmi sammādīt(hipurejavam. Yassa etādīsam yāmmi itthiyā parisassa vā Sa ve etens yāmna nibbānass'eva santike.

-which Mrs. Rhys Davids (" Kindred Sayings," p. 45) renders:

"Straight is the name that Road is called, and Free From Fear the Quarter whither thou art bound. Thy chariot is the Silent Runner named. With wheels of Righteons effort litted well. Conscience the Leaning Board; the Drapery Is Heelfulness; the driver is the Norm, I say, and Right Views, they that run before, And be it woman, be it man for whom Such chariot doth wait, by that same car Into Nibbana's presence shall they come."

Notes.—The Prakrit verses (1-3), as M. Senart points out, are closely bound up in thought, as they complete together a sort of allegory founded on the imagery which likess Buddhist teaching to a yana or 'vehicle'. The Pali

\* The variant is set assa

<sup>\*</sup> The reference is to M. Separt's arrangement,

<sup>†</sup> An asterisk indicates M. Senart's identification.

Supplied by us.
The Singhaless edition reads akafano, and this reading is adopted in the commentary.

parallels of these verses are to be found in the Samyutta as belonging to a group of four stanzas, named Accharā ('the nymphs') from the catchword of its first verse which is left out in our text, and which reads:

Accharāgaņa-sanghuṭṭham, pisācagaṇasevitam Vanan-tam mohanam nāma, katham yātrā bhavissatīti ?

The imagery developed in these verses serves to throw the Buddhist idea of the silent spiritual progress of man along the path of Righteousness into clear relief, by contrast with the popular aspiration to attain rebirth in the heaven where Indra, king of the gods, visits the enchanting Nandana-grove in a rattling chariot, surrounded by 'troops of nymphs' making the chariot and the paradise resonant with their music and melody', and attended by a 'guard of ficulish warriors'; and a priori with the popular admiration of luxurious life of princes on earth, who frequent in the same way the royal pleasure grove, escorted by troops of women and bands of ferocious soldiers'; in other words, with a life of pomp and pleasure.

The central idea of these verses, divested of poetic imagery and spiritual contrast, i.e., in its more primitive natural

¹ The first of the Achara-group, quoted above, expressly mentions the pleasaunce as the place which is resounded with the song and music of the nymphs. The idea that the charlot was made similarly resonant can be supplied from the second verse, where the description of the Buddhist Path compared to 'a charlot rattling noiselessly on' (rathe a-kujano) implies by contrast the idea of a charlot of just the opposite character.

<sup>\*</sup> Buddhaghosa takes pinācagajā in the first Accharā-verse to be in apposition with accharāgajā. "tam eva archarāganān prācagaiām", i.e., "the troops of nymphs are but the troops of fiends." The commentator can rightly suggest this in respect of a god who is surrounded only by the troops of ayaphs, and his interpretation fits well, no doubt, into the context which he has supplied, though from what source of authority nobody knows. The Accharā-verses, taken together, seem to have reference rather to Indra's visit to the celestial pleasannes or paradise than that of an ordinary devaputta, "accosted as their lord by nymphs with song and music." The grammatical form of the expression pracagana, 'troops of male fiends,' prevents taking it as appositional with accharāgaga, 'troops of female nymphs,' unless it be supposed that the former alludes to the female bodyguards, dressed and armed like men.

The text of the Acchara-verses, as the commentator rightly suggests, contrasts the life of the gods in the heaven of the Thirty-three with the summan bonum of the Buddhists. But the mythological conceptions of heaven and hell being anthropomorphic, the imagery of the verses can be interpreted also as implying a contrast with the sensuous and luxurious life of princes on earth.

Cf. the description of royal visit to the Buddha in the Digha, I., p. 49
 Sec. 9 Samangala-vilāsmī I., pp. 148-9; and Lankavatara-Sūtra, Fasc. I., p

psychological form, can be traced in a few verses of the Suttanipata e.g. 1103, 1107, 1109 and 1111, containing Buddha's replies to the questions of the Brahman youth Udaya. The questions and replies together constitute a poem known as I dayamanarapuecha belonging to the Parayana-group, which as a separate entity constituted one of the oldest known materials of the Pali canon i In fact, our verses may be justly regarded as a later recast of the idea of the 'arayana discourse, and the link in the transformation is preserved in a few technical expressions which, though not exactly identical in both, have at least a striking likeness proving their kinship e.g. nirāraņum (of the Suttampata) and parreagana (of our Prakrit); dhammatakkapurejara and dhamatrakehi sahato, samedithipurejanu, satassa and smatisa; nilbanam iti veccati and nicanasera satir; and here it may be noted with profit that the Suttanipāta verses themselves presuppose similar Indian ideas, such as those which can be traced in the Kathopanishad (1.3, 3-9):

"Ātmānam rathimam viddhi, sarīram ratham ova tu Buddhim tu sārathim viddhu, manah pragraham ova ca Indriyāni hayān āhur visavāmistesu gocarān, Ātmēndeiyamanovuktam bhoktētyāhur manīšinah. Yastvavijūānavān bhavatyayuktena manasā sadā Tasyēndriyānyavasyām dusļāšvā iva sāratheh. Yastu vijūānavān bhavati yuktena manasā sadā Tasyēndriyāņi vasyāmi sadasvā iva sāratheh."

# Compare also Mann (II. 88):—

" Indriyāņātit vicaratātit visayesvapahārisu Satilyame yatnamātisthedvidvānyantēva vājinām."

Verse 1.—(U)juo=njnko. The initial n stands for the Sk. r exactly as in Pāli. The guttural k is elided between n and o. The word is a derivative of njn, Sk. njn, 'straight' Namo=Pāli nāmo, Sk. nāma (from nāman), 'by name,' 'called,'—the same as namn which occurs twice in this verse. The shortening of nī into nn is due to a special feature of the dialect of our text which completely does away with all long vowels. M. Senart is not fully certain about the reading o in the syllable mo of namo. The balance of probability lies with the form namn, but the change of n into o in this exceptional form may have been

due to euphony, as it is preceded and followed by words ending in a sounds. This is not to say, however, that a strong case is thus made out for the form namo in preference to numu, in view of the uncertainty of the reading Sothe same as in Pali. Magu = Pali maggo, Sk. margah, 10ad Here is an instance of a nom, sing, form where a masculine stem ending in u is declined with the termination u, instead of a as in nyno and so For a instead of a, et avaramu (verse 2). We meet with a regular form mago in v. 29 below. Mayu is therefore to be regarded as optional Both the forms may and mago stand nearer to Sanskut (margah) than the more primitive forms where Magadhism holds a greater sway and where the masculine and neuter stems ending in " are declined alike in the nominative singular, e.g., 'sukhe dukkhe jirasuttami' (Digha I. p. 56. extract from the Buddhist version of the doctrine of Pakudhakaccayana, an elder contemporary of the Buddha), and "n'atthi attakare, n'atthi parakare n'atthi purisakare, n'atthe balam, n'atthe virey m, r'atthi purisa-thamo, n'atthe purisa-parakkamo" (Digha I. p. 53: extract from the Buddhist version of the doctrine of Gosala, the Ajivika teacher), of which the Jaina parallel reads: " "utthi utthane ı i kamme i i kale i ra riliye i i ka purısak karapparak kame rā" (Uvāsagadasījo, Lec VI., § 166). In our text such masculine and neuter stems are distinguished in declension, though not so distinctly as in Pah, the masculine form mostly terminating in v and the neuter, as we shall see later on, in u. The underlying moral of the sentence njuo namo so maya ('straight by name is the road') is a familiar Indian idea which is expressed more clearly in the later Buddhist songs. Cf. uju re uju chādi mā lehu re vanku, i.e., "leaving what is straight and straight indeed, O thou shouldst not take to what is crooked" (Banddha-Gān v Dohā, No. 32, p. 49). Abhaya= Pāli and Sk. abhayā, an instance of the feminine  $\bar{a}$ -declension in the nominative singular. For the shortening of the final vowel, see Namo above. Namu-see Namo above. Sa = Pāli and Sk. M; for the final vowel, cf abhaya. Diśa (feminine) = Pāli disā, Sk. diśā. The ŝ makes the word more akin to Sanskrit than to Pali, and supplies a bond of kinship of the dialect of our text with those of the Shahbazgarhi and Mansehra recensions of Asoka's Rock Edicts [cf. Priyadrasisa (Shab. 1), Priyadrasine (Man. 1).] Radho = Pali ratho, Sk. rathah, chariot,—an instance of th changed into dh, and the regular form of the mase nom singular in o

Akuyano = Pali a-kajana according to the Samyutta reading; consequently, the Sk. would be a-kujuauh, and the meaning, as suggested by M Schart, would be 'the resert of honest people' and the explanation, 'where the wicked are none." This interpretation of akayano and the Pali reading akujano on which it is based, are open to dispute for the abvious tensor that the meaning suggested and applied to the charact is hardly in keeping with the appositional adjectives upon and abhaya, 'straight' and free from fear, as applied to the road and to the direction. The very expression rathe okujano, the chariot where the wicked are not,' is quite unprecedented in Indian literature, not to say, unidiomatic. The meaning which fits into the context, is 'that which is free from creaking' i.e., 'runs noisele-sly on,'--abajano, "the silent Runner." In fact, the reading in the Singhalese edition of the Samyutta is akujano, and the same reading is followed in the commentary, which explains the word as follows :--" na kūjali aa vivavali lasma akūjano lt". In the language of our text the form aknyano can also be allowed in the sense of Pali aknyaan, ...., 'not a bul vehicle', but such a word has not been met with in Pali phraseology. Dhamatrakehi = Pali dhammarakkehi (according to Samyutta) and Sk. dharmacakraik. M. Senart has suggested with strong reisons on his side that the correct reading in Prakrit can only be dhamatrakehi or dhamadrakehi, the first of which may be interpreted as dhammatakkehi in Pali und dharmatarkaih in Sanskrit. He cites the evidence of the Suttanipata verse 1101, which speaks of ahhārimokha as alhammatakkapurejava, a form which is nearer the expression samedithipurejava in the next verse of our text. Considering that the Suttanifata verse is found in the Parayana-group of poems, which as a separate entity formed one of the oldest materials the Pali canon (Buddhist India, p. 188), it is, as suggested by M. Senart, quite probable that the reading tarka is older than the reading cakra, which, to quote his own words, "being more ingenious and piquant could, once established, no more have been displaced." It must he readily conceded that the Prakrit form dhamalrakehi clearly preserves the memory of the Pali expression dhammatakka, Sk. dharmatarka, although to complete the idea of the analogy of the Buddhist "Path" with the 'chariot rolling moiselessly on,' the substitution of cakra, 'wheel' for larks, 'reasoning' was natural inevitable F n muling lat there is no mistake or the part of the scribe or in the reading, the Prakrit form must be taken in the sense of 'wheels.' The change of larka into traka is accountable by the principle of Metathesis Ci. drumedhino for durmedhasah (Apramadavaga, v. 8), pravatatho for parvatusthah (ibid v. 10); drugha for durgat (ibid, v. 23); druracha drunicarana for daraksyam (dur-raksyam) durnirāraņum (Citavaga, v. 5); drugati foi Inigatih (Dhamathavaga, v. 4), drugatio (Bhikhuvaga, v 1); deigham for dirgham (Suhavaga, v. 38). This kind of change is familiar to the student of Asoka's Rock Edicts, of which the Shahbazgarhi and Mansehia recensions have so close a kinship, in respect of phonology and orthography, with the dialect of our text-a feature which goes to prove the common geographical location of the two dialects (cf. dhrama for dharma, drast for darst Shah. I, Mans. 1; sarra for sarra S. M. II; prava for puria S M. v., Girnar v , grabha for garbha, S. M. vi ; kramutara for karmantara, S. M. vi ; kratariye for kartavya M. XI The instrumental plural termination this is a common feature of all Prakritic languages and alike of their ancient prototypes the Pāli and Ardhamāgadhī. Sahato=Pāli sumhato according to M Senart, but it seems that samhito, sahito ('joined', 'linked') would be the proper Pali equivalent. The Samyutta variant of the word—sumyuto ('connected', 'fitted with') attests the correctness of the above suggestion. The Upanishad passage singled out above as the basis of the Buddhist verses contains the expression unktena, conveying the idea 'linked with', and the phiase juktena manasa, i.e., 'with mind linked to' is an older psychological counterpart of the Pali dhammacukleha samyuto, fitted with the wheels of Righteousness', and of the Prakrit alhammacakkehi sahato, 'rounded off with (the wheels of) reasoned discussions on the Norm', the phrases breathing the Buddhist intellectual bias and moral sentiments.

Verse 2.—Hiri=Pāli, hirī, Sk. hrī, an instance of Epenthesis, meaning, according to the commentator, hiriottappuin, modesty, conscientiousness. Tasa = Pāli tassa, Sk
tasya. Avaramu = Pāli, apālamho. This is the only instance,
as noticed by M. Senart, where r is substituted for l. As
for m=mb, compare udumāresu = udumbaresu in B, 40
The word means, according to Senart, 'skid.' The
meaning which agrees with the commentary is 'leaningboard' (Kindred Sayings. p. 45: yodhānam apatanatthāya
dārumayan Tlambanakam a wooden structure protecting

the soldiers from falling down). This is also an instance of sandhi uru + arame, corresponding to Pali apa + alamba Note also the change of p into r. Smatisa = small + asa = Pali saty-assa, or sattassa, or satussa (sati+assa). The Prakrit form is more akin to Sanskrit a pli, a Buddhist technical term which means 'mindfulnesss.' Parirarana = Pāli, parivaraņume, which M. Senart renders 'the roof'; but Mrs. Rhys Davids, following the commentary translates it 'the drapery' The absence of the cerebral nasal n is a peculiarity of the language of our text. word, as has been pointed out above, is a later counterpart of nivaranum (Suttanipata v. 1108) or 'prevention.' The sense is that mindfulness (smati) is like a drapery (partrarana) preventing the influx of impurities from outside. Dhamahu = Pāli dhammāham, another instance of sandhdhamn+ahn corresponding to Pali dhammam+aham. The searcity of double consonants is a characteristic feature of the text. It is to be noted that final ain of Pali and Sk is represented in our Prakrit, generally by was here, or by a as elrewhese, and occasionally by ans in parirarana. Saradhi= Pali sarathim, charioteer, driver. For dh=th, see radho (verse 1). Bromi = Pali li vini, I say. The Prakrit form is nearer to Sk. bravim; the shortening of ara into ass common in the Pali and all Prakritic languages, but neither the Prakrit bromi nor the Pali brumi may be said to square with this rule unless it he supposed that the vowels a and a are assimilated into a or a. Samedithipurejavu = Pāli sammādītthi purejavam, a compound, meaning 'the right views which like horses run ahead' The form same stands closer to the Sanskrit samyak phonetically than to Pali ramma.

Verse 3—Yasa=Pāli yassa, Sk. yasya. Etadiśa=Pāli etādisam, Sk etādṛśam, like this, such. The Prakrit has followed the Pāli in dispensing with r, but unlike Pāli it retains s and in this respect stands closer to Sanskrit. For a=am, see parrurana v. 2. The significance of the word 'such,' as implied in the Dhammapada verse 328, is "such a vehicle whereby a person can reach the untraversed region (ayatam disam), i.e., Nirvāna. Yana=Pāli and Sk. yānam, vehicle. For the final a, see etadiša above. The conception of the Path as a vehicle is a later imagery, later than ayana or magga of the older Buddhist discourses, both denoting 'path.' Cf. Ekāyano ayam maggo (Satipatthānasutta, Majjh.). This imagery was consummated n te subsecce t growt of the oncept ons of

Mahāyāna' and 'Hīnayāna,' distinguished as two prominent phases of the Buddhist faith, perhaps on the lines, in a sense, of the Vedic and post-Vedic distinction between Derayana and Pitryana, with this difference that the word your in the older texts does not imply the idea of conveyance but of the path along which the soul proceeds, after death, to its destined end. Gehiparvaitasa va = Pāli yihino pubbajītassa vā The genitive termination has been dropped in gehr Here is an instance where Pali metri causa. represented by e. The opposite process, i.e., the change of Pali e into Prakrit t is noticeable in etina below, which stands for Pali elena; that is to say, i and c are interchangeable. Parroitasa=Pāli pabbajitassa, prevrajetasya. The rea is a metathesis for the Sk. vra, probably on account of a false analogy with forms like  $F_0 = P$ āli and Sk.  $v\bar{a}$ . The whole expression means of the householder or of the recluse. The Pali verse has the variant itthiya purisassa va, i.e., 'of women or of men.' The Pali idea seems to be older, as pointing to a time when Buddhism was considered fundamentally a religion of the Bhikkhus, while the Prokrit seems to belong to a time when the Buddhist community, as distinguished from a mere Bhikkhusaingha, having been formed, provision had to be made both for the householder and the Bhikkhu in one religious scheme, as was done by Asoka (See Bhabra Edict). Sa=Pāli so, Sk. sah. Vi=Pāli re, S'x. vai, 'indeed.' The Sanskrit diphthongs ai and au are absent in our Prakrit. The a-i in parvailasa is not to be regarded as a diphthong. As a matter of fact, M. Senart has noted a parrahita in the St. Petersburg fragments, the intervening h preventing hiatus like the y of the Ardhamagadhi orthography. For the i in vi=e of Pali, compare elina = elena following (in the verse). Yanena = Pali and Sk. yanena, instr. of yana. Nivanaseva = nivanasa + eva, an instance of sandhi corresponding to Pāli nibhānasseva = nibbanassn + evu. Satie = Pāli and Sk. santike, near. Note the elision of k between i and c. The occurrence of an alternative form satii (B. 32) is no more than an instance of the interchange of i and c in the Prakrit itself.

supraudhu praujhati¹ imi gotamasavaka yesa diva ya rati ca nica budhakata smati ○ Cf. Dhammapada, 296† (Pakinnakavagga, v. 7):-

Suppabuddhan pabujjhanti sadā Gotamasāvakā Yesan divā ca ratto ca niccam Buddhagatā sati.

Cf. Udānavarga1, ch. xv. (" smṛti "), 12:-

"The disciple of Gantama is always well awake, day and night reflecting on the Buddha."

Cf. Dhammapade, 297† (Pakinnakavagga, v. 8):-

Suppabuddhum pabujjhanti sedā Gotsmasāvakā Yesum divā cu ratto ca niceam Dhammagatā sati,

Cf. Udānavarga, ch. xv. ("smṛti"), 13:-

"The disciple of Gantama is always well awake, day and night reflecting on the law."

6 [s]upraudhu praujhati imi gotamaşavaka yeşa diva ya rati ca nica saghakata smati O

(A', 6)

Cf. Dhammapada, 298† (Pakinnakavagga, v. 9):-

Suppabuddham pabujjhanti sadā Gotamasāvakā Yosam divā ca ratto ca niceam Samghagatā sati.

Cf. Udānavarga, ch. xv. ("smṛti"), 14:-

"The disciple of Gautama is always well awake, day and night reflecting on the church."

Is translation of the T betan v raion of the Uddinavarya.

7 [sup]raudhu praujhati imi gotamasavaka yesa diva ya rati ca nica kayakata smati (A<sup>4</sup>, 7)

Cf. Dhammapada, 299† (Pakinnakav., v. 10):---

Suppabuddham pabujjhanti sadā Gotamasāvakā Yesam divā ca ratto ca niccam kāyagatā sati.

Cf. Udānavarga, ch. xv. ("smṛti"), 18:-

"The disciple of Gautama is always well awake, day and night reflecting on what appertains to the body."

8 supraudhu praujhati imi gotamaşavaka yeşa diva ya rati ca ahinsai rato mano O (A<sup>4</sup>, 8).

Cf. Dhammapada, 300† (Pakiṇṇakav., v. 11):--

Suppabuddham pabujjhanti sadā Gotamasāvakā Yesam divā ca ratto ca ahimsāya rato mano.

Cf. Udanavarga, ch. xv. ("smṛti"), 21:---

"The disciple of Gautama is always well awake, day and night delighting in kindness of heart."

9 supraudhu p[r]aujhati¹ imi gotamaşavaka yeşa diva ya rati ca bhamanai rato mano ○ (A⁴, 9)

Cf. Dhammapada, 301† (Pakinnakav., v. 12):-

Suppabuddham pabujjhanti sadā Gotamasāvakā Yesam divā ca ratto ca bhāvanāya rato mano.

The Ms. reads prangers which sevidently as error of the scribe

# Cf. Udānavarga, ch. xv. ("smṛti"), 22:-

"The disciple of Gautama is slways well awake, day and night delighting in medication."

- Notes.—The verses (4-9) correspond in wording and sequence to the six verses (7-12) in the 'Miscellaneous' group of the Pāli Dhammapada. The Udānavarga which presupposes an older Sanskrit recension, contains all these verses in its Smṛṭi-group, the sequence being broken after the third verse, as well as after the fourth, by the intervention of some additional verses which seem to have been composed at some later date on similar lines. The total number of Udāna verses cast into the same mould are 17. There are three verses (9-11) in the same chapter of the Udānavarga, preceding the 'Suprabuddha' series, which, though not regular counterparts, are analogous in thought to the first three of our series, as will appear from Rockhill's translation quoted below:—
  - "They who day and night are reflecting on the Buddha, and who go to the Buddha for a refuge, these men have the profits of mankind."
  - "They who day and night are reflecting on the law (dharma), and who go to the law for a rofuge, these men have the profits of mankind."
  - "They who day and night are reflecting on the church (sangha), and who go to the church for a refuge, these men have the profits of mankind,"

The 'Suprandhu' verses which are primarily intended to eulogise the disciples of Gotama, cannot be found in any other canonical text than the Dhammapada, a negative evidence, which has some bearing on the age of the We have discussed in our " Asoka's Dhammapada. Dhamma" (loc. cit.) how the enlogium of the Three Refuges came to occupy a prominent place in the Buddhist faith and how the older conception of the Three Refuges (tisaranas) in the Dhammidasa (Digha, II. p. 93) changed into the later poetical ideas of the Three Jewels (tiralanas) in the Batanasutta (Suttanipata and Khuddakapatha). We have further shown that this change of idea took place in no less than a century. The ruling idea of the 'Supraudhu' verses which seems to have been a supergrowth of the tisarana or tiratana idea, must be relegated to a time when the savakas became the mainstay of the Buddhist fait : And I lging from the optimistic tone of the verses, it seems that these were the compositions of a time of active Buddhist propaganda when Buddha's disciples deserved to be praised as 'truly awakened' only by carrying their master's behest expressed in the words "ärabbhatha nikkhamatha yunjatha Buddhasasane" (start, come out and flock to Buddha's standard) and "Ko attho supitena vo"2 (what's the use sleeping?). The Pāh Dhammapada contains the minimum number of 'Supraudhu' verses and the Udanavarga the maximum. the Prakrit Dhammapada, contains all the 'Supraudhu' verses of the Pali text, and as 17 verses (10-26) of Magavaga are missing from the Kharosthi Ms., it is difficult to say how many of them belonged to the 'Supraudhu' group But we have other instances where the number of verses of a particular group, e.g., the 'Sahassa' group, varies in different recensions, the minimum generally being in the Pah and the maximum in the Udanavarga,—the Prakrit and the older Sanskrit being 2nd and 3rd in order. It is, therefore, reasonable to think that of the verses missing in the Kharosthī Ms., some at least must have belonged to the 'Supraudhu' group,—in other words, that the Prakrit verses outnumbered the Pāli. One may look upon this multiplication of verses as a result of a most mechanical attempt to swell the number of verses without any new articulation. But to us it has a two-fold signification:--first, that it brings out certain ideas which are implicit in the central theme, and secondly, that it sets forth a chronology of thought as well as of literature.

Verse 4.—Supraudhu=Pāli, suppabuddham, accusative adverb. The word is cognate adverbial object to the verb praujhati, the idea being 'they awake with a good awakening.' The conjunct consonant pr is not to be found in Pāli and Ardhamāgadhi and seems to be a special feature of the dialect of our text as well as of the Shahbazgaihi and Manschra recensions of Asoka's Rock Edicts. In Pāli r combines with a preceding libial b in such exceptional cases as Brahmā, Brāhmaṇa, Brahmadatta, and with dentals t and d in a f-w words like yatra, tatra, bhadra and indriya. The Prakrit has kept to the Sanskrit so far as pr is concerned. The omission of b between a and u can be explained by an intermediate change of the labial b into the semivowel r, which latter merged

See refe ences under Apra andavags v 7 Sattan pāta, v 381

into u, the two sounds vu and v being very much similar. The simplification of ddh into dh is one of the many instances which exemplify the tendency of the dialect of our text to do away with double consonants The final n = anic (cf. precjain, v. 2). Praujhati = Pah pabujjhanti, Sa. prabudhyanti, 'they awake.' The Prakrit and Pali forms are in the Parasmaipada, while the Sanskrit is in the Atmanepada. For the omission of h between a and u, cf. suprandhu. The th seems to have been simplified from 1/h which corresponds to Sk. dhy The /i which is a plural termination corresponding to uti, is an instance of phonetic decay. Imi = Pali and Sk. ime. For the change of c into Prakrit i see elina (x 3). This reading is, according to M. Senart, much better than the Pali sada. But we cannot agree with him as im is quite unintelligible here, the verse being detached from the context. We think that a general term like sada is better Gotamasavaka = Pāli Golamasārakā, Sk. "srārakāh. This is an instance of genitive compound. The s seems to stand midway between Sk. sr and Palis. The final a of the plural is shortened, as all long vowels are, in our text. Yeşa = Pāli yesain, Sk. yeṣām. The Prakrit stands closer to Sanskrit so far as the s is concerned. For the final a standing for Pāli am, see yana (v. 3.). Diva = Pāli and Sanskrit divā. Ya = ca. (f. ayara = āvāra (B. 19). Rati = Pāli ratti, Sk. rātri. The idiomatic form ought to have been ratto. The form rati (nom, sing, instead of locative) is perhaps an instance of false analogy with dina considered as nom. sing. Ca-We have an optional form ya above. Nica = Pāli niccain, Sk. nityam. For tya > cca > ca cf. dhya > jjha > jha in pranjhati above **Budhakata** = Pali Buddhagata, 'turned towards the Buddha'. The Prakrit ka is here equal to Pali ga. The . word is a compound. Smati-See verse 2.

Verse 5.— Dhamakata = Pāli dhummagatā, 'turned towards the Dhamma'.

Verse 6. - Saghakata = Pāli sanghayatā, 'turned towards the Sangha'. The gha corresponding to higher is perhaps an instance of phonetic decay.

Verse 7.—Ahińsai = Pāli ahimsāya, Sk. ahimsāyam The final i replacing the yam is an instance of phonetic decay Rato - Pāli rato Sk ratah i leligiting in Mano = Pāli ma to Sk manas Verse 8.—Bhamanai = Pāli  $\iota h \bar{a} van \bar{a} y a$ , Sk.  $b h \bar{a} van \bar{a} y \dot{m}$ , 'in meditation'. For the change of v into m cf. Pāli  $n \bar{a} v a \dot{m} = \operatorname{Prakrit} n a m a$  (B, 35).

- 27¹ savi saghara anica ti yada prañaya paśati tada nivinati dukh(a²) [eṣo magu viśodhia]³ ○⁴ (A³, 1)
  - Cf. Dhammapada, 277† (Maggav., 5), and Theragatha, p. 69:—

Sabbe samkhārā aniccā iti yadā paññāya passati Atha nibbindati dukkhe, esa maggo visuddhyā.

- Cf. Udanavarga, XII. ("The Way"), 5:-
- "'All created things are impermanent'; when one has seen this through knowledge, he is no longer afflicted by pain: this is the way to perfect purity."
- 28 savi saghara dukha ti yada prañae gradhati tada nivinati dukha eso magu visodhia O
  (A<sup>8</sup>, 2)
  - Cf. Dhammapada, 278† (Maggav., 6):--

Sabbe samkhārā dukkhā 'ti yadā paññāya passati Atha nibbindati dukkhe, esa maggo visuddhiyā.

- Cf. Udānavarga, XII. (" The Way "), 6:-
- " 'All created things are grief'; when one has seen this through knowledge, he is no more afflicted by pain this is the way to perfect purity."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> M. Senart's Fragment A' ends with our verse 9, and Fr A<sup>3</sup> commences with our 27, that is to say, verses 10-26 are missing from the Kharosthi Ms.

Supplied by us.
 M Senart refers to two small fragments where he finds traces of the
 eso nagu m sodh a] which completes he v
 Supplied by us.

29sarvi dhama anatma ti yada pasati cachuma tada nivinati dukha eşo mago visodhia O

 $(\Lambda^n, 3)$ 

Cf. Dhammap., 279† (Maggav., 7):—

Sabbe dhanıma anattā 'ti yadā paññāya passati Atha nibbindati dukkhe, esa maggo visuddhiyā,

> Cf. Udanav., xtt. (" The Way"), 7:-

" 'All created things are empty (quavata)', when one has seen this through knowledge, he is no more afflicted by pain: this is the way to perfect purity."

Notes .- Here is another group of verses, three in number. which inculcate the religious significance and necessity of viewing 'all created things', in the light of the Buddhist doctrine of impermanence, suffering, and non-identity, as constituting the path to Purity i.e., Nirvana. These verses cannot be traced in the older portions of the Nikavas. though the views of life which they embody can be ascribed to the Buddha himself.

It is evident from Buddha's exhortation to his son Rahula! that he wanted to impress upon his son and to others, who were in a similar stage of ignorance and inexperience, the necessity of constantly being mindful of the three supreme truths or facts of common experience viz., impermanence, suffering and unsubstantiality. Not that he was indulging in a pessimistic vein, but that he was, viewing fact as fact, trying to incite and inspire the thoughtless and indolent to be active and energetic for the attainment of that tranquil and serene state of consciousness which is possible to attain through the effort of human will in this very life. There can be no mistake about this cardinal motive of Buddha's admonition, and he was consistent to the end, as may be judged from his last utterance: "Handa däni bhikkhare amantayami no: rayadhammü sairkhārā appamādena sampādetha 12 (Now I charge you, O bhikkhus: subject to decay are created things, be energetic in the performance of duties). A growing

The Maka-Ral aloreds and the Call Rahalordda satias of the May hams Dighall p 157

change in Buddha's doctrine of Appamāda is perceptible in the later moralizing tendency and pensive mood of his disciples and followers, and it is no wonder that the death of so great a man like him served to heighten the feeling of impermanence and excite a pessimistic mood, which held a permanent sway over the Buddhist mind till at last the spirit of the doctrine was lost sight of, and that which had been to Buddha a means to an end, turned out in the hands of his followers to be almost an end in itself. Thus Sakka, king of the gods, was made to sing perhaps long before the Dhammapada verses were composed:—

"Aniccā vata sankhārā uppādavayadhammino. Uppajjitvā mīujjhanti, tesam vūpasamo sukho 'ti.''¹

The result was that the Buddhists of subsequent ages came to regard the truths as formulas for mystic repetition and as mantras for counting beads.

Verse 27.—The second line may be restored, with the help of vv. 27-28 as follows: -tada nivinate dukh(a ego muyo visodhia (). Savi=Pali subbe, Sk. sarre. The correct reading appears in v. 29. In other instances also we meet with rr instead of simply v. See, for instance, M. Senart's fragments B vi: [sa]rrakeleŝa, and B viv: sarra. M. Senart, however, has nothing to say regarding this difference. If the reading sarr be correct we have to negard it as an optional form of sarvi which makes a nearer approach to Sk. sarre, the final i affording another instance of the interchange of e and i. Saghara = Pali sankhara. Sk. samskārāh, created things. Here it may be supposed to stand for 'organisms' or 'bodies with their different organs of sense and of action.' The substitution of the sonant gh for the surd kh with the guttural nasal n is an instance of Compensation. Anica = Pāli aniceā, Sk. anityāh, impermanent. Cf. nica, v. 4. Anicati = anica + 1/1, an instance of vowel sandhi. Yada = Pāli and Sk. yadā. Pranaya = Pāli panāāya. Sk. prajāayā, with elear cognition. The optional forms are pranae (v. 38), pranai (I. A3, 9). Paśati = Pāli passatī, Sk. pašyatī. The s makes the Prakrit form closer to Sanskrit. Tada = Pali and Sk. tadū, correlative of yadu. Nivinati = Pali nubbindata, Sk mrnadati or-te. The Sk. forms mean 'gains,' which is not applicable to the sense here. The Pali and Prakrit bear resemblance to Sanskrit only in form, but in idea they seem to be connected with Sk. nerveda, Pāli nibbeda, 'sense of indifference in regard to pain, arising out of a true knowledge of the real character of existence.' This meaning is suggested by Buddhaghosa, and is also borne out by the Tibetan version. M. Senart quotes Childers who interprets it "only does be conceive disgust for [existence which is nothing but] pain." For the omission of r compare sare above; a for ad is a phonetic decay Dukh(a) stands for Pāli dukkhe. The Prakrit seems to be an accusative singular corresponding to Pāli dukkhem, in which case the verb nivinati must mean 'rightly understands'. As regards the Pāli dukkhe, we might take it as an acc. plural, though a locative singular construction would have been more idiomatic.

Verse 28.—Dukhati=dukha+ili, another instance of vowel sandhi. Cf. unatma ti (v. 29). Pranae = pranaya of v. 27, the final e standing for we. Gradhati=Sk. granthati (according to M. Senart), to be explained on the analogy of sankhara = saghara (v. 27). Gradhali stands for Pali passati, sees. It may be necessary to inquire if the Prakrit word has anything to do with Pali gadhate (Udana, p. 9), 'dives into,' 'penetrates.' Gradhati = granth to necording to M. Senart, means, as he understands, 'deduces, reasons, concludes.' There is no such word as granthatt in Sanskrit, the proper form being grathmati, if we are to derive it from the root grath 'to link, to weave.' M. Senart's suggestion is ingenious enough, and would have commended itself to our ready acceptance if the form could be settled from the philological stand-point. The equation of graduate with granthati would be quite on the lines of the change of sunkhāra into saghara (vv 27, 28), i.e., the change of, the first aspirate conjoined with the nasal of the group into the second aspirate. But the equation is not permissible in the present case by the meaning of the word. Gradhali is apparently used in the same sense as pasati of the preceding verse. Accordingly, it must be equated with a Pali or a Sanskrit word of which the derivative meaning would be 'to see.' Such a Pali word we can think of is gadhati (Sk. gahate) 'to dive,' hence 'to penetrate, to realise.' Cf. the Pāli Udāna, 1, p. 9: " l'attha apo ca pathari tejo vāyo na quidhati." The difficulty is to account for the gra in place of gu. This may be explained by a process of False Analogy with the Sanskrit form grana's from Jarah 'to receive' the fam iar not on of the grahya gralaka reation of

and objects being presupposed. A phrase "yattha paññā na gādhati" occurs in a Jātaka verse (Fausböll, III p 508 f.), and gādhati is explained in the commentary as meaning "gādhain patitthain na labhati" i.e., "(where wisdom) gains no footing."

Verse 29.—Sarvi = Pāli sabbe, Sk. sarve. The Prakrit form almost coincides with the Sanskrit, the i replacing the e, a feature which is very common in the Prakrit of Anatmati = Pāli anattātī (anattā + iti) Sk. our text. anātmetti (anātmā+iti). This is another instance of vowel sandhi, similar to dukhuti in v. 28, and anicati in v. 27 The Prakrit form keeps closer to Sanskrit. Such instances as these may, we think, have been the results of the process of Sanskritization of an older Buddhist dialect. No Buddhist conception has been so much misunderstood by critics, both ancient and modern, as that of matma, which literally means 'no-soul.' The negative form of the word atma or ātman does not imply the negation of all the idea of a percepient. It implies indeed the negation of the older Upanishadic theory of the soul as an unchangeable substratum of all changes and mental functions as well as of the naive animistic notion of an in-dwelling spirit capable of going out and coming into the body at its sweet will, a notion which is prevalent among all ancient peoples. The Buddhist theory of 'no-soul' replaced these older ideas by a psychological theory of the mind as a stream of consciousness The verse under notice does not seem so much concerned to bring out the philosophical idea of 'no-soul' as to accentuate the necessity of renunciation. Cachuma = Pāli cakkhumā, Sk. cakṣuṣmān, 'he who has eyes to see.' In this instance the Prakrit keeps closer to Pali. For the change of kkh into ch, compare rachati for rakkhati (I. 13, 14), and anechiti for avekkhati (I. A8, 16). We also meet with a reading cakhuma in the next veise. The change is from ky through assimilation into kkh, which is first palatalised into cch, and is then reduced to ch for facility of pronunciation. Ma for St. " an is an instance which shows that the Prakrit like Pali has done away with the final consonant, so that the equation would be  $ma = m\bar{a}$ , but since our Prakrit has no long vowel, the  $\bar{a}$  is shortened into a. The interest of the reading pasati cachuma instead of prañaya pasati as in verse 27, and prañae grudhati as in verse 28, lies in the fact that it makes manifest the underlying metaphor of wisdom regarded as an eye or mode of perception, the 1 rajha being considered as the prajha-caks

30 magana athagio setho sacana cauri pada viraku setho dhamana pranabhutana cakhuma (A\*, 4)

Cf. Dhammap., 273† (Maggav. v. 1.):-

Maggān' aṭṭhaṅgiko seṭṭho saccānaṅ caturo padā, virāgo seṭṭho dhammānaṁ dipadānañ ca cakkhumā

Cf. Udünavarga, ch. XII. (" The Way "), v. 4:-

"Among truths the four truths (are the best); the eightfold way is the best of ways; the best of bipeds is he who sees; the greatest of virtues (dharma), passionlessness."

ga 30 (A<sup>a</sup>, 5)

Notes.—This verse, as will appear from the first quotation above, occurs at the beginning of the Pāli Maggavagga. In our text it is placed after the "analma" verse, i.e., v. 29, while in the Udānavarga it precedes the "anica" verse, i.e., v. 27, and in the Pāli it is placed before three verses preceding the "anica" verse. The verse under notice cannot be traced in any other extant canonical work, though the underlying idea is a familiar one. The praise of the Path which is the theme of our verse serves to wind up the teaching of this chapter.

Magana = Pāli magyānam, genitive of the Prakrit mago or magu. The genitive is in the sense of the locative; of the Paths = among the Paths. The significance of the genitive plural of the word mago or magu is that it makes explicit the contrast of the Buddhist Path with those followed by others, a contrast which is tacitly implied in many genuine utterances of the Buddha. Compare, for instance, the expression "Ekāyano ayarh bhikkhare maggo". "This, O bhikkhus, is the only Path" (Mahāsatipatthāna-satlanta, Dīgha II). Athagio = Pāli atthangiko, Sk. astāngikah, an instance of sandhi and compound (atha + agio), meaning that which has eight parts, 'the eightfold.' Atha is simplified from Sk. asta through the intermediate Pāli attha. Agio = Pāli angako Sk angikah It has already been noted that is

of Pāli and Sk. is dropped in our Prakrit in instances where it is conjoined with a surd of the same consonantal group, and the surd itself changes into the corresponding sonant (e.g., paya for Pāli panka I. 5; sughara for sawkhāra, v. 27; sagupa for sawkappa I. 13, 9). But here we have an instance where the nasal of the guttural group is conjoined with the sonant g. The nasal is dropped but the sonant remains unchanged. sagamu for sangamo (I. cro, 6). The k is dropped between r and o here For the omission of k between a and c, cf. satie (v 3), between i and r cf. satri (I. B. 32), between u and o cf vino (v. 1). But it is not dropped after a, as in akuyana (v 1), saraka (vv. 4-9) Atthungiko or 'the eightfold' is a Buddhist technical term denoting the Buddhist Path which leads to Nirrana conceived in its negative aspect as the complete cessation of suffering, a generic name for all painful experiences of mankind. The significance of the term 'Eightfold' is that the Path is expounded as consisting of eight parts or categories, the right views, the light resolve, and so forth. This path is counted as one of the Four Truths, viz., the fourth, concerning the way. Setho = Pali settho, Sk. Steythah. For the simplification of the from Pali tha, ef. athagio above. Sacana = Pali saccanam, Sk. salyānām, genitive pluial For c see unica (v 27). Cauri corresponds to the Pali reading cature. It strictly equates with Pali cattair, Sk. catrāri. M. Senart rightly points out that cattarr or cutvāri is in the Buddhist dialects readily used for the masculme. He further suggests that though cauri appears to be the direct reflex of catrani, it may better be connected with caturo, the change of o into i being only Indeed cauri is the result of a mixing up of the two forms-masculine and neuter-cuturo and catturi, cutuāri. See M. Senart's Notes (p. 12). Pada corresponds to the Pāli reading padā The corresponding form in Sanskrit would be padani (neuter pl.). But the question is whether cature paila cannot be regarded as the Pali counterpart of the Sanskrit 'entrarah padah,' 'four portions or divisions,' taking  $p\bar{u}d\bar{u}h$  in the sense of  $m\bar{u}tr\bar{u}h$ . For the conception of the 'four padas' cf. the Mancükya Upanishad: So'yamatma catuspāt: prathamah pādah, dvitīyah pādah, etc. The masculine form of the Pali will be well accounted for, if we trace it to the Upanishadic conception of 'four divisions.' The Prakrit puda, considered without the neuter numeral cauri, leaves us in the dark as to the gender it denotes, for it may stand alike for  $p\bar{u}du$  and  $pud\bar{u}ni$ . In the case of caure we have I resul posed with M Senart the intervent on

of an intermediate form cature. A similar confusion of two forms -masculine and neuter, pidā, pl. (Pāli), pādāh (Sk), and padani (Päh and Sk.) -can be said to hold good in the case of the Prakrit pada, which appears to have retained a masculine form in an undetectable way. It seems that the history of pada was forgotten in Pali and the word came to be confounded with pada. A glaring instance of such confusion is furnished by the Buddhist expression cattar. dh mmapadani (in the sense of dhammapada), explained also as the four dirisions of the Norm, that is to say, explained in the light of the old Upanishadic classification of 'four padas,' though in the garb of pada. As a matter of fact, there is no difference in sense between pada (mase) and pada (neut.), though there is much in respect of grammai. Succanain calure pada is another way of saying cattain ariyasaccani, the familiar expression for the Four Noble Truths. Viraku = Pāli rīrāgo, Sk. rīrāgah, 'dispassionateness.' For the change of the sonant g into the conresponding surd k, generally after a, cf. Budhakata for Buddhagatā (v. 1.), and for the final v for o, of, magn (v. 1). Pranabhutana would equate with Pali pāņabhātānaih, an instance of samāsa. The meaning is—' of living beings.' The Pali reading is dipulanum ('of the bipeds '), a narrower comparison which is implied also in the Udanavarga. The form panabhāta equated with pranabhuta actually occurs in Pali. Cf. the expression: " ye keer pānabhūt' atthi" (Suttanipāta, v. 116). The Prakut pranabhutura and the Pāli pā nabhūtānam seem to have been the result of a confusion of Sanskrit bhyta with bhūta. Having regard to the fact that the older Upanishadic expression pranabhyt denotes a living being (cf. pranabhytsu, Ait. Alalyaka II 3. 2. 2), this theory of confusion would seem sufficient to explain the Pali or the Prakrit form as corresponding literally to Sanskrit pranishatanam or pranubhrlanam, the former of which, however, would not be free from objection on one ground or another The Sanskrit genitive plural of pranablet is pranabletum. The form pranabhytanam will be justified if it corroborated by the actual use of a stem pranabhrta m Sanskrit literature. The proper Pali form would have been pāņabhatānam and the corresponding Prakrit pranabhatana Cf. Pali bhoto equating with Sk. bhyla in the Nikaya expression "thato nesam tharissami" (Digha III. p. 189) Cakhuma keeps closer to Pali cakkhumā than cachuma of the preceding verse. Indeed this reading is a typical il ustration beari orlyjothess of the dervation of

many Prakrit words of this text through some intermediate Pali forms.

Ga 30—M. Senart takes ga to stand for gadha (gāthā), thereby interpreting it to imply that the group or chapter contains 30 stanzas. But unfortunately he has not made use of this numbering to systematise the verses according to chapters as has been attempted in the present edition. This has been the cause of a very serious drawback in his otherwise valuable work, and we shall see more of it when we deal with the next group of verses.

## [2. Apramadavaga]

The group of verses under this head, all deal with 'apra-

mada', and this is the justification of the title we have chosen for it. The group consists of 25 stanzas, as may be judged from the number mentioned in the colophon "ga 25" (I A1, 8) In the Pali recension the 'Appamāda' group has 12 verses, and forms the second chapter of the book. The Udanavarga verses grouped under 'Apramada' (wrongly rendered "Purity" by Rockhill) number 35 and form the 4th chapter. three recensions of the Dhammapada have many 'Appamada' verses in common. The title in the Chinese Fu-Kheu-pi-u (see sec. x., Beal's translation) is the same as in Pali, but the chapter has hardly any verse in common with the latter. The Pali 'Appamada' verses can not be traced in any other canonical text, but there are some in the Prakrit text and the Udanavarga which It will be noticed how M. Senart has laboured can be traced under a disadvantage in trying to number serially whole verses on a leaf, regardless of their central theme and of the number indicated in the colophon marking the close of a chapter.

 utithe¹ na pramajea dhamu sucarita cari dhamacari suhu seati asmi loki parasa yi Ο (Λ³, β)

Cf. Dhammap., 168† (Lokavagga, v. 2):-

Uttitthe nappamajjeyya dhammam sucaritam care Dhammacārī sukham seti asmim loke paramhi ca.

The reading in M. Senart's edition is ututha, but he says that the omission of the final c may be due to the neg gen e of the scribe and not to any dialect o harity

Notes.—This verse which marks the beginning, according to our arrangement, of the second chapter of the Prakrit text seems rightly included in the 'Apramada' group; the occurrence of it in the 'Loka' group of the Pali text is hardly justifiable, as its relevancy to the general reflections on the world is very slight. The Pali arrangement of verses, as noticed before, is generally loose, the compiler having in many cases made an injudicious selection of verses for a group, for he seems to have been careless of the distinctive tone of a paricular verse justifying its inclusion in a particular group. For instance, in the present verse, though a greater stress is laid on Appamada than on Loka, the verse seems to have been included in the 'Loka' group simply because it happens to contain a word about Loka. The compiler might as well have put it in the 'Appamada' group, but so far as the device is mechanical, he is consistent. On the other hand, though the Prakrit text has quite consistently grouped together all the verses of which the main theme is apravada, it has a serious drawback in that it does not put in the beginning, as has been done in the Pali text and the Udanavarga, the most important verse c.g., "apramadu amatapada" etc. (v. 6 infra), which brings out the essence of the teaching of the 'Apramada' discourse as a whole.

Utithe=Pāli uttithe, Sk. uttisthet (Optative), 'one should rise up.' The word reminds one of the exhortation in the Pāli Lithāmantta:--'l thahatha misīdatha ko attho supitena vo' (Suttanipāta, Bk. H. No. 101).

Na pramajea = Pāli nappamajjeyya, 'one should not relax,' an optative form keeping close to the Pāli. Pramajea, as opposed to utithe, implies primarily an idea of sleep or dozing or a morbid state of hody and mind as experienced by a person dead drunk, and secondarily, as here, that of a state of inactivity, indolence, thoughtlessness, moral cowardice or a want of will, energy and religious enthusiasm to strive for the best within human reach.

<sup>1</sup> Of (i) Theray , v. 411: -

<sup>&</sup>quot;Uţhahi nısıda Katıyana nat niddābahulo ahu jāgarassu, mat tam alusam pamattabandbu kūţen' eva junātu maccurājā."

<sup>(</sup>ii) Kathopanishad, I. 3.14:—
"Uttisthata jägrata präpyn varän nibedhata jä
Kyurasyn dhärä nikitä duratyaya durgam pathastat kavayo
vadanti ||"

<sup>(</sup>ii') Ta'ttır'ya Āranyaka I 2" 2 "Uttırhata mā svaj ta agu n — echadhvam B āratāḥ

Dhamu sucarita cari, which corresponds to the Pali

dhammain sucarrianic care, reminds us at once of the Taitti-11ya command 'dharmam cara' (i.e., 'fulfil the law'-moral but not discordant with the cosmic) This expression, when coupled with na pramajea, reminds us of another Taittiriya command 'dhurman na pramaditavyam', re, 'one should not swerve from the principle' (see Taittiriya Up, I. 1). In sucarita cari we have another instance of a Buddhist expression where a past participle sucarita is used as a cognate adverb (cf. supraudhu praujhati, Magava, v 4 ff.). For dhamacari compare a variant dhamayari in I.  $e^{rc}$ , 30—another evidence of the interchange of cand y. As to seati M. Senart thinks that it rests "not on the usual form seti, but on the form sayati, aya being written e". But it would have been, perhaps, more correct to say that it is a compromise between setz and sayate, evincing a tendency to incline to the latter form. That the normal use is seti is clear from I. cro, 30. The word seatt is significant as showing how the Buddhist verse dwells upon the idea of sleeping; here it means the enjoyment of a blissful rest, i.e., Nirvāna.

Asmi Pāli asmim, Sk. asmin In the Prakrit of our text em, unlike the Pāli, is nowhere changed into mhi. Parasa= Pāli parassa, a form with a genitive termination but used in a locative sense (cf. sugarandasa for samkārakātasmin, I  $c^{ro}$ , 3). Yi = Pāli ca, the usual form being ya (see

Magava. vv 4 f.). The s of gi is, perhaps, due to a desire to maintain a rhythm with the s of the previous

uthanena apramadena sañamena damena ca divu karoti medhavi ya jara nabhimardati O

 $(A^3, 7)$ 

Cf. Dhammap., v. 25 † (Appamādav. v. 5):-

Uțthănena appamădena sannamena damena ca Dîpam kayirâtha medhāvî yam ogho nâbhikīrati.

Cf. Udanav, ch. iv. ("Purity"), v. 5:-

The wise ma throug' exmestness virtue and purty makes homself an aland which no flood can submerge

word asmi.

Notes.—The Prakrit verse exactly corresponds to the Pali, so far as its first line is concerned. In the second line we have, in karoti, a present singular form in place of the Pali optative plural kayiratha, and in medhari a nom. sing, form in place of the Pai plural medharz, Udanavarga reading, so far as it may be judged from Rockhill's translation, is similar to the Prakrit. The verseend with the reading jara nabhimardati, of which the Pāli would be jarā nabhin addati, 'decay does not crush', is peculiar to our text, while the Dhammapada and the Udanavarga agree in having a different reading. it is, the Pali expression agho nabhikirrti appears more appropriate than the Prakrit jura authimardati in a simile where the imagery of an island is present. But virtually there is no difference, for it is really a jarā-ngha, 'the flood of decay', that is meant here, as is evident from the testimony of Therag., v. 412:-

"Sayathàpi muhâsamuddavego evañ jàtijarâtivattate tañ, So karohi sudîpam attano tvañ, na hi tâṇañ tava vijjateva aññañ."

The sense of ogha in the Pali reading is too indefinite, the Prakrit makes it explicit by jara, and abhimardati is as aptly used with jara as abhikirati is with ogho. M. Senart's suggestions (I. v., 7) seem rather laboured and far-fetched.

3 uthanamato smatimato suyikamasa nisamacarino sañatasa hi dhamajivino apramatasa yasidha

vadhati O

 $(A^3, 8)$ 

Cf. Dhammap., v. 24 † (Appamādav., v. 4):-

Utthānavato satimato sucikammassa nisammakārino Saññatassa en dhammajīvino appamattassa yaso 'bhivaddhati-

('f. Udanav., ch. iv. (" Purity"), v. 6:--

"Tis the earnest that become far-famed by their diligence, reflection the purity of their lives their judgment their perfect observance of the c mmandments) by their whole life which is according to the law

in form to the Pāli except for hi in place of ca after vanutusa and yasidha vadhati in place of yaso'bhi. There is nothing more to notice than the change of c into y in suyikamasa, the change of k into c in nisamacarino, and a vowel sandhi in yasidha (yusa+idha). The expression nisamacarino might also be equated with Pāli nisammacārino which is a synonym, in a narrower sense, of dhammacārino

es —This verse is in tone the same as vv. 1-2, and corresponds

uthanaalasa¹ anuṭhahato yoi bali alasieuvito sansanasagapamanosmatima² prañai maga alasu

na vinati O

(A, 39)

.Cf. Dhammap., v. 280 † (Maggav., v. 8):-

Utthänakālamhi anutthahāno yuvā balī ālasiyam upeto Samsannasankappamano kusīto panītāya maggam alaso na vindata.

Cf. Udanav., ch. xxxi. ("The Mind"), v. 32:-

'He who remains seated when it is time to rise, who, though strong and young, sits slothfully at home, who is always careless in his thoughts, will not find the road to wisdom."

es.—This verse which sets forth the evil effect of indolence and thoughtlessness, exactly corresponds in form as well as in tone to the Pāli except for the word smatima in place of kusīto, 'indolent.' M. Senart rightly points out that the expression smatima is inexplicable, unless it be supposed that it is asmatima, the initial vowel being elided through a sandhi with the previous word. In this verse, as in many others, one cannot fail to notice the Buddhist method of defining a term by juxtaposing a host of synonyms differing from each other in slight shades of meaning, the total effect being the intensification of the main idea. Uthanaalasa anuthahato = Pāli uthānakūlamhi anuthahāno, "he who remains seated when it is time to rise" (Udānavarga), a

The Ms. reads uthans alasa The alteration has been made above ling to M. Senart's suggestion.

Smatima is joined to the preceding word because of sandhi.

negative expression followed by a positive synonym in the second foot. We fully agree with M. Senart that in uthandulasa we have another form with a genitive termination for the locative (cf. parasa in v. l.). Yoi stands, according to M. Senart, for yo annin. He thinks that "this reading is assuredly preferable to ward." But in both the Dhanimapada and the Udanavarga we have nura, and we need not necessarily suppose that you = you again, since you may alike be equated with  $\mu\nu r\bar{\nu}$ , r being a change from  $r\bar{a}$ , perhaps through an intermediate war. Cansanasagapamano = Pali sainsannavankappamana, having an irresolute mind, 'in a state of indecision.' In a few instances the nasal in is retained before s as in ahitisai (=ahitis7ya). Magavaga. v. 8, and bhensiti (=bhetsyati. Jaiavaga, v. 5. As for the change of ak into y (sagapa = sankappa), see notes on alhagia in Magayaga., v. 30, and saghara, op. cit., v. 27.

5 na tavata dhamadharo yavata baho bhasati yo tu apa bi sutvana dhamu kacna phasai O sa ho dhamadharo bhoti yo dhamu na pramajati O (A<sup>3</sup>, 10, 11)

Cf. Dhammap., v. 259 + (Phammatthav., v. 1):-

Na tāvatā dhammadharo yāvatā bahu bhāsati Yoʻca appam pi satvāna dhammani kāvena passati Save dhammadharo hoti yoʻdhammani na-ppamajjati.

Notes.—The expressions of the Prakrit verse are similar to those of its Pali counterpart except for tu in place of ca and ho(=kho) in place of rc. The verse undervalues 'much learning' without any original vision or perception of truth. In the Pali Dhanmapada we have two other verses, vv. 18-19, where this Buddhist view of learning is inculcated more emphatically and with a direct reference to the established method of Vedic study: cf. " Bahumpi ce sahitarie bhāsamāne" etc. A man who can recite many hymns (sambila) but does not act according to the injunctions laid down therein, is compared to a cowherd who counts the cattle of others without being able to claim them as his own. In course of time when Buddha's doctrine 'and discipline were rehear ed and put together, or when the Buddhist texts were compiled, the old evil-crept into the B ddhist system The ack funnight and originalty of conception have been the bane of all Sanskritic studies. The Buddhist judgments on two contrasted types are well worth consideration: (1) a Dhammadhara without an original visiou, and (2) a Kāyasakkhī who has a direct perception of truth. Dhamadharo = Pāli dhammadharo, a custodian of the Buddhist faith. In a passage of the Mahāparinibbānasuttanta a Dhammadhara, i.e., a custodian or rehearser of the Sutta Pitaka in later nomenclature, has been distinguished from a Frnayadhara or a mātikadhara, the terms being replaced in later phraseology by Finaya Pitaka and Abhidhamma Pitaka. Dhamu kaena phasai would strictly equate with a Pali dhammam kayena phussati, 'touches the law with his mind' Nevertheless the Prakrit reading phașai is as good as the Pali passati, 'sees.' Here we have a description of a kāyusakkhī (see Auguttara, I. p. 118, Majjhima, I. p. 478).

6 apramada amatapada pramadu mucuno pada apramata na miyati ye pramata yadha mutu O¹

 $(A^8, 12)$ 

Cf. Dhammap., v. 21† (Appamādav., v. 1) = Fausboll's Jātaka, v. p. 99 = Dīpavamsa p. 46:—

Appamādo amatapadam pamādo maccuno padam Appamattā na mīyanti ye pamattā yathā matā.

Cf. Udanav., ch. Iv. (" Purity "), v. 1:-

"The pure man knows not death, he who is impure dwells with death, he who is pure will not die, he who is impure dies repeatedly."

7 eta višeṣadha ñatva apramadasa panito apramadi pramodia ariana goyari rato O

 $(A^3, 13)$ 

Cf. Phammap., v. 22 † (Appamādav., v. 2):---

Etam visesato natvā appamādamhi paudito Appamāde pamodanti ariyānam gocare ratā.

The care e skappled by us

Cf. Udanav., ch. IV. (" Purity "), v. 2:--

"The wise who knows this difference delight in modesty and purity; their pleasure is that of the elect."

Notes.—The teaching of the whole chapter is put in a nut-shell in verse if and, as a matter of fact, this verse is put at the head of the chapter in other recensions than the Prakrit. The moral inculcated reminds one of Shakespeare's famous pronouncement that 'cowards die many times before their death', while 'the valiant die but once.'

Verse 6.—Yadha mutu (singular) would strictly equate with a Pā'i yathā mato, Sk. yathā mṛtah, ' like one dead.' The Prakrit reading seems more emphatic than the Pāli.

Verse 7.—Viśesadha improves the Pāli reading risesato, meaning 'particularly' (cf. risescua of the commentary). M. Senari takes risesadha as 'formed by the suffix dhā, which may in this case very well supply the suffix tah of the Pāli'. To us, however, it seems that risesadha=Pāli risesattain, Sk. risesatrain, 'difference.' Apramadasa=Pāli appamā lamhi. Here is another form with a genitive termination for the locative singular, cf. parasa, Magav., y. 27.

8 pramada anuyujati bala drumedhino jana apramada tu medhavi dhana sethi va rachati O (A<sup>3</sup>, 14).

> Of. Dhammap., v. 26 † (Appamādav., v. 6) = Samyutta, 1. p. 25 = Therag., v. 888 :--

Pamādam anuyunjanti bālā dummedhine jamā Appamādan ez medhāvi dhanam settham va rakkhati.

Cf. Udānav., ch. iv. ("Purity"), v. 10 :-

"The (mind of the) fool who is given up to carelessness is parverted the vise nate and be much as is the lead of a care a water agel a treasure.

es.—There is nothing more in this verse to explain than the simile dhana sethi va rachati, which, according to M Senart, should be equated with a Pāli dhanam setthī va rakkhati (i.e., like a banker watching his treasures). To M. Senart the reading sethi appears to be decidedly better than the Pāli settham, which gives only "a vague and colourless epithet." While we cannot dispute his interpretation of sethi as a banker, "the head of a caravan" (Idānavarga), it seems that the expression dhanam setthan va is not at all colourless as he thinks, the idea being 'as a man keeps watch over a precious possession' e.g., over the seven jewels, as the commentary suggests, or over a 'Kohinoor,' for instance.

apramatu pramatesu sutesu bahojagaru avalasa va bhadrasu bitva yati sumedhasu O'

 $(A^3, 15)$ 

Cf. Dhammap., v. 29 † (Appamādav., v. 9):-

Appamatto pamattesu suttesu hahujāgaro Abalassan va sīghasso hitvā yāti sumedbaso.

es.—This verse closely resembles in form its Pali parallel except for the reading bhadrasu (=bhadrasso) in place of sighasso. The expression bhadrusu is less satisfactory as an antithesis of avalasa (=vbalassam) than sīghasso. The term bhadrašu denotes a well-trained horse, cf. asso bhadro kasanira, Dhammapada, v 143. simile in the second line is that of a race in which a fast horse leaves behind a weak one. The teaching of the present verse is somewhat different from the moral of the story of the Hare and the Tortoise, in Esop's Fables, which is that the slow but steady win the race. Avalas = Pāli abalassam, a vowel saudhi (avala + aša). M. Senart singles this out as the only instance where v has been substituted for b. Bhadrasu = Pāli bhadrasso, a sandhi (bhadra + asn). Sumedhasu = Pali sumedhaso, a compound meaning 'having a good brain-power.' which is a synonym of medhary in the preceding verse

10 pramada apramadena yada nudati panitu prañaprasada aruyu asoka ścino jana pravatatho va bhumatha dhiru bala avechiti O<sup>1</sup>

 $(A^3, 16)$ 

Cf. Dhanmap., v. 28 † (Appamādav., v. 8) = Vinava 1, p. 5 = Milinda, p. 357 :—

Pamādam appninādena yadā nudati paņdito Pašīšāpāsādam ārnyha asoko sokinim pajam Pabbatattho va bhummatthe dhiro bāle avokkhati.

Cf. Pdanav., ch. 1v. ("Purity"), v. 4:--

"When the wise men through earnestness have overcome headlessness, then, steadfast through wisdom, they ascend to above the abode of the gods, and, free from sorrow and pain, they look down as from the summit of a mountain at the fools on the face of the earth."

Notes.—The teaching of this is in spirit the same as that of the previous verse with this difference that the similes are changed. The first simile is that of a royal personage looking down from the balcony of a palace at the multitude, who walk along the street. Aruyu = Pāli āruyha. For the reading, see M. Senart's Notes. We might just notice that the u of yu (=hya) is due, perhaps, to the loss of the aspirate resulting partly from the interchanged positions of h and y in Pāli. Since the Prakrit form appears to be possible more from yh than from hy, it is necessary to point out that it presupposes a Pāli original. Bhumatha and bala have singular accusative endings.

11 apra[madena makabha² devana samidh(i) gat]u apramada praśajhati pramadu garahitu sada O³ (A³, 17 and A², 1 completing together the entire verse)

Of. Dhammap., v. 30 † (Appamādav., v. 10) :--

Appamādena Maghavā devānadī setthatam gato Appamādam pasamsanti pamādo garahito sadā.

¥ \$

The circle is supplied by us.
 In M Senart a edition madena makabha are ked together (see I 12 1

The circle sampplied by no

les —In M. Senart's adjustment of the plates of the Khanosthi Ms., line  $A^2$ , 1, with the reading "...madenamakabha deranasamahh(i) gat.", appears as a remnant of a verse of

which he was able to trace no parallel. The discovery of the connexion of  $A^2$ , I with  $A^3$ , 17 is a momentous event in the compilation of the present edition. We need not point out at length the errors to which the French scholar was led on account of this oversight on his part Makabha=Pāli Magharā. The aspiration of b compensates for the change of gh into k through an intermediate g Samidhi=Pāli samidhim, which may be substituted as a synonym for the Pāli setthatam, et. samidhim att no, Dhp. v. 84. Praŝajhati=Pāli pasainsanti, Sk. praŝamsanti. M. Senart assures us of the correctness of his reading. But we should have expected a reading like praŝańsati,

(hi)¹na dhama na sev(e)²a · pramadena na savası michadithi na roy(e)³a na sia lokavadhano ○⁴ (A², 2)

remembering that in our text the in is changed into n

before s.

Cf. Dhammap., v. 167 † (Lokav., v. 1):—

Hīnam dhamman na seveyya pamādena na samvase Mīcchāditthi na seveyya na siyā lokavaddhano.

tes—In this verse, which is exactly similar in expression to its Pāli counterpart except for royea (=roceyya) in place of seveyya, we have an exhortation not to have anything to do with sensuality, thoughtlessness, wrong views and popularity.

yo tu puvi pramajati pacha su na pramajati so ita loku ohaseti abha muto va suriu ○ (A², 3)

> Cf. Dhammap., v. 172 † (Lokav, v. 6) = Majjhima, II. p. 105 = Therag., v. 871 —

Yo ca pubbe pamajjitvā pacehā so na-ppamajjati So'mun lokum pabhāseti abbhā mutto va candimā.

Supp ed by us some n accordance with M Senart sauggostions,

Cf. Udánav , ch., vvr. ("Miscellancous"), v. 5 .—

"He who formerly was heedless and who afterwards has become careful, like the moon free from clouds, he brightens up the whole world."

Notes.—In this verse we can perceive a change of tone, as we have in it a message of hope for those who have so far been thoughtless, like an Angulimala, and cannot aspire to live a better life on account of their sinful disposition. The teaching of the simile in the second line is that sin is but a passing shadow of the mind which darkens man's spiritual prospects. Pramajati = Pāli pamajjati. A right reading would, according to M. Senart, have been pramajeti or pramajiti = pramajitra. As for ita = elam, see M. Senart's Notes. Suriu = Pāli sūriyo, an instance of Epenthesis (riu = ryah). It seems that in the language of our text an aspirate after y is vocalized and changed into n; cf. arnyu (v. 10). The Prakrit reading is a stronger expression than the Pāli candimā.

14 ' arahadha nikhamadha yujatha budhasasane dhunatha macuno sena nalagara ba kuñaru (A², 4)

Cf. Theragatha f. vv. 256, 1117 = Samyutta, I. pp. 156-7:-

Ārabbhatha nikkhamatha ynñjatha buddhasāsano Dhunātha maccuno sonam nalagāram va kuñjaro.

Cf. Divyavadāna, pp. 68, 138 :--

Ärabhadhvain nişkramata yujyadhvain buddhasasane Dhunita mrtyunah sainyain nadagaram ıva kuñjarah.

Cf. Udānavarga, ch. iv. ("Purity"), v. 34:

"Arise, commence a new life, turn towards the doctrine of the Buddha; trample down the hosts of the lord of death as an elephant does a house of mud."

I This verse together with verses 15 and 16 is to be considered as a blended whole. In the Theragatha, the Samyutta and the Divyavadana, the counterpart of the Frakrit verse 15 kabacut and those five it and 16 only are found grouped together while in the Dig ian kaya we 15 and 16 constitute a whole, v 14 being absent

Notes .- Now comes the awe-inspiring command or the charge. as if in a hon's roar, from a great conquerer like Buddha the Sakvan hero. The command and its tone are indeed worthy of such a master who achieved selfconquest through a valorous will not to cease to strive. whether 'the sky be rent asunder or the earth leave her fixed station' (nabhuin phalcyya, pathavin caleyya). It will be noticed that the Udanavarga verse has a different simile, and the expression is weaker than the Prakrit or its Pāli counterpart. Dhunatha = Pāli dhunātha =dhunīta (Divyavadāna), "trample down." The pression is metaphorical, implying the idea of distending cotton. Macuno sena = Pali maccuno senam = "the hosts of the lord of death" (Udanavarga). Here, death is but another epithet of the tempter Mara, whose fighting units are mentioned in the Padhanasutta (Suttanipata, No. 28), vv. 436-39.

15 apramata smatimata suśila bhotu bhichavi susamahitasagapa sacita anurachadha ○

 $(A^2, 5)$ 

Cf. Dīgha, II. p. 1201 :--

Appamattā satīmanto susīlā hotha bhikkhavo Susamāhitsankappā sacittam anurakkhatha.

Cf. Udanav., ch. 1v. ("Purity"), v. 33:-

"The Bhixu who is truly moral and who delights in earnestness brings thus all his thoughts well under control, and his mind is in safety."

Notes.—This verse sets forth the first requisites of selfconquest which are that the Bhikkhus intent on defeating the hosts of Māra must be of an undaunted spirit and wellbehaved, having a well concentrated will and a well controlled mind. Bhotu = Pāli hotu, Sk. bhavatu, a singular verb used with a plural nominative. 10 yoʻimasa! dhamavinan apramatu vihasiti prahai jatisansara dukhusata! karisa(t)i ○3 (A², 6)

> Cf. Digha, H. p. 12<sup>1</sup> = Sanyutta I, p. 157 = Therag., v. 257 :—

Yo imasmina dhammavinaye appamatto viliessati Pahāya "āt -samsārain dukkhass" untum karissati.

Cf. Divyavadāna, p. 68:-

Yo hyasmin dharmavmaye apramattas carişyati. Prahāya jātisadīsārum duhkhasyāntadī karişyati,

Cf. Udanav., ch. iv. ("Purity"), v. 35 :-

"Whoever has lived according to this law of discipline, in gentleness and purity, will, having cast off transmigration, put an end to his misery."

Notes.—Now comes the persuasion as an argument in support of the heroic command in the preceding two verses. For comments on this verse the render is referred to M. Senart's Notes. We have just to add that imasa (=Pāli tm.ts int) is another instance where a genitive termination has been used for the locative.

17 ta yu vadami bhadrañu yavatetha samakata apramadarata bhodha sadhami supravediti O
(A<sup>2</sup>, 7)

Notes.—The Pāli counterpart of this verse cannot be traced. '
It is the result of a new combination of three set expressions, which are:—

- (1) Tain vo vadāmi bhaddanī vo yāvaul'elthu samāgalā (Dhp. v. 337; Jat. III, p. 387, l. 21)—for the let line:
- (2) appamadarata hotha (Dhp. v. \$27)—for the 3rd foot;
- (3) saddh mme supparedite (Itivuttaka, p. 78)—for the 4th foot.

In M. Senart's rending so is connected with dhame
 The correct reading according to M. Senart, would be duthasatu.
 The circ e is sup on hy us

For the explanation of the Prakrit forms, the reader is referred to M Senart's Notes.

- pramada parivajetva¹ apramadarata sada 18bhavetha kusala dhama yokachemasa prataa.
  - Notes The Pali counterpart of this verse cannot be traced. The Suttanipata verse 425 contains the expression yegakkhemassa pattiyā which corresponds to the Prakrit verseend. As a matter of fact, a Jataka verse (Fausboll, I. pp. 275, 278) supplies us with an almost exact Pah parallel to the and line by the reading—Bhareti kusulain dhammain yogakkhemassa pattiyā. Prataa = Pāli pattiyā. The Prakrit form rests on a Sauskrit rather than a Pali word.
- 19 . . . prata suhino<sup>2</sup> apramadaviha . .

 $(A^1, 1)$ 

Cf. Udānav., eh. vi. ("Morality"), v. 20:-

"This is the road that leads to happiness, he who has entered on this road of perfect purity will by keeping to it east off the bonds of Mara"

Notes. —The Pali parallel of the above verse cannot be traced. Rockhill's translation of the Tibetan version of the Udānavarga verse, cited above, is also so tentative that it is difficult to establish a complete identification. There is, moreover, no certainty that the second line of the Prakrit is identical with that of the Udanavarga verse. It is unmistakably true that the verse under notice is modelled a gatha of which the counterpart is supplied by the Dhammapada, v. 57 and the Udanavarga, v. 19, ch. vr, which are quoted below:-

> Tesum sampannusilänuin appamadarthirenain Sammaduññavimutranam Maro maggam na vindati

"M. Schalt lends prata and submo as one word thetter obseparate "em" he gh of o restors on Rok il srendering of r nada We, however, think

<sup>1</sup> The Ms. reads parivajets the alteration has been made according to M. Senart's suggestion.

"They therefore who leave in thoughtfulness, who are perfectly purified by their moral conduct and who are emancipated by the perfectness of their knowledge, will not meet with the read of Mara."

The first foot might be completed, in the light of the expression to hhemapattā sukhtno (cf the Pāli verse in Majjhima, UI. p. 187), as to chenapata suhino, and the second, in the light of the Dhammapada verse cited above, as apromadariharmo. As regards the third and fourth feet, we are at a loss to decide whether these are similar to those of the Dhammapada verse 57, or of the Udānavarga verse 20, or of the Majjhima verse or of a different one. In this state of uncertainty we can at the most bazard this fourfold restoration:—

- (1) in the light of the Dhammapada verse 57:—
  samadañarimutana maro magu na vinati
- (2) in the light of the Udānavarga verse 20 (ch. vi):— apramata viharata jahati m rabanana O
- (3) in the light of the Majjhina verse
- ("Sabhayerabhayatti a sabbadukkhaib upacengum"):-sararirabhayattu xaraduba ura ni O
- (4) in the light of the Apramada v. 25 (i.e., Senart's A<sup>1</sup>, 7):—

apramata viharata pranoti parama sukhu 🔿

Adopting the last alternative, the verse might be restored thus:—

(te chema) prata suhino apramadaviha (rino) apramata viharata pranoti paramu sukhu O

Chemaprata suhino = Pāli khemaputtā sukhino, 'those who are happy after having attained to the state of security, i.e., Nirvāņa'. Chema is a shorter form of yokachema = Pāli yogakkhema, a synonym of Nibbāna. This is the same in meaning as paramu sulhu.

20 apramadi pramodia ma gami ratisabhamu apramato hi jhayatu višesa adhikachati 🔾

**4** 4

21 apramadi pramodia ma gami ratisabhamu apramato hi jhayatu chaya dukhasa pramuni O

Cf. Dhammap., v. 27 † (Appamādav., v. 7) = Majjhima, II. p. 105 = Samyutta I. p. 25 = Therag., v. 884:—

Mā pamādam anuyuñjetha mā kāmaratīsanthavam Appamatto hi jhāyanto pappoti vipulam¹ sukham.

Cf. Udānav., ch. 1v. (" Purity "), v. 8 :-

"Have nothing to do with false doctrines, have nothing to do with the heedless; he who delights not in false theories shall not continue (in) the world".

Cf. Ibid, ch. w., v. 11 .—

"He who is not given up to carelessness, who finds no delight in pleasures, whose mind is always attentive, will put an end to sorrow"

Notes.—The exact counterpart of the Prakrit verses connot be traced in the Pāli canon, and the parallel cited above is an extract from the verses ascribed in the Majjhima Nıkāya and the Theragāthā to Angulimāla Thera. The Pāli verse occurs also in Samyutta I. We cannot but think that the Prakrit verses were manipulated later on one single model which is no other than the Pāli verse. The variations are not inexplicable. The verses are in essence the same, and there are numerous instances where new verses are manipulated by adjustment of certain set expressions. The parallel cited from the Udānavarga is similar to v. 21.

Verse 20.—Apramadi pramodia = Pāli appamāde pamodeyya, 'one should delight in earnestness', which is a positive expression for the Pāli  $m\bar{n}$  pamādam anuyunjetha, 'have nothing to do with thoughtless indolence'. For the change of eyya into ia, see M. Senart's Notes. Ma gami ratisabhamu is a better expression, according to M. Senart, than the Pāli

mā kāmaratisautharani, 'ene should not delight in associating with sensual joys". He takes game as an agrist singular form of v'gam (to go), and sabhamu = 5k. samblramam. the whole expression implying "do not proceed to care for sensesdelight" We fail to find any "gross" mistake in the Pali expression, as opined by M. Senart, except that it is somewhat elliptical, or how while amone could give a better meaning than similarain or "companionship". Who knows that the reading sabhama for the Pah santharain is not a gross mistake of the scribe, or that name is not a phonetic change from kina, the final i being accounted for as due to a desire to maintain rhythm with rati following. We quite appreciate that ma gami rati, in the sense in which M. Senart takes it, improves the Pali reading. But it is rather desirable to leave open the question mise! by him as to the chronology of the two expressions. Višesa adhikachati = Pali risesam adhigacchati, (see Fausböll's Jātaka, I. p. 135). This is a molle of expression which is substituted for pappole rigularit (or, paramam) sukham. I isosa means an extraordinary achievement which is Nibbana.

Verse 21. Chaya dukhasa pramuni = Pāli khayam dukkhassa pāpuņ (Itivuttaka, pp. 30, 87), Sk. kṣayam dukhasya prāpuņāt - Chapa dukhasa, 'the destruction of suffering,' is a negative expression for parama sukha.

22 (apramada)¹rata bhodha khano yu ma uvacai khanatita (h)i soyati niraeşu samapi(ta)² ○°

Notes.—Here is just another instance of a new verse constructed out of some stereotyped Pali materials with a different setting. The materials are:-

- (1) appamādaratā hotha (1)hp. v. 327)--for the first foot of the Prakrit. Cf. v. 17 above.
- (2) khapo ve mā npaevayā | Khapatitā hi sociali nirayamhi samappitā | (Dhp. v. 315; Therag. v. 403) --for the re t of the verse.

This expression constitutes the refrain of two distinct verses (cf. Suttanipāta v. 333 and Theragāthā, v. 1005). For uvacai = Pāli upaccagā, we have nothing more to add to M. Senart's notes than that the process of the change of ga into i is through ja changed into ya, i.e., ga=ja=ya=i.

apramadarata bhodha sadhami supravedite drugha udhvaradha atmana pagasana va

kuñ(aru)¹ 0² (A¹, 5)

Cf. Dhammap., v. 327 † (Nāgav. v. 8) :—

Appamādaratā hotha, sacittam anurakkhatha Duggā uddharath' attānam panke sanno³ va kuñjaro.

Cf. Udānav., ch. Iv. ("Purity"), v. 26:-

"The Bhixu who delights in purity and who looks with dread on impurity will pull himself from out the evil way as the elephant pulls himself from out of the mire."

es —The form and the spirit of the above verse are analogous to those of v. 22. In this case the new Pali materials are:—

(1) saddhamme suppavedite,—for the second foot,—"in the good norm well explained," "under the well propounded system," which is the same in meaning

as dhammupade sudesite (see reference under Pusav., v. 12); but compare Itivuttaka, p. 78, where we have the expression suddhamme suppavedatha; (2) duggā uddharath attānam panke sanno va kunjaro,

—for the second line,—"pull yourselves from out the evil away as an elephant pulls himself from out of the mire"; the simile forms a refrain of the Udanavarga verse, cited above, which is a later parallel of the Dhammapada verse 39.

For the forms drugha udhvaradha, we have nothing more to add to M. Senart's notes than that the aspiration of the sonant in drugha is due perhaps to the loss of  $\bar{a}t$  in Sk.  $durg\bar{a}t$ . The word seems to have reference to 'mud-forts'.

\* Supplied by us.

V t satio

is changed into m (cf. namu = Pāli nāvam, Bhikhuv, v. 25). This would give us ameth or amiti for anveti. If we accept this reading we cannot but have to dispute M. Senart's amoti. Siha ba muyamatia would correspond to Pāli  $sih\bar{a}$  (or  $s\bar{\imath}ha\bar{m}$ ) va  $migam\bar{a}tuk\bar{a}$ . M. Senart imagines that the simile refers to a story where the lion killed himself by his negligence in fancying to have to deal with a gazelle instead of some formidable enemy. The Jātaka story is that the trouble of the lion was due to his going to be intimate with the she-deer in disregard of any danger Muya = Sk. mrga = Pāli, muga, maga, mrga; and matia = Sk.  $m\bar{a}trk\bar{a}ya$  (abl.), Pāli  $m\bar{a}tuk\bar{a}(ya)$ .

nai pramadasamayu aprati asavachayi apramato hi jhayatu¹ pranoti paramu sukhu ○ (A¹, 7)

....ga 25 (A<sup>1</sup>, 8)

es.—This verse of which no exact counterpart can be traced in the Pitakas also results from a combination of three set expressions transformed into Prakrit, so that the first foot nai pramadasamayu=Pāli nāyam pamādasamayo, the second is the same as in verse 25, except for chayi in place of chave ; the second line = Pali appamatto hi jhayanto pappoti paramam sukham (see Majjh. II. p. 105, Therag. v. 884; Dhp., v. 27). Reading through the Prakrit verses 20-27, one is apt to feel as though one original verse as represented by Dhp. 27 were expanded to enclose within its two lines many verses constructed out of set expressions, and this may account for the absence of their parallels in the existing Pali canon. The exhortation of all these verses is in tone the same as that of verses 14 and 15, with this difference that while Nos. 14 and 15 purport to be commands, verses 20-27, no less than Nos. 16-19, bring out the reasons in support thereof. Pranoti=Pali pappoti, Sk. prapnoti. It is to be specially noted that the Prakrit form is an immediate change from Sanskrit.

The chapter contains 25 stanzas, hence ga 25.

	( 141 )
1	[d]ur.(?)ga. e
	(Frag. A 1)
	Cf. Dhammap., 37 † (Cittavagga, v. 5):—
	Dūrangamam ekacaram asarīram guhāsayam Ye cittam sannamessanti mokkhanti Mārabandhanā.
2	vario va thale chi
	(Frag. A I)
	Cf. Dhammap. 34 † (Cittav., v. 2);—
	Vārijo va thale khitto okamokato ubbhato Pariphandat' idam cittam Māradheyyam pahātave.
	Cf. Udānav., ch. xxxı. ("The Mind"), v. 2:-
	"To escape from the abode of Māra one is filled with trembling, like a fish taken from its watery abode, and thrown on dry land".
3	anuvațhitacitasa
•	(Frag. A I)
	Cf. Dhammap. 38 † (Cittav, v. 6):-

4 anuvașutacita... .. ... ... ... ...

Anavatthitacittassa saddhammam avijānato Pariplavapasādassa paññā na paripūrati. Cf. Dhammap., 39 † (Cittav., v. 7) :-

Anavassutacittassa ananvähatacetaso Punnapapahinassa n'atthi jägarato bhayani.

la cita druracha drunivarana

u

Б

(Frag. A vin)

Of. Dhammap., 33 † (Cittav., 1):-

Phandanani capalani cittani dürakkhani dunnivärayani Ujum karoti medhāvī usukāro va tejanani.

Cf. Udānav., xxxi. ("The Mind"), v. 8:-

"...... the mind being uncertain, changeable, flighty, and hard to control, he must straighten it by application as the fletcher straightens (his arrows) with fire".

Notes.—The difficulty as to the interpretation of the above verses arises chiefly from their incompleteness. One has to depend a good deal on conjecture in reconstructing the Prakrit verses from a few expressions or catchwords which now survive. The task would no doubt have been simple enough if it could be supposed that differences between the Prakrit and Pāli verses were mere phonetic. But these differences, real or imagined, cannot be shelved as such and are, therefore, not immaterial, since instances are not rare where the verses in the two texts agree on the whole, and yet there appear in them a few words, phrases or clauses, which greatly modify their sense. In the present case, however, no other assumption would avail us than that of complete identity or correspondence. Accordingly, the Citta-verses might be reconstructed and read as follows:—

l dur(a)ga(ma) e(kacara 1 asarira 2 guhasaya 8 ye 4 cita sanamesati 5 mochati 6 marabanana 7 🔾)

Off. eka in Cro, 6, 9, 10; cara in B, 36.

This word is nowhere to be found in the Kharosthi Ms. Cf. ye in Cvo, 7, 33.
Also safianizate; cf. safiamu in B, 9; Cf. rachati for the Pali rallhate in A', 16.

Off. basana in Frag C XXIIIVO, also

off. drifts bandhana in Cvo 81 89; and suite ba in B 58.

- vario va thale chi(tu¹ okamokato² ubhato³ pariphanatida de cita mucudhea pahatae ()
- anuvathitacitasa (sadharma<sup>7</sup> avijanatu<sup>8</sup> paripalaaprasadasa9 praña10 na paripurati11 ()
- anuvasutacita(sa anavahatacetaso 12 puñapavapahinasa 18 nathi 14 jagarato 15 bhayo 16 ()
- (phanana<sup>17</sup> capa<sup>18</sup>)la cita druracha drunivarana u(ju karoti<sup>19</sup> medhavi<sup>20</sup> usukaro<sup>21</sup> va teyana<sup>22</sup> O)

Verse 1.—Duragama = Pāli and Sk. dūrangamam, an instance of compound, meaning 'far-going', 'that which travels far'. The question whether the correct Prakrit reading would be duragama or durugama must be left open. The same holds true of the reading of the final syllable ma or mu. For mu cf. ratisabhamu in A1, 2. In support of the vowel a before g in duragama we might cite here a parallel instance, namely athagio (Magav. v. 30) which equates with Pāli atthungiko. In this latter Prakrit word the vowel a appears unchanged, in M. Senart's reading, before g. The Buddhist commentator's explanation of the word durangama is :—" cittassa hi makkatasuttamattakampi puratthimádi-disübhāgena gamanam nāma n'atthi; dūre santampi pana ūrammanam sampatiechatīti durangamam nāma jātam." That is, "even during 'monkey-sleep'23 (dreaming state), mind has no exit in the east and other directions<sup>24</sup>; even remaining at a distance, it entertains the object, hence arises the predicate far-going". This

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Also chito. <sup>2</sup> Cf. maranato, Cvo, 7; also okamokadha. <sup>3</sup> Cf. anudhato for the Pāli anuddhato in B, 11. <sup>4</sup> Cf. naunati for the Pāli nibbindati in A<sup>3</sup>, 1-3 <sup>5</sup> Also Maradhea. <sup>5</sup> No such infinitive form is met with in the Kharosthi Ms. <sup>7</sup> Cf. sadharma in B, 22, 23. <sup>6</sup> Cf. vivasatu for Pāli vipassate in B, 12.

of keleša for Pāli kilesa and Sk. kleša in B, 46; of. prasadasa in Cro, 11-13

10 Cf. praňaya, praňae, praňai in A³, 1, 2, 9.

11 The verbal form occurs nowhere in the Kharosthi Ms.

12 Cf. aveti for the Pāli anveti in Cro, 11-16; of. sumedhasu in A³, 15.

13 Cf. puñe, pave in B, 3; of. (hi)na in A², 2.

14 Cf nathi in B, 16, 38.

15 See f. n. 23.

10 Cf. maranato bhayo in Cvo, 7.

17 For phanana of, paruphanati (fin Il above).

18 Also cavala; of, cavadhi for the Pāli cāpato in Cro, 31.

20 Cf. karisati in A², 6; kuruti for the Pāli kuruti in Gro, 24.

20 Cf. medhavi in A³, 14.

21 Cf. anakara in Frag. C. xxxiiivo.

22 Cf. kuyana for kujana in A², 1.

23 Cf. the Milinda expression kapi-niddā (Milinda-vañho. v. 299). The 9 Also pamplava, for Pali vipassate in B, 12.

<sup>23</sup> Cf. the Miliuda expression kapi-niddā (Milinda-pañho, p. 299). The Milinda theory of dream has been fully discussed by Mr. Shwe Zan Aung in his Introductory Essay to the Compendium of Buddhist Philosophy,

P. T. S. pp. 48 f. 24 Of Brihad Āranyaka Up., IV. 3. 10, where Yājñavalkya says — "Na tatra ratvā na rathayogā na panthāno bhavantı. Atha rathān rathayogās pathah sajate"

Pratardana's theory of the unity of mental life. But the predicate ekacura seems to have been based rather upon Yājñavalkya's expressions, all applied to soul, eg,

"asarigo nu hi sajjate" (Brihad Ār. Up. 1V. 2. 4), "ekahumsah" (ibid, IV. 3. 11-12), "srapne ratiā caritvā" (ibid, IV. 3. 15-16). Asarira=Pāli asarīram,

a compound, meaning 'that which is without a body', 'incorporeal'. Mind has no bodily form nor has

any such colour-distinction as yellow and the like?. Such is the commentator's interpretation. The predicate reminds us at once of Yajñavalkva's sxpressions

"asīryo na hī sīryate" (Brihad Ār. "Up., IV. 2. 4)3 Guhaśaya = Pāli guhāsayam, Sk guhāsayam, a compound,

meaning 'that which lies in a cave', 'a cave-dweller'. The Dhammapada-Commentary says, "the cave is that which is built up of the four great elements. It is depending on the heart that the mind comes into play, hence its predicate 'a cave-dweller' ".4 The Buddhist

predicate of citta reminds us at once of the Upanishadic notions of the soul, e.g. "nihito guhāyām" (Katha, II. 20), 'that which dwells in the cavity of the heart's; cf Mundaka, III. 1. 5. Cita = Pāli and Sk. cittain, mind. It is clear from the foregoing discussion of the historical

significance of the expressions duragama and the rest, how close the resemblance is between the Buddhist conception of mind and the Upanishadic conception of soul:-(1) citta is durangama in the sense that it can think of an object from a great distance; and soul remaining stationary can travel far ('āsīno dāre rrajati', Katha, II. 20); (2) cilta 15 ekacara in the sense that all mental operations take place one at a time, as a unit with regard to time; and soul as a lonely bird roams about alone during dream ("ekahumanh ... supne ... caritra ... bharatyasango", Bribad Ar, IV. 3. 12-16); and all the senses being unified perform their functions, the operations taking place one at a time ("ekabhayan var prant bhatra ekaikan sarranyeraitan

Kauşitaki Up., 111 2 · "ekabhüyan va prāgā bhūtvā ekaikam sarvāņtam prajūšipayanti". ' Cutasa sauīrasaņthānam vā nīlādīppakāro vaņņabhedo vā n' atthiti

prajhūpayanti" Kausītaki, III. 2); (3) citta is asarīra

ram nāma jātam ''. This is a very common idea in the Upanishads.

"Guhā nāma catumahābhūtaguhā ndam ca hadayatāpam nissāya tit guhāsayan "a" a jū sai " Cf. Bribad Ar U IV 3 7 V 6 C an ogys VIII 3 8 Tathriya Verse 4.—Anuvasutacitasa = Pāh anarassutacitassa, in instance of compound, meaning of one of undissipated thought. We prefer anarasutacitasa, as the reading anarasuta cannot be defended on the same ground as anaratuta, and it is not unlikely that the scribe wrote anarasuta on false analogy with anaratuta. Anavahatacetaso = Pāli anaratutatacetaso, an instance of compound, meaning of one with unperplexed mind. For a standing for Pāli an, cf. areti equating with Pāh anreti (I. cro, 11-16). Punapava = Pāli paūūapāpa, Sk. paņya-pāpa, (of one who is devoid of) ment and demerit. This expression strikes the keynote of Indian religions of which the good lies beyond both merit and demerit, a transcendental state of mind which none but an Indian yoyi can experience.

Verse 5.—Phanana = Pāli phandanam, Sk. spandanam, 'trembling, vibrating, or precipitating towards sensuous objects', as the Dhammapada-Commentary puts it. Here the expression 'trembling or vibrating' is used rather figuratively, its primary sense being associated with the pulsation of life (cf. prāṇam prāṇantam, life pulsating, Kauṣītaki, III. 2), an idea, perhaps derived originally from the palpitation of heart. The idea phandana was deepened later into a more scientific Buddhist theory of apperception (parama). Capala = Pāli and Sk capalam, 'unsteady'. Like phanana, the expression capala is to be taken in a figurative sense. The genesis of this idea of the unsteady nature of mind can be traced to electrical phenomena, such as the flash of lightning. Cf. the Mahôpanishad verses (IV. 99-100):

Na lu cañcalatāhīnam manah kvacana dṛṣyate. Cañcalatvam manodhanno vahņerdharmo yathoṣṇatā Esā hi cañcalāspandaṣaktiṣeittatvasamsthitā Tām yiddhi mānasīm saktim jagadāḍambaiātmikām.

Druracha = Pāli dūrakkhan, Sk. dūraksyam (dur + raksyam), a compound, meaning 'that which is difficult to guard'. Drunivarana = Pāli dunnivāraņam, Sk. durnivāraņam, a compound, meaning 'that which is difficult to resist'. It affords another instance of the change of dur into div. Cf. dhamatrakehi and notes, pp. 162-3; pravatatho, p. 128, and dr g/a 1 13

the Pali counterparts of them can be traced in no other canonical text than the Dhammapada. They serve to throw into clear relief the contrast between the life of the multitude who are blind to the brighter side of human nature and steeped in the filth of worldliness, and that of a Buddhist saint who, though born among the common mortals, outshines them by their wisdom, like unto a lotus

Dhammapada these are put at the end of the Pupphavagga;

lily, sweet-scented and lovely, growing among the heaps of refuse and filth beside a high road. They seem to indicate the lofty aim of Buddhism, which opened the door of salvation, many centuries before the advent of Christianity and Vaisnavism, to the persons of humble birth and of poor circumstances,—a feature which can be traced back to the Sāmaññaphala Sutta (Dīgha. I. p. 61).

Verse 14.-Sagaraudasa = Pāh sankārakūtasmin, which is the same in meaning as sumkāradhānasmim or samkāratthānasmim (cf. sainkāru-putija, Therag., v. 578) = on piles of filth and in dirty water (Udanavarga); in a ditch in the field (Fa-kheu-pi-u). Ujhitasa= Pāli ujjhilasmim, Sk. ujjhite=chaddite, "thrown away" (Dhammapada-Comy.). Here are two instances where the Genitive case-ending has stood for the Locative.

Verse 15.—Saghadhadhamae—this is substituted for Pāli sumkārabhātesu (see the Dhammapada-Comy., which speaks of a disciple of Buddha as somkāru-bhūtesu

pi puthujjanesu jāto, 'born among average men who are no better than filthy heaps'). As to the Prakrit reading, M Senart says that the form saghadhadhamau appears to him certain, though the last letter might be e or i. Nevertheless, "the word remains difficult", he says, and suggests that the only way of avoiding the difficulty with regard to the first part of the word, would be "by admitting that the scribe has, through mistake, written sughadha for sugara=sankāra." There is, no doubt, something wrong somewhere, but not probably where M. Senart locates Dhamaa or dhamae is not certainly = dharme, as he supposes. Some consonant like k appears to have been elided between the two final vowels. Saghadhadhamaa is

perhaps = Pāli sainkhatadhammakā or sainkhatadhammāse (see Jātaka IV. p. 266) and saghadhudhamae = Pāli sankhata-

te the

dhammake. In these two equations, an objection can be raused as to the possibility of the change of t to dh seeing that M in the Prakrit text mostly

Verse 12. - Pradhavi Pah pathorin. Ardha Magadhi andharine, Sk potter in the earth , is . the world of men'. For the change of jr in jr, of serrels. Jaray, v. 23. We also meet with the form pudhari in Jaias , v. li. Vijesiti - Pal: 17 contr or ricessati. translated "superior", Fansboll) . with overcome, "ean overcome" (I'danavarga'; "is able to select toor over "1" a Fack hen-ps-u . It is clear that the Colamavarora adouts a form similar to the Pale eccepte, and the Fa-khen-pi-n another smaller to the Pali rocaseti. The Dhammapada-Comy, explains vijessati in the same sense as ricessult represente = reiensenti, rijanineati, potirijjhensati, succlirkarissati, will fully know, cognise, apprehend, realise = ricerati = racinisati, upuparikhhisati, will discriminate. investigate'. According to this interpretation, rivessati seems = Sk. viitāsyati. The other interpretation accepted by the Tibetan translator of the Udanavarga and by the modern translators of the Dhammapada, re:, rijesseti= "superabit", "will conquer", "will overcome" seems more acceptable. Yamaloka == Pale launtokain, "the realm of Death" = hour nother worlds (catablidham anāyalokam, Dhammapada-Comv). Eta = Pāli clam. M. Senart says that this reading is more appropriate than the Pali main, and we have nothing to say against it. Sadevaka = Pali valerakum, 'together with the world of the gods". Dhamapada - Pali dhammapadais, Sk. dharmapadam, translated by Fausboll "vorsus legis"; by Beal "the verses of the law"; by Rockhill "the law"; and by Max Müller "the path of virtue"="the norm or the path of virtue categorised as the 37 constituent parts of enlightenment or bestitude (sattatimsa-bodhirakhlaka-dhamma-sankhātam, Dhammapada-Comv.). That the term implies the idea of the path or the norm, virtue, purity, or any other synonym of Nirvana is evident from the Suttanipata, v. 88 (Cundasutha, v. 6): yo dhammapade sadesite rappe jirali (100 also the Paramatthajotikā, Vol. II. Pt. I. p. 164). There are again passages where the term in a plural form is applied to such moral topics as analihrijhā, aryāpāda, etc. To discriminate the path of virtue according to this interpretation is to distinguish between a good and a bad doctrine, i.e., to understand the excellence of the Buddhist doctrine as contrasted with those of others. The other interpretation, that dhammapadu="Law-verses", seems more suitable for a text like the Dhammapada. To select or collect the

beautifully expressed law verses like a skill il wreath maker

is lue to a most mechanical multiplication of the stanzas conveying the same sense. Ilaving regard to the fact that the Number-verses cannot be traced in any other canonical text in the form in which they occur in the Dhammapada, a doubt is apt to arise if they were composed in the time of the Buddha. Even supposing that these were composed then, we have reason to believe that their number was far less than what it is in the several recensions of the Dhammapada. This hypothesis is borne out by the fact that we find one or two verses in the Manu Samhitā and the Jaina text which in their present forms are far later than the oldest portions of the Buddhist Nikāvas. Seeing that the Number-verses are mostly intended to contrast the Buddhist practices and principles with the Brahmanic rituals, sacrifices, hymn-chanting and poetic composition, their origin cannot be dated farther back than the sophistic period immediately preceding the advent of Buddhism, during which several schools of Wanderers appear to have broken away from the ancient tradition and condemned the Brahmanic system of learning and religious rites and dogmas with vehemence perhaps unparalleled in the history of human culture. These revolutionary ideas gathering strength with the progress of time assumed at last a more rational, systematic and compromising shape in the teaching of Gotama Buddha, another revolutionary, perhaps the most powerful of all because of his synthetic genius. The Muncaka and Gotamaka condemnation of the Brahman priests with their elaborate system of sacrifice and mantrus, and the Kesakambala declaration of the unfounded character of the sacrifices found a saner expression in the Buddha's utterances setting forth the sacrifices as less valued in comparison with the more dignified practices of religion. we read in the Kūṭadanta-sutta (Dīgha I. pp. 143 f.; Dial. B, II pp. 180-3): "The sacrifice performed with gliee, oil, butter, milk, honey and sugar only is better than that at which living creatures are slaughtered. Better than this mode of sacrifice is charity, especially that which is extended to holy and upright Better still is the putting up of monasteries. But better than this is certainly the observance of moral precepts. And the best of all sacrifices is the four-fold meditation".3 One can justly point out this prose discourse as the historical basis of the Number-verses which, in their ultimate analysis. present but a universal idiom, e.g., one in a hundred, one in a thousand, or one in a million.

See the Mundaka and Katha Upanisads.

The is a more summary of Bottlie's views. Of Sankara's views in the Procha-cudianu m V 2.

1 yo (sa)¹[hasa sahasani sagami manuşa jini eka ji]² (jini)¹ atmana so ho sagamu utamu ○

۲,

排權

(Cm, 6)

Cf. Dhanmap., v. 103 + (Sahassavagga, v. 4);-

Yo sahassam sahassena sangāme mānuse jine Ekañ ea jeyya-m-attānam sa ve sangāmajuttamo,

Cf. Mahāvastu, Sahasravarga, v. 3:-

Yo satāni sahasrāņām samgrāme manujā jaye Yo carkam jaye ātmānam sa vai samgrāmajat varah

Of. Udānav., eh. xxiii. ("Self"), v. 3 :--

"He who conquers a thousand times a thousand men in batile, a greater conqueror than he is he who conquers himself."

Notes .- As appears from Rockhill's translation, the reading of the Udanavarga verse is similar to the Pali. Prakrit verse differs from the Pali by these two wordssahasani (= Pāli sahassāni), and jini (= Pāli jine). The difference could be minimised, if suhasani could have been interpreted, as M. Senart suggests, as an inversion for the Pali sahassena. But this cannot very well be done as . we find that the verse in the Mahavastu contains the word śatāni which is the same in form as the Prakrit sahasani. A comparative study of these four recensions makes it clear that the Pali form is the oldest, and that the Prakrit is older than the earlier Sanskrit. The teaching inculcated in the verse is that the greatest enemy of man is after all his own self and accordingly every effort must be made to conquer se f This ofty idea of se f-conquest us a in the Buddhist iterature by a grap ne noet c description

of the inner struggle of the ascetic Siddhattha with Māra1, and no less by that of Buddha's disciples2. An earlier form of the conception of self-conquest, bereft of the later Buddhist imagery of a heroic fight with the lower nature of man is to be found in the teaching of the Mundakas, and a Jaina parallel of it is embodied in a dialogue of the Uttaradhyayana Sutra4. The truth of the teaching of the Buddhist verse under notice was confirmed by two powerful Buddhist kings, king Asoka of India and king Dutthagamani of Ceylon, both of whom came to feel remorse and intense agony of heart at the recollection of their experiences on battle-fields. In the teaching of Asoka the idea of conquest by the dhamma took the place of the principle of self-conquest. " mu(kha)mute dhammarijaye". The idea of self-conquest which shaped itself in the teaching of the Sramans proper, came to be recognised by the Buddhist teachers and kings as a distinct principle of action, and that in a form of conquest of hatred by love", claimed in the Rajovada Jātaka7, a marked advance on the 'tit for tat' principle. In this respect Buddhism can claim as much superiority to the juristic faith of the Brahmans" as Christianity to the

Sahasani = Pāli sahassāni, Sk. sahasrāņi. If this be not an inversion for the Pali instrumental singular form sahussena, it must be interpreted as referring to munusa, like ŝatāni in the Mahāvastu verse. Ho = Pāli kho, an expletive denoting assertion. Sagamu utamu = Pāli sangāmajuttamo. M. Senart considers the Pali reading as the result of a confusion of writing. But there is no confusion here at all. It is simply sangāmaji + uttama, a compound, which by the Pali rule of sandhi has beaome sangamajuttamo. Cf. samarāmajii of the Mahavastu.

Mosaic doctrine of the Israels.

Padhāna Susta, Suttanipāta, No. 28 = Lalitavistara, chap. xviii.; ārastu; Mārasamyutta, Sumyutta Nikāya, I. Cl Lalitavistara, chap. xxi. Buddhacarita, Bks. x111-x17 Bhikkhunī-Sanyutta, Sanyutta Nikšya, I. Mundaka Up., II. 2. 3-4.

Utearadhyayana, IX. 20-22. Asoka's Rock Edict, XIII; Mahavamsa, XXV. 108

Dhammapada, v. 5

Rajovada-jataka, No. 24, where the akkedhena jine kedham principle is trasted with the dalhena dalham khepanam.

The Sauskrit Ep cs condemn on y writh w hont any pro d varreta rudrata

sahafsa bi ya gasana' anathapa ] [dasahita 2 e]¶ka yayapada]¹ sebha¹ ya sutya uyasamati O⁵

(Cio, 7)

Cf. 1)hammap., v. 100 † (Sahassav., v. 1):-

Sahassam api cu vācā anatt hapadasam hitā Ekam atthapadani seyyo yam sutva upasammati.

- Fa-kheu-pi-u, sec. xxt ("The Thousands"), Cf. p. 104.:--
- "To repeat a thousand words without understanding, what profit is there in this? But to understand one truth, and hearing it to act accordingly, this is to find deliverance."
  - Cf. Maliävastu Sahasravarga, v. 1:--

Sahasram api väeänäm anarthapadasamhitä Ekä arthavati áreya yam árntvá upušámyatu.

3 Θ [sata bhase anathapadasahita] s.hu ya sutva uvasamati ○8

(Cro, 8)

('f. Dhammap., v. 102 † (Sahassav., v. 3) :--

Yo ca gātbā satam bhāse anatthapadasamhitā Ekam dhammapadam seyyo yam sutva upasammati.

- Udānav., ch. XXIV. ("Numbers"), v. 2 :--
- "It is better to speak one word of the law which brings one nigh unto peace, than to recite a hundred gathas which are not of the law".

A very doubtful word. \* Frag. C. viiro, 4. Frag. C. xiro, 1.

<sup>\*</sup> Frag. O. XIIro, 2,—not adjusted by M. Senart.

That the second letter is bh, though written in a peculiar hand in the Ms., has been verified by M. Senart. The variants are sehu, seho occurring in the succeeding verses. \* Fr C x ro 2

The circle 's supplied by us. The circle is supplied by us.

The Prakrit verse can be easily restored :--

(yo ja gadhasata) bhase anathapadasahita e(ka dhamapada) ş(e,hu ya şutva uvasamati 🔾

4 (saha) sa bi ya gadhana anathapadasahita eka gadhapada seho ya sutva uvasamati<sup>2</sup> O

(Cro, 9)

Cf. Dhammap., v. 101 † (Sabassav., v. 2):--

Sahassam api ce gāthā anatthapadasamhitā Ekam gāthāpadam seyyo yam sutvā upasammati.

Cf. Mahāvastu Sahasravarga, v. 2:— Sahasram api gāthānām anarthapadasamhitā Ekā arthavatī śrcyā yām śnutvā upašāmyati.

5 (yo)³ ja gadhaśata⁴ bhaṣe anathapadasahita eka gadhapada ṣeho ya ṣutva uvaśamati ○

 $(C^{ro}, 10)$ 

Cf. Verse 3 above. The verse can be easily rendered in Pāli:

Yo ca gāthā satani bhāse anatthapadasamhitā Ekam gāthāpadam seyyo yam sutvā upasammati.

Cf. Jātaka IV. p. 175 :--

Attha te bhāsitā gāthā, sabbā honti sahassiyo, Patigapha mahābrahme, sādh'etam tava bhāsitam. Na me attho sahassehi satehi nahutehi vā. Pacchimani bhāsato gātham kāme me na rato mano.

Cf. Fa-kheu-pr-u, sec. xvi. ("The Thousands"), p. 101:—

"Although a man can repeat a thousand stanzas (sections), but understand not the meaning of the lines he repeats, this is not equal to the repetition of one sentence well understood, which is able when heard to control thought,"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Supplied by us. <sup>2</sup> M. Senart reads wasamati.

Bupp ed by us. Also ya Sec. v 12 safes.
Partly completed by Frey C x o 1.

Cf. Fa-khen-pi-u, sec. vvi. ("The Thousands"), p. 104:—

"A man may be able to repeat many books, but if he cannot explain them, what profit is there in this? But to explain one sentence of the law, and to walk accordingly, this is the way to find supreme wisdom."

Cf. Udanav., ch. xxiv. (" Numbers"), v. 2 :--

"It is better to speak one word of the law which brings one nigh anto peace, than to recite a hundred gathas which are not of the law".

Notes.—These four verses (2-5) are very much alike, differing only, in the words of M. Senart, in some differentiation of detail. All of them teach that one Dhammapada gatha, full of poetry and meaning, is far better and valuable than so many hundreds, thousands or millions (as the Jataka puts it) of the Vedic hymns regarded as dealing with useless subjects, i.e., setting forth, in the lange ge of the Dhammapada-Comy., the descriptions of the sky, the mountains, the forest and the like, which do not throw light on the path to salvation (ākāsaraņņanā-pabbalaraņņanā-ranavargunddini pukāsukelu aniyyānadīpakeli anatthakelu padehi samhilāyā ra bahukā hoali). History, however, goes to prove that the Buddhists themselves, especially the Mahayanists, were not immune from the same kind of adverse criticism not only from the modern critics1, but at the hands of their brethren, the advocates of the Sahajiya doctrine2.

Verse 2.—Eka vayapada would correspond to a Pāli ekaih nācapadam. Sebha = Pāli seyyo, Sk. ŝ eyas. The bh may be accounted for as due to the influence of the final s or h which is dropped in both Pāli and Prakrit. Similarly the s may be said to have been due to the desire to compensate for the lost sound s in ŝr.

<sup>\*</sup> See Dr B. L. Mitra's observations the 1 troduction obligadifics of the ks Prejitsparam to (B b) and ca series Bauddha Gen-o-Dohs, p. 88

6 [masamasi sahasina yo yaea]¹ śatena ca neva² budhi prasadasa kala aveti ṣoḍaśa ○

(C10, 11)

- 7 [(ma)<sup>3</sup>samase sahasena yo yaea satina ca neva]<sup>4</sup> (dhami)<sup>3</sup> prasa(da)<sup>3</sup>sa kala aveti sodasa (C<sup>ro</sup>, 12)
- 8 masamase sahasina yo yaea satena ca neva saghi prasadasa kala aveti sodasa O (C<sup>ro</sup>, 13)
  - ('f. Fa-kheu-pi-n, sec. xvi. ("The Thousands"), p. 105:—
  - "Although a man for a hundred years worship and sacrifice to the spirit of Fire, his merit is not equal to that of the man who for a moment pays reverence to the three Holy Ones, the happiness consequent on one such act of homage excels that resulting from all those hundred years".

### ('f. Mahāvastu, Sahasravarga, vv. 4-6 .—

Yo jayeta sahasrāṇām māse māse satam satam Na so Buddhe prasādasya kalām arghati ṣoḍasīm, Yo jayeta sahasrānām māse māse satam satam Na so dharme prasādasya kalām arghati ṣoḍasīm, Yo jayeta sahasrāṇām māse māse satam satam Na so samghe prasādasya kalām arghati ṣoḍasīm

('f Udanav., ch. \xxv. ("Numbers"), vv. 26-28:-

"He who for a hundred years makes a thousand sacrifices each month, is not worth the sixteenth part of him who has faith in the Buddha"

[N.B. For vv. 27-28, substitute "in the holy law" and "in the church" respectively, in place of "in the Buddha" of v. 26.]

<sup>16</sup> Supplied by us

<sup>1</sup> Prag. C. 1879, 2. 2 M. Sonart reads neci. We read note for the sake of consistency with the next verses. Supplied by us.

sake of consistency with the next verses.

Supplied by us.

Supplied by us; also dhama, as M. Senart suggests: in which case it must be foined to the next word

- 9 masamasi sahasena yo yaca satena ca neva saghasadhameşu kala aveti soda\$a O (C°, 14)
  - Cf. the last half of the Dhammap, v. 70 † (Bālav., v. 11) = Mahāvastu, Sahasravarga, v. 14;—

Na so sainkhatadhammanain kabam agghati solasim.

Cf. Mahayastu, Sahasrayarga, v. 8:-

Yo jayeta sahasrāņāth māse māse šatath šatath Na so svākhyātadharmāņāth kalām arghati sodasīm.

- Cf. Udánav., ch. XXIV. ("Numbers"), v. 33 :-
- "He who for a hundred years makes a thousand sacrifices each month, is not worth the sixteenth part of him who explains well the holy law"
- 10 masamase sahasena yo yae[a satena ca]<sup>1</sup>
   (neva sabanasil)<sup>2</sup>eşu kala aveti şodasa O
   (C<sup>n</sup>, 15)
  - Cf. Mahavastu, Sahasravarga, v. 7:—

Yo jayeta sahasrāņātii māse māse šatatii šatatii So va sampumasilānātii kalātii nārghati sodaštii.

11 masamase sahasena yo yaca satena ca ekapananuabisa kala naveti sodasa O

 $(C^n, 16)$ 

- Cf. Fa-kheu-pi-u, see, vit. ("Metta"), p. 17:-
- "If a man lives a hundred years, and engages the whole of his time and attention in religious offerings to the gods, sacrificing elephants, and horses, and other things, all this is not equal to one act of pure love in saving life."

<sup>1</sup> Frag C xtille.

<sup>\*</sup> Supplied by us. For b=Pail mp, of madisa of the next vorse, and sabano (-Pail sumpano) Panitavaga, v 2 (1 -70 25 p. 68)

Cf. Udānav., ch. XXIV. (" Numbers "), XV. 29-31 ;--

'He who for a hundred years makes a thousand sacrifices each month, is not worth the sixteenth part of him who is merciful to sentient creatures" (varied in vv. 30, 31 by 'animated creatures' and 'beings' respectively).

#### Cf. Mann, V. 53 —

varşe varşe 'śvamedhena yo yajeta śatam samāḥ māmsāni ca na khadedyastayoḥ puṇyaphalam samam.

es—It is a strange fact that the parallel of none of these verses (6-11) is to be found in the Dhammapada or in any other canonical text. We must admit that the basic idea out of which they had grown, is embodied in a prose discourse, the Kūṭadanta-sutta of the Dīghanikāya. A comparison of the Prakrit versus with their parallels in the Fa-kheupi-u and other recensions of the Dhammapada makes it clear how easy it was for the Buddhists to multiply the number of this class of stanzas by merely changing a certain word or expression. It is also clear that the chief motive of these verses is to extol the principles of practical Buddhism, which are of far greater worth than the hundreds and thousands of sacrifices performed each month by the Brāhman householders. The Buddhist principles emphasized herein are just three, ric., (1) faith in the Three Jewels, (2) observance of the moral precepts, and (3) compassion for the living beings.

Verses 6-8.-Masamasi, masamase=Pāli and Sk. mase mase, 'each month'. In colloquial Bengali the idiom mase mase means quite the same thing, and masamasi, which equates with the Prakrit form masamasi, means 'extending over a month'. In these verses there are numerous instances where i and e are interchanged Sahasina satena. sahasena satina = Pāli sahassena satena, which is as idiomatic as saharranam satam satam of the Mahavastu verses Yaea = Pali yajeyya, Sk. yajeta; y and j being inverted in jayeta of the Mahavastu verses quoted above. (written neri in v. 6) = Pāli or Sk. nāņa, as M. Senart points out. The reading na vi or na ve would be the correct from; nera may be regarded as an inversion for na re. But ne ra taken as=Pāli n'era or Sk. nanva would free us from the risk of such conjectures as neva=ndm. Aveti=Pali anveti or appoti = Sk. apnoti, 'attains', e.e., deserves' 'aggint' or arghati' We trust that it was not by mistake that the scribe wrote at te for aghat

Verses o-11. - Saghasadhameşu = Pāli saikhaludhammesn, 'among those who have well understood the Norm', Cf. the explanation given in the Dhammapada-Commentary, v. 70 -- Sankhatadhamma vuccanti matadhamma, tulitadhamma." The meaning of the word was changed when it came to be Sanskritised as srākhņāta-dharmānam, 'of those who have well explained the law'. For the reading see M. Senart's notes (pp. 59-60). Sabanasileşu would be in Pali sam unnasilesu. 'among those who have fully observed the rules of morality'. The adoption of the locative form for the genitive (cf. sumpannasilānāin of the Mahavastu verse quoted above) is a peculiarity noticeable in the Prakrit verses 9-10. For the opposite process of. parasa (Apramadavaga, v. 1), p. 121; uthanadasu (ibid, v. 4), p. 123; apramadam (ilid. v. 17), p. 126; imana (ibid, v. 16), p. 132; saga andasa (Pusavaga, v. 14), p. 152. Ekapananuabisa would correspond to a Pali chapananukampissa, Sk. ekoprananukan pinah, 'of one who is compassionate towards a single living creature '.

12 ya ja vaşasata jivi kusidhu hinaviyava muhutu<sup>†</sup> jivita şebha virya arahato dridha O (C<sup>ro</sup>, 17)

Cf. Dhammap., v. 112 † (Sahassav., v. 13);—

Yo ca vassasatam jive kusito hinaviriyo Ekâham jivitam seyyo viriyam\* ārubhato daļham

Cf. Mahāvastu, Sahasravacga, v. 19 :--

Yo ca varşasatati jive kuşıdo binaviryavân Ekâban jivitani sreyo viryanı aranıbhato didham.

Cf. Udanav., ch. xxiv. (" Numbers "), v. 4:-

"He who lives a hundred years in laziness and slothfulness, a life of one single day is better if one exerts meself to zealous application."

<sup>\*</sup> Another reading viryals with metricausa

ya ji vasasato jivi apasu udakavava 13 muhuta jivita sebhal pasato udakayaya O

(Cro. 18)

Cf. Dhammap., v. 113 † (Sahassav., v. 14):-

Yo ca vassasatain jive apassam udayayyayain2 Ekâham jivitam seyyo passato ndayayyayam.

> Cf. Mahāvastu, Sahasravarga, v. 23 :--

Yo ca varsasatam jive apasyam udayavyayam Ekâham jîvîtam şreye paşvato ndayayyayam.

- Udānav.. ch. xxiv. (" Numbers "), v. 6;---
- "He who lives a hundred years without perceiving birth and dissolution, a life of one single day is better if one perceives birth and dissolution ".
- ya ja vasasata jiv(i)<sup>a</sup> apasu dhamu utamu 14 m(u) huta jivita [s] e(b)hu pasatu dhamu utamu O<sup>s</sup>  $(C^{ro}, 19)$ 
  - Dhammap., v. 115 † (Sahassav., v. 16):-

Yo ca vassasatam jiye apassam dhammam uttamam Ekâham jîvitam seyyo passato dhammam uttamam.

Mahavastu, Sahasravarga, v. 23:-

Yo ca varşaşatam jive apaşyam dharmam uttamam Ekâham jîvitan sreyo pasyato dharmam uttamam

The next verse has sebhu, and v. 17 siho.

\* V t, dayabbayam Supplied by us

\* Frag C xxxv ~ 1 not saipusted by M Senart The Frag bears traces of the previous word ; refa The circle a supplied by us.

- Cf. Udanav., ch. XXIV. ("Numbers"), v. 10:-
- "He who have a hundred years without knowing the ideal knowledge that is hard (to arrive at), life of one single day is better if one knows the ideal knowledge that is hard (to arrive at)".
- 15 ya ja vaṣaṣata jatu agi pariyar(+)¹ vane [chirena]² sapitelena³ divaratra atadrito
- 16 [eka ji bhavitatmana muhuta]'viva p(u)' a(e)' sameva puyana sebha ya ji' vasasata hotu O (Cr. 20-21)
  - Cf. Dhammap., v. 107 f (Sahassav., v. 8) :--

Yo ca vassasatati jantu aggiti paricare vane Ekaŭ ca bhavitattanati muhuttan api püjaye --Sa yeva püjanü seyyo yañ ce vassasatani hutati.

Cf. Mahāvastu, Sahasravarga, vr. 15-16:-

Yo ca varşasatam jivə agniparicaram caret Patrahāro chavāvāsī karonto vividham tapam Yo caikam bhāvitātmānam muhūrtam api pūjayet Sā ekapūjanā šreyo na ca varşasatam hutam.

Cf. Udanav., ch. xxiv. ("Numbers"), v. 17:-

"If a man live for a hundred years in a forest, wholly relying on fire (Agni), and if he but for one single moment pays homage to a man who meditates on the self, this homage is greater than sacrifices for a hundred years."

M Separt combines the two words into my

<sup>1</sup> M. Sonart reads pariyara, but suggests that it should be pariyare or pariyari.

2 Frag. C. XXXVII'0, 2,—not adjusted by M. Sonart.

M Sonart puts it separately as so pi telesa Frag. U XX X<sup>(0)</sup>

M Senari puts it separately as an pi telena F:

\* \* Supplied by us according to M Senart a suggest on

17 [ya keja yatha va ho(tu)' va lok(e)"]" (savachara' yaea puñapekho" sarva vi ta na "caubhakame)"ti ahivadana ujukateşu şiho O"

į

(Cro, 22)

Cf. 1)hammap., v. 108 † (Sahassav., v. 9):-

Yam kiñer yittham ea hutañ ea loke Samvaccharam yajetha puññapekho Sabbam pi tam na catubhāgam eti.— Abhivādanā njjugatesu seyyo.

- Cf. Fa-kheu-pi-u, sec. xvi ("The Thousauds"), p. 108:—
- "To sacrifice to spirits in order to find peace (merit), or, after this life expecting reward, his happiness is not one quarter of that man's who pays homage to the good ".
  - Cf. Mahāvastu, Sahasravarga, v. 17:-

Yat kidicid işlan ca hutan ca loke Samvatsaram yajati punyaprekşo, Sarvam pi tam na caturbhāgam eti Abhivādanam ujjugatesu sreyam.

- Cf. Udānav., ch. xxiv. (" Numbers"), v. 31:-
- "No matter what sacrifice a man may offer in this world to acquire merit, it is not worth the quarter of doing homage to one who has a quieted and upright mind."

# ga 17

(Cro, 23)

Notes.—The verses (12-17) are a blended whole and constitute a series which, as distinguished from the preceding one, teaches that the spiritual efforts, the philosophic insight and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Supplied by us; cf. holu of the preceding verse.

<sup>2</sup> Also loki.

<sup>3</sup> Frac. C. 1770, 1.

(G sainting Pali saintinto (Cro. 28), p. 64.

Frag. C. 1970, 1.

4 Of saintin=Pai saintint

5 Also puñavekhu or puñavekhu

6 Also calubhagumet

6 Also puñavekhu or puñavekhu

7 Descripció saintinte

<sup>7</sup> Supplied by us. \* The circle is supplied by us.

the higher form of Buddhist worship are of far superior worth than the fire-worship and sacrifices of the Fanoprastha hermits. The Buddhist's is a simple moral under-estimation which must be earefully distinguished historically:

(1) from the Muncaka's bold condemnation-

lştāpürtam manyamáná variştham nanyacehreyo vedayante pramūdhāh Nākasya pṛsthe te sukṛte 'nubhūtvemam lokam hinataram vāvišanti," n

and (2) from the Kešakambala's philosophical denial-

N'atthi yutham, n'atthi hutam, n'atthi sukata-dukkatanam kammanam phalam vipāko?.

But comparing the Mundaka views and those of the Buddhists a little more closely, we must admit that these are in spirit the same, the latter having a milder form of expression than the former.

Verse 12.—Hinaviyava = Sk. hinning rān (Mahāvastu verse), which is the same in meaning as the Pāli hinaviriyo. Sebka = sehhr (v. 14), seho (v. 17) = Pāli scyyo, Sk. šreyah. These are instances where s equates with Sk. šr. Arahato = Pāli ārahhato. Sk. ārahhhatah. These forms go to prove that i and c, and bh and h are interchangeable in the dialect of our Prakrit text.

Verse 13.—Udakavaya = Pāh udayar yayarin or udayabbayarin, Sk. udayar yayarin, 'growth and loss'. 'The change of y to k appears to be along the lines of the change of j to k; cf. babaka = Sk. pabhaja, Suhavaga, v. 8 (I. c'', 31, p. 80.)

Verses 15-16. Chirena sapitelena divaratra atadrito = Pāli khīrena sappi-telena divārattam atandito. This line is to be found neither in the Pāli yāthā nor in the Udānavarga verse. The Mahāvastu verse substitutes for it: patrahāro chavānāsī karonto viridham tapam. Muhutaviva would correspond to a Pāli muhuttamira: a vowel sandhi (muhuta + ira). Sameva = Pāli sā yeru: a vowel sandhi (sa + eva). The reading samera is a change from savera. The Mahāvastu reads sā eka.

Mundaka Up. 1, 2, 10.
 Digha, I p. 55

Verse 17.—Yatha = Pāli yittham, Sk. istam. The root in the Prakrit word has not, like the Sk., undergone any transformation.

Ga 17 marks the end of the chapter and means, as before, that the chapter contains 17 stanzas.

### [6. Panitavaga or Dhamathavaga]

This group, of which the name is suggested by the word dhamatha occurring in v. 1 or by panita in v. 3, infra, consists of ten stanzas, as may be ascertained from the colophon, "ga 10" (I. c<sup>10</sup>, 34). Verse 3 of the Prakrit group has a parallel in one of the verses of the Panditavagga of the Pāli Dhammapada (ch. vi.), and some of the rest of the same group in other chapters of the Pāli. The Dhammatthavagga of the Pāli Dhammapada (ch. xix.) contains 17 stanzas, of which none is to be found in the Prakrit group. The corresponding chapter in the Fahheu-pi-u (sec. xxvii.) also contains 17 stanzas which agree generally with the Pāli. Chapter xi. of the Udānavarga has 16 stanzas, of which four are similar to those in the Fāli group. The Pa ita or Dhamatha group is comparatively of little importance; it teaches whom we are to regard as virtuous and what should be his conduct.

- 1 [silamatu suyisacho]² dhamatho sadhujivano atmano karako sadhu ta jano kurati³ priu O (C<sup>10</sup>, 24)
  - Cf. Dhammap., v. 217 † (Piyavagga, v. 9):-

Siladassanasampannam dhammattham saccavadinam Attano kamma kubbanam tam jano kurute piyam.

Cf. Udānav., ch. v. ("Agreeable Things"), v. 25 :-

"He who observes the law, who is perfectly virtuous, modest, speaking the truth, doing what he ought to do, delights the rest of mankind."

Dhammapada, chap vt. (Panditavegga)—14 stanzas Fa-kheu-pi-u, soc. XIV ("The wise man")—17 ", Udanavarga, chap. vt. ("Morality")—20 ", Frag. C. IVIO, 3, completed by fr. C. IIIO, 1.

M. Separt dares not change it into kuruts, though he thinks such a reading is very probable

Notes.-The expressions in the Prakrit terse are somewhat different from those in its Pali and Sunskrit parallels. verse embodies some fundamental principles of Dharma, enum rated differently in Indian literature. The principles referred to in it are -- sila (monality), suni sicha (trathfulness), sadbujirava (muocent life) and atmano karana (dutifulness); cf. Asoka's Pillar Inscription, II anaxinare bahulaqua, dayi, dave, sache, sachage; also Rock Edict XIII: sarabhūtāmin achatiin. samacherain, mādarain, etc.; Sankhusamhitā, 1 Lsamā, salya, dama, šanra; Jūtaka verse (Fansböll, 11. p. 280) : sacrain, dhaman, dhiti, cago; Ramayana, V. 1.193 (Bombay edition): dhyti, dysti, mati, dakeya; Chandogya, III. 17. 1: tapa, dana, arjari, akinisa and salyaracana. Mann enumerates ten principles : dhrli, hama, dama, asteya, Saucain, indriga-nigraha, dhi, ridya, satyani, akrodha (v1. 92). Similar principles are enumerated under ten numerical heads in the Saugtti Suttanta (Digha, m), and throughout the Angultara Nikava. The Jama texts also are not wanting in this kind of enumeration.

Silamatu would correspond to a Pāli silarando, almost the same in meaning as siladassanasampanno of the Palitext This is not an accusative singular form as M. Senast supposes it to be. Suyisacho—'he who is pure and truthful', a compound with a peculiar grammatical construction Instead of suyi (purity) the Pali verse has dassana (insight). The Prakrit reading silamata suyisacho etc., (as nominative singular) appears to be better than the Pāli accusative singular. Atmano karako would strictly equate with a Pāli atlano kārako, 'he who does his own duty'. The expression is elliptical, some word being understood between atma o and karako. Ta jano kurati priu = Pāli tam jano hunute piyam, 'him people hold dear', Rockhill's translation 'he.... delights the rest of mankind', or the Tibetan version itself, seems open to dispute.

2 [sadhu šilena sabano yašabhohasamapitu] yena yeneva vayati tena teneva puyita O

(Cro, 25)

Cf. Dhammap., v. 303 † (Pakinnakavagga, v. 14) -

<sup>&</sup>quot;Saddho silona sampanno yasobhogasamappito Yam yam padesam bhajati tattha tutth' eva pujito

es.—Sadhn=Pāli saddho, 'the faithful', the initial sibilant ? distinguishes this word from sadhu answering to sadhu. This is not the first instance in our Prakrit where a equates with Sk. &r; cf. sacala ( k. srāvakāh), Pusav v. 15 (p. 152). sebha, sibhu, sehu, siho, siho (Sh. Siegah), Sabasavaga (pp. 158-167); sutia (Sk. śrutrā) ibid, vv. 2, 3 fg (p. 158). Sabano = Pāli sampanno. For b=mp see anuabisa (Sahasav. v. 11). For bhoha=bhoga, see M. Senart's notes (p. 63). Vayati would straightway equate with Pah vajute, Sk. irajati 'walks', 'travels', 'passes'; cf. sabbattha ve sappurisā rajanti (Dhammap., v. 83). M. Senait says " Vayati might strictly be explained after the analogy of abhiruya (= Pāli abhibhūya), B. : 0, 31 as=the Pāli bhajatı. But it is more natural to think that it is = vragate, and I should rather believe that bhajati of the Pāli, which it is very difficult to interpret, has sprung, by an inverse confusion, from an original sujete". We, on our part, think that the expression yan yan padesani bhajati (i e., 'whatever regions he adopts or lives in ') is quite different from yena yena rayati i.e., 'by or through whatever (land) he passes'. The former conveys the idea of settlement in a place, the latter that of the journey of a missionary. Indeed, the latter expression is quite natural to a Buddhist missionary

[yo natimahetu na parasa hetu pavani kamani samaya]<sup>1</sup>rea

from India, compiling a text of the Dhammapada, adapting the language of the original verses to the local dialect of

the Gandharan region extending as far as Khotan.

samaya]¹rea na ichia (adhamena)² [samidhi atmano so silava]³ panitu dhammiho⁴ sia ○⁵

(Cro, 26)

Cf. Dhammap., v. 84 † (Paṇḍitav., v. 9) : →

Na attahetu na parassa hetu na puttam icehe na dhanam na rattham N' iceheyy' adhammena samiddhim attano sa silavā paññavā dhammiko siyā.

Frag C o 3 Support by us Frag C XXX The rending dhumbools robaby us to the error of he scribe.

The circle is supplied by us.

Notes.—Natimahetu na parasa hetu Pāli na attahetu na parassa hetu, 'neither on personal grounds nor for others' sake'. The form natima is an instance of sandhi and of Epeathesis (cf. Pāli ūtum i = ūtua). The Prakrit reading pavani kamani samayarea would equate with a Pāli pāpām kammāni samāvareyya; it brings out the underlying idea of the verse more clearly than the Pāli na puttam icche etc. There is reason to believe that by the two expressions, atimahetu and prasa hetu, are meant the four agatis or principles of iniquity, viz., chanda (personal consideration), dasa (hatred), bhaya (fear) and moha (delusion). Cf. Jāt. V. p. 147:—

Na papditā attasukhassa hetu Pāpāni kammāni samācarunti Dukkhena phuttha khalitāpi santā Chandā ca dosā na jahanti dhammath.

4 [sañatu sukati yati drugati yati asañatu ma sa vispasa]¹ [avaja ida vidva samu cari²○]³
 (C<sup>n</sup>, 27)

For the last pada, ef. Jat. IV. p. 172 :--

Pathavyā sāliyavakati gavassatii dāsaporisatā Datvā vā nālam ekassa, iti vidvā samai care.

The Pali counterpart would be :-

Saññato sugatim yāti duggatim yāti asaññato Mā so vissāsa-m-avajjo idam vidvā samam care.

Notes.—We are unable to trace any Pāli or Sanskrit counterpart of this verse, though it is certain that the teaching of it is very familiar to a student of Buddhism and that it strikes the keynote of Indian religious. Drugati = Pāli duggatim. For the form drugati = Sk. durgatim, of druracha, Citavaga, v. 5, supra. Ma = Pāli and Sk. mā.

The particle is used here without the verb expressed. This usage is not uncommon in Pāli. See Childers: mā b'evam bhante, not so, lord; alam bhikkhave mā bhandanam,

Frag C 110 1 " M Sourt reads summed " Frag C 11110 I not ad usted by M. Senart

enough priests, no quarrelling. Cf. also Jāt. V., p. 99, v 306:—madā pamādo jāyetha, pamādā jāyate khuyo khayā pudosā jāyanti, mā mado Bhirutūsabha where mā mado, as the scholiast explains, is=mā pamajjo, mā

mā mado, as the scholiast explains, is=mā pamajjo, mā pamādi. Avaja=Pāli āvajjo Sk. āradyah, low, bad, inferior. Childers says āvadītabbo ti ārajjo, according to a

inferior. Childers says  $\bar{a}vaditabbo$  ti  $\bar{a}vajjo$ , according to a Păli Tikā. Ida = l'āli  $vda\bar{m}$ . Vidva = Pāli  $vdv\bar{a}$ . It cannot, on the strength of the Jātaka parallel, equate with Sk.  $vidv\bar{a}v$ , as M. Senart has suggested. Samu cari = Pāli

somain care, 'one should lead a life of spiritual calm'.

M. Senart, suggests a reading sumuccaret (Sk.), which would be meaningless in this context.

[savutu pratimukhasa idriesu ca pajasu pramuni anu]<sup>1</sup>[pruvina savasañoyanachaya O]<sup>2</sup>

For the first half ef. Suttanipāta, v. 340 † (Rāhulasutta, v. 6):—

Cro. 28)

Samvuto pätimokkhasmim indriesu ca pañcasu (Sati käyagatā tyatthu mbbidābabulo bhava.)

For the last half cf. the last two padas of the Jataka verses on pp. 275, 278 (Jat. I):—

Pāpuņe anupubbena sabbasamyojanakkhayam.

tes.—We have not as yet been able to trace to a certainty any Pali or Sanskrit parallel to the above verse. The combination of the half-verses belonging to two different

combination of the half-verses belonging to two different stanzas is an innovation in the Prakrit text.

Pratimukhasa is an instance of the genitive for the locative. See notes on sabanasilesu, p. 164. Pajasu=Pālı

pañcasu, 'in regard to five'. The change of no to the sonant of the palatal group is characteristic of the dialect of the Prakrit text; cf. sagapa = sankuppa, Apramadav., v. 4, v. 15, pp. 123, 131, sigara = sankhārā, Pusav v. 14, p. 152; saghara = sankhārā, Magav., vv. 27, 28,

But ef. duhusata = dukkhass' antum, Apramadav., v. 16, p. 132: yavatetha = yāvant'ettha (ibid v. 17), p. 132

p 111; anuahisa = anukampinah, Sahassav., v. 11, p. 162.

Frag C to 2. \* Frag. O 11110 2, not adjusted by M Senart,

phayatr = phayanto (ibid, vv. 20, 21), pp. 134, 135. Anupruvina = Pali annyo blom, 'by degrees', 'gradually'. For pan = pur, of drugate in v. 1 supra. This word indicates that Buddhism, like Brahmanism, provided for a graduated system of religious training: advistasikkhā, adhieitlasikkhā and adhipaāhāsikkha. The subject is discussed at length in the Garaka-Moggaliana Sutta (Majihima, III., No. 107).

6 [śudhasa hi sada phagu! sudhasaposadhu! sada]" [śudhasa suyi]'(kamasa sa)"da6 samajate vata O (C10, 29)

Cf. Natthûpama Sutta, Majjhima, I. p. 39 :--

Suidhassa ve sada phaggu, suddhass'uposatho sad**ā**. Suidhassa sūrikammassa sada sampajjato vatam

-which is translated by the Bhikkhu Sīlācara :-

"Upon the pure snules constant May.
The pure keep codiess hely day,
The pure, by actions pure confest.
Their every offering is blost.".

Notes.—The Pāli parallel to the above verse belongs to a group of stanzas in which the Buddha deprecates the washing of sin in the sacred waters, e.g., of the Bāluka, the Adlıkakkā, the Gayā (or Phaggu), the Sundarikā, the Sarassatī, the Payāga and the Bālumatī, as well as the Brālmanic method of sabbath-keeping. The verse teaches that a man is really purified by his pure actions and that by doing pure deeds be keeps the sabbath. Such a protest as this against the Brālmanic faith is not peculiar to the Buddhist, as it can be traced, though in a less pronounced form, in the Mundaka, the Katha and other Upanishads, which may be supposed to embody the views of the Mundasāvakas, the Gotamakas and other Bhikkhu

M. Senart has journalm; the half-verse is completed, needing to his reading by vsf (cf Frag (1 10 3).

<sup>\*</sup> Frag O x<sup>10</sup> noted asted by M Se sart

Frag O 1<sup>10</sup> S Supp ed 3 bs.

M Separt reads m. M Separt reads aumajula in a

orders referred to in the Anguttara Nikāya (see Buddhist India, p. 145; Dial. B., Vol. II. Pt. I, pp. 220-222). The reading of the fragments in M. Senart's edition is, so far as this verse is concerned, hardly satisfactory. Hi—'indeed', 'surely '—may very well be substituted for the Pāli ve Sudhasaposadhu = Pāli suddhass' uposatha, an instance of vowel sandhi (śndhasa+uposadhu). For the m of samajate see notes on anuabisa (Sahasav., v. 11. p. 164).

- 7 [dhamu cari sucarita]<sup>1</sup> [(na ta du)<sup>3</sup>carita cari dhamayari suh(a)<sup>3</sup>]<sup>1</sup> seti asmi loki parasa yi O (C<sup>10</sup>, 30)
  - Cf. Dhammap., v. 169 † (Lokav., v. 3) :--

Dhammath care sucaritati na tati ducearitati care. Dhammatari sukhati seti asmiti loke paramhi ca.

- Cf. Udānav., ch. xxx. ("Happiness"), v. 5 :--
- "Perform carefully the precepts of the law; abstain from all evil deeds; he who keeps the law finds happiness in this world and in the other
- Notes.—With this verse compare v. l of the Apramadavaga, p. 119. Dhamu cari sucarita = Pālī dhammam care sucaritam. For this exhortation of the Taittirīva command "yānyasmākam sucaritāmi tām tmyopasyā". Seti has a variant šeuti in Apramadav., v. 1.
- 8 [aho]<sup>5</sup>[ nako va sagami cavadhi vatita sara ativaka ti]<sup>6</sup>(tikhisa)<sup>7</sup> [drusilo hi bah]<sup>6</sup>o jano O (C<sup>ro</sup>, 31)
  - Cf. Dhammap., v. 320 † (Nāgav., v. 1):-

Aham nāgo va sarigāme cāpato patitam saram Ativākvam titikkhissam dassīlo hi bahujjano.

Frag. C vinto, l 2 Supplied by us. 3 Supplied by us 4 Frag. C, vto, 1. 4 Frag. C, xinto, 2. 6 Frag. C, vto, 2. 7 Supplied by us,—a tentative suggestion, such future forms being powhere u et wil h in the brakent text 4 Frag. C xxinto ]

Cf. Fa-kheu-pi-u, sec. xxxi. ("The Elephant"), p. 171:—

"I am like the fighting elephant, without any fear of the middle arrow (the arrow wounding the middle part).

By sincerity and truth I escape the unprincipled man (lawless man)".

Cf. Udānav., ch. XXIX. ("Day and Night"), v. 21 :-

"As the elephant in battle (is patient though) pierced by the arrows shot from the bows, so likewise be patient under the aukind words of the crowd".

The original Sanskrit of the Tibetan version, of which the above is an English rendering, is as follows (Yugavarga, ch. XXIX):—

Aham nāga īva sampr[āme].. [pa]titam šaram Ativākyum titiksāmi duļķilo hi mahājanah.

Cf. Manu, VI. 47:-

Ativādāmstifikseta nāvamanyeta kaficana Na cēmani deham āsītya vairani kurvīta kenacit.

Cf. Ajāramga Sutta, 11. 16. vv. 2-8:-

Tudamli väyähi abhiddavain nara Sarehi saingämagayain va kuthjarain

Titikkhae nani adutthecetasa.

Notes—The Prakrit verse and its Pāli, Ardhamāgadht and Sanskrit parallels, explaining one another, presuppose a common origin that leads us in the last resort to a popular Indian maxim. All these teach men to patiently bear up with the unkind words of the multitude, by the simile of an elephant in tattle, pierced by the arrows, remaining yet patient. Cavadhi=Pāli rāpato, Sk. cāpatah. We have nothing more to add to M. Senart's comment on this word than that the form with the final vowel i is partly due to an attempt to maintain rhythm with the preceding word with the same ending, wegas: Vatia=Pāli and

Sk. patitum. The change of the p to r seems to have been effected through an intermediate change of p to  $\theta$ . Ativaka = Pāli and Sk. ativākyam = ativādām (Manu). The form vaka presupposes an intermediate change of kya to kka through assimilation. Druśilo = Pāli dussīlo, Sk. duhsīlah. The derivation is through a false analogy with forms like drugati, v. 4, supra.

9 [(ya)¹sa acata drusilia malua va vitata² vani kuya su tadha]³ (a)⁴[tmana yadha na viṣamu ichati ○]⁵ (Cro, 32)

Cf. Dhammap., v. 162 † (Attav., v. 6):-

Yassa accanta dussilyadi māluvā sālam av otatam Karoti so tath attānam yathā tam icchatī diso.

Cf. Udānav., ch. xi. ("The Sramana"), v. 10 -

"He who, breaking all his vows, (is held) as is a Sala tree by a creeper, brings himself to that state to which his enemy would like to bring him".

Notes.—Druśilia = Pāli dussilyam, Sk. dauhšīlyam, 'malignity', 'iniquity'. The form is an instance of false analogy, cf. druśilo, v. 8. supra. Malua va vitata vani = Pāli māluvā va otata vane. The simile is less expressive or pointed than the Pāli māluvā sālam iv'olatam, but the Prakrit rani may be taken to stand for śaluvani. Here the imagery is that of a śāla forest overgrown by the intertwining and fast growing Māluvā creeper. M. Senart's reading malna vani lata vani gives the simple notion of the creeper rapidly growing in a forest, cf. tunhā vadāhati māluvā viya (Dhammap., v. 334). Kuya = Pāli kareyga, Sk. knryāt. Viṣamu = Pāli visamo, Sk. viṣamah, which may very well be used as a synonym of the Pāli diṣa, 'an enemy'.

Supplied by us \* M Senart reads var: lata. \* Frag O vio 3 Supplied by us Frag C xxxx 0 2

[yok,d. bh]1

a rathapina asañatu O2

(Cro., 33)

[ga 10]<sup>3</sup>

(Cro. 34)

(f. Dhammap., v. 308 † (Nirayavagga, v. 3) =Itivuttaka, p. 48 :—

Seyyo ayogulo bhutto tatto aggisikhupamo Yan ce bhunjeyya dussilo rattbapindam asannato

Cf. Udanav., ch. 1x. ("Deeds"), v. 2:-

"Better it would be that a man should eat a lump of flaming iron than that one who is unrestrained and who has broken his vows should live on the charity of the land".

Notes.—The Prakrit verse might be restored, in the light of the Päli verse and its parallel in the Udänavarga, as follows:—

> seho ayokudo bhuto tatut agisikhupamu ya ye bhujiya drusila rathapina asañato.

Ayokudo=Pāli ayoauļo, 'a (red-hot) hall of iron' We think the allusion is to a horrible form of punishment where the criminal was killed by a red-hot iron-hall thrust into his mouth. Bhujiya rathapina = Pāli hhnūjenya rathapina dain, 'that (a wicked mendicant) should live the charity of the land'; ef. saddhā-deyyāni bhojanāni bhutijitrā, Dīgha, 1. pp. 5-1?.

The chapter contar s 10 stanzas

### [7. Balavaga]

It is as difficult to suggest definitely a title for the present group as it was for the preceding one. But it appears that the group dilates chiefly upon the ways of the fool. It is, moreover, quite relevant that, after having dwelt upon the conduct of the wise in the foregoing chapter, some idea should be given of a fool and his manners. Whatever its appropriate name, the group as we have it in the extant Kharoschi Ms., is not complete; only 8 stanzas are preserved. The Bālavagga of the Pāli Dhammapada (ch. 5) consists of 16 stanzas, while the corresponding group in the Fa-kheu-king (sec. XIII.) contains \$\pmu 1\$. This group forms the 13th chapter of the Udānavarga and has 16 verses.

l ida ja mi keca ida ji karia ida kari \_\_\_\_\_... vinamana abhimadati muca??¹ sasoa O² (Cro, 35)

## Cf. Mahābhārata, XII. 175. 20:-

ldani krtanı idan käryan idan anyat krtâkrtan Evamîhäsukhâsaktan krtanta kurute vase.

Notes.—No parallel of this verse have we been able to trace either in Pali or in Sanskrit. M. Senart thinks that what remains of it would give in Sanskrit:—

ldaŭ en me krtyam idañ ca kāryam idam k z vindamāno, bhimardati mrtyu... sasokam.

We have nothing to say against this sanskritization except that M. Senart has completely lost sight of the spirit of the Prakrit stanza. It teaches that death overpowers the fool

We have tentatively interpreted it as mucu. But M. Senart's muca, followed by two doubtful letters, tempts us at the same time to a reading muca(ti) (na) sa soa=Pali muñcati na sa sokā, for a similar construction of so duha na parimucati (I. cro. 42). This would, however, leave abhimadati unconstrued.

\* The circle is supplied by us.

who overestimates his own undertaking. This contention is borne out by the word vinamana, which, as we take it, is an accusative singular form = Pāli riodamānam. In determining the real purpose of the verse we have to fall upon other verses of the group, most of which are meant to bring out the thought and conduct of a fool. We might restore it, in the light of its Sanskrit parallel from the Mahābhārata, as follows:

ida ja mi keca ida ji karia ida kari miti) (sulm) vinamana abbimadati mucu (balu) sasoa 🔘

If this restoration be correct, it is clear that the teaching of this verse is in spirit the same as that of—

- (i) Dhammap, verse 74:-
- "Mam' eva katurb mažišantu gihi pabbajitā ubho Mam' evātivasā assu kievākiecesu kismici, Hi bālassu snikappo, seebā māno en vaddhati "
- and (2) Udšnav., verse I, ch. viii. . .
  - "Lut both priests and laymen, whosnever they may be, imagine that it is I cwho laive done it; in whatever is to be done or not done, let them be subject to me such is the mind of the feed, and his desires greatly increase."

The reflections such as those embodied in the above verse, may be supposed to have been the outcome of moralising upon the tragic end of persons like Devadatta, who was so very anxious to make his influence strongly felt among others.

2 Pdha vaşa karişamu Edha h.matagi

(Cir., 36)

(f. Dhammap., v. 256 i (Maggav., v. 14):-

Idha-wassam sămi idha həmantagimhisi Iti bilo vicintet antarayam na bujibat (f. Vdanav., ch. 1. ("Impermanency"), v. 38;--

"This (abode) will do for winter and (this) for summer", thus pondors the rapidly decaying fool who has not seen the danger".

Notes.—The Prakrit verse might be restored, in the light of the Pali gatha and its parallel in the Udanavarga, as follows:—

idha yaşa karişamu idha hematagısmısu: iti balu vicileti ataraya na bujhati 🔿

We have reason to think that there is an allusion in this verse to the frivolous life of the rich who spent their time in the company of women, four months in the rainy abode, four months in the winter and four months in the summer—as described in the Vinaya Mahavagga (Vin. I. p. 15). The sentiments displayed in the verse are very natural to the recluses who were the avowed champions of morality and self-control.

Vaşa karişamu—the same in meaning as the Pāli rassam rususāmi. The Prakrit expression is a later idiom, associated with the idea of the Buddhist Lent ("catumāsam rassam rasissāmi"—Dhammapada-Comy.).

3 ta putrapasusamadha

[sutu ga]\*\_ ....

(Cin, 37)

Ci. Dhanimap., v. 187 † (Maggav., v. 15) :-

Tam puttapasusammattam byāsattamanasam navam Subtam gāmam mahogho va Maccu ādāya gacchati.

Also, himitagimisis.
Frey: O xxxxvv not adjusted by M Schael He reads strugg

(f. Fa-kheu-pi-u, sec. xxviii. ("The Way"), p. 157 :--

"Men concern themselves about the nature of wife and child; they perroise not the mestable has of disease (and death), and the end of life which quickly comes as a bursting forcem test ching all before it, in a moment"

#### Cf. Mahābhārata, \11. 175, 18 .--

Tam putrapašusampannani vyāsaktamāmsani nacati Suptam vyāgluo mygam ava mytyur ādāya gacciari.

Notes.—The Prakrit verse might be restored as follows :--

ta putrapasusamadha (biasatamana-a' naru\*) sutu ga(mu mahoho va mucu adaya gachati ())

In the foregoing two verses the term 'fool' is applied to the Bhikkhus and princes who are ambitious for loadship over others, and to the rich who lead a fravolous life, while in the present verse a case is made out against the householders in general who are unable to pursue the higher aspirations of human life on account of their excessive attachment to their wife, children and wealth. 'T. " Inktho gharavāso, abbhokāso pabhajjā", painful is household life, free is the life of renunciation. Thus a sharp distinction is drawn between the two modes of human life, and the contrast is beautifully brought out in the Dhaniya Sutta (Suttanipāta, No. 2). In the Prakrit verse, however, only the miserable life of the householder is dwelt upon, as also in the Dhammapada verse 62:—

'Putta m'atthi dhanam m'atthi 'iti balo vihaññati.

Samadha = Pāli sammattan, 'maddened'. The change of the into dhe presupposes an intermediate change of the toth. See Puşavaga, v. 15, notes on sagnitha (p. 154). Sutu gamu mahoho va = Pāli suttan gāmata mahoho va, 'like a great flood (sweeping away) a sleeping village'. The simile is perhaps the outcome of a knowledge of the torrential river-floods to which the people of the l'unjab and Bengal are a victim.

puve i kica parijaga [(ma ta)1 kici2 kicakali adea ta tadiśa parika i makicakari no ikica kica (k) ali adea  $^{8}O^{7}$ 

(Cro, 38; see also p. 96)

Cf. Samuddavānijajātaka, No. 466 † (Fausböll's Jataka, IV. p. 166) :-

Anāgatum patikavirātha kiecam, mā mam kiecam kiccakāle vyadhesi, Tam tādisam patikatakiecakārim, na tam kiccam kiccakāle vyadheti.

- Notes.—This verse, as also the next, condemns prograstination and arges immediate action-one of the salient features of early Buddhism. Puve i=Pali pubbe hi, 'beforehand'; this meaning is otherwise expressed in Pali by anagatam putikayiratha, explained in the Jataka-Comy, as puretarain kareyya. Parikamakicakari = Pāli patikatakiccakārī, one doing his duty beforehand' (patigacch'eva kattabbakiccakārī, Jätaka-Comy.).
- ya puvi karaniani [pacha şakaru<sup>8</sup> ichati 5 atha dubakati balu] suhatu parihayati] O11 (Cro, 39)

Therag. vv. 225, 261:-Cf

Yo pubbo karamyāni pacchā so kātum icchati Sukhā so dhamsate thānā pacchā ca-m-anutappati.

<sup>\*</sup> Supplied by us following M. Schart's reconstruction on p. 96. 2 M. Senant understands it as bica after the Pali parallel (see his Postscript on p. 96)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> M. Senari at first read tata disa (see p. 67).

<sup>5</sup> This reading is adopted after the Pali parallel, and M. Senart understands it as such. The original reading in his edition is kicakici ali.

<sup>6</sup> Prag C XXX<sup>70</sup> I

<sup>7</sup> The circle is supplied by us.

<sup>\*</sup> Frag ( XXXTO 1 Frag U xxvnine 2

<sup>\*</sup> Con I t not be real pakatu The oxole is supplied by us, o Frag O XXXIIO o

Cf. Fausholl's dataka, I. p. 319, v. 70 ...

Yo public kiraniyani purcha so katum uchigi Veranikaythi,bliniye va su prirelizenisaninapha<sub>ti</sub>

Notes.-The Prakrit verse may be read in Pali:-

Yo puhbe kuraniyām parcha sakkātum iccl<sub>utij</sub> Atha duppukati bālo sukhato parihāyati

Sakaru or sakatu ichati = Pali sakkātum (i.e. kālum) techati, 'wishes to get (them) well done the same meaning might be made out of M. Semare think pretation, which is sakaru = saukara(m). Dubaketi think duppakati, Sk. dusprakrti, 'ill-naturet'. For the kati pali suhatu parihayati ef. to sakkā as cihāvati thression (Therag.

かまでを経れて、 ようと

6 akita kuki(ta)' [şehu pacha tavati drukita kita nu sukita seh]'(u)' [ya kitva manutapa(ji )' (Co., 40)

Cf. Dhammap., v. 314 T (Nirayay., 29)
=Saihyutta, I. p. 49:-- v. 9)

Akatam dukkatam seyyo pacchā tapati dukkatam Katam ca sukatam seyyo yam katvā nānutappati

> Cf. Udānav., verv., v. 58 (\* B 41), Pischel's edition):— in Prof.

Akrtam kukrtāc chreya(h) pašcāt tapati duşk<sub>Ptan</sub>. Socate duşkītam krtvā šocate durg**at**im gatah,

Notes.—Drukita = Pali dukkatara, Sk. duskrtara, an instance of false analogy, cf. drušita. Panitav., vv. 8, u. an instance kind of phonetic change is due evidently to Thus influence.

Supplied by us.

1 Frag. C. xxviire, 3.

2 M. Senert entertains doubt about the letter pe, and he had by us.

1 after it (see p. 69).

2 Frag. C. xxxviire, 3.

3 The circle is supplied by the query

7 asava tesa radhati ara te asavacha.....

(Cm, 41)

Cf. Dhammap., v. 253 † (Malavagga, v. 19) -

Paravejjānupassissa niremir aj jhā msahnimo Āsavā tassa vaddhenti ārā so āsavakkhayā.

Notes.—We have nothing to say against M. Semme the observations except that we need not suppose that a stoke of padas, or the thought, was collected into a single standathe l'ali text. We have another instance where the remainer of the Pāli gāthā are inverted in the Prakrit (see Jarana, v. 16, infra). However, in the absence of the remainer the middle line, if there was any, and for want of a parall of three-lined stanza in any other work, one would justified in restoring the Prakrit verse, in the night of the Dhammap, v. 253, as follows:—

asava tesa vadhati ara te asavacha(ya) (paravejampasina mica ujhanasamua 🔘)

8 yesa tu susamaradha nica kayakata sma 📜

satana sabrayanana taşa ?

((10\_ 42-43))

Cf. Dhammap., v. 293 + (Pakiumlexav. v. )

Yesañ ea sasamêradillê niceañ kêpagatê sati Akicean te na sevanti kicea sêtacakêrino Satanañ sampajênênam attham gwedanti êsa S.

(" I'dinas xxx ( The Mad ) , 56 (") -

上の このないないとれたのはないなる。

Yo public kwang ku puceba so katun redun. Vermulatibablings vica pacebasisanintangati

Notes .- The Prakrit verse may be read in Pali :--

Yo pabbo karagiyda, paccha sakkātum iechuii Atha dappakati, bālo sakhato parihāyati

Sakaru or şakatu ichati = Pāli sakkātum (i.e., sot-kātum) wehati, 'wishes to get (them) well done'. We think the same meaning might be made out of M. Senart's interpretation, which is şakaru = sankara(m). Dubakati = Pāli duppakati, Sk. dusprakrti, 'ill-natured'. For the expression suhatu parihayati of. - sakhā na rihāyati (Therag. v. 232).

6 akita kukitta) [şehu pacha tavati drukita kita nu sukita seh] (u) [ya kitva nanutapati] 0 (Co., 40)

Cf. Dhammap., v. 314 † (Nirayav., v. 9) = Sarhyutta, I. p. 49 :--

Akatam dukkatam seyyo paccha tapan dukkatam Katan ca sukatam seyyo yam katva nanutappati.

> Cf. Udānav., XXIX., v. 53 ("B 41" in Prof. Pischel's edition):—

Akrtam kukrtāc chreya'h) pašcāt tapati duşkrtam Šocate duşkrtam krivā šocate durgatim gatah.

Notes.—Drukita = Pāh dukkalari, Sk. duşkrtari, an instance of false analogy, cf. drukila, Panitav, vv. 8, 9, ante. This kind of phonetic change is due evidently to Iranian influence.

Trag O XXX 0 3 The cure e is supplied by us

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Supplied by us. <sup>9</sup> Frag. C. XXVIIIe, 3, <sup>2</sup> Supplied by us. M Fenart entertains doubt about the ett r pr and he puts a query after t (see p. 69

7 asava tesa vadhati ara te asavacha.

(Cro, 41)

Cf. Dhammap., v. 253 † (Malavagga, v. 19) :-

Paravejjānupassissa niecam ujjhānasaññino Āsavā tassa vaḍḍhanti ārā so āsavakkhayā.

Notes.—We have nothing to say against M. Senart's observations except that we need not suppose that a śloku of six pādas, or the thought, was collected into a single stanza in the l'āli text. We have another instance where the pādas of the Pāli gāthā are inverted in the Prakrit (see Jaravaga, v. 16, infra). However, in the absence of the remains of the middle line, if there was any, and for want of a parallel of three-lined stanza in any other work, one would be justified in restoring the Prakrit verse, in the light of the Dhammap., v. 253, as follows:—

asava tesa vadhati ara te asavacha(ya) (paravejanupasina<sup>1</sup> nica ujhanasañina 🔘)

8 yesa tu susamaradha nica kayakata sma

satana sahrayanana tasa F

(Cro, 42-43)

Cf. Dhammap., v. 293 † (Pakimakav. v. 4) =Therag. v. 636 :—

Yesañ ca susamâraddhă niccam kāyagatā satī Akicem te na sevanti kicce sātaccakārino Satānam sampajānānam attham gaccbanti āsavā.

Cf. Udanav., XXI. ("The Mind"), v. 56 (?) .-

"He whose attentive mind delights in the truth and adheres to the (four) truths, he always walks in the way with his hody, he is safe in speech and in mind, and, casting off sorrow, he will experience no more suffering".

Notes.—This verse with which the chapter, as it survives in the Kharosthi Ms., is broken off, emphasizes the necessity for constant meditation on the transitoriness of the body. Thus it introduces us to the teaching of the Jaravaga, but in the absence of a complete and unimpaired Ms. it cannot be said with certainty whether the Jaravaga formed a sequel to the present chapter. The Prakrit verse might be restored, in the light of its Pāli counterpart, as follows:—

yesa tu susamaradha nica kayakuta sma(ti) (akica te na sevati kici satacakarino) satana sabrayamana taşa (gachati parichaya ○)¹

Taşa = Pāli tusinā (taṇhā), Sk. tṛṣā (tṛṣṇā), thirst, desire. The Prakrit form keeps closer to Sanskrit. M. Senart considers it to be a mistake for teşa.

The colophon indicating the total number of verses contained in this chapter is missing, and we cannot say if there were more verses after this.

### [8. Jaravaga]

A few chapters appear to be missing from the extant

Kharosthi Ms. between the Balu and Jara groups. The Jara group, as may be judged from the colophon "ga 25" (I. etc., 23), contained 25 stanzas of which 2 are missing (vv. 1-2). The same group forms the 11th chapter of the Pāli Dhammapada and consists only of 11 verses, of which 4 are contained in our Jaravaga. The Prakrit group contains 2 verses which are to be found in chaps. III. (Citta) and vaiv. (Tanhā) of the Pāli text. The remaining verses are collected from various canonical sources, such as the Samyutta Nikāya, the Sutta-nipāta, the Thera-Theragāthā and the Jātaka. The first chapter of the Fa-kheu-king, which deals with Impermanence', contains 21 verses, of which two occur in the Prakrit Jaravaga and one in the Pāli chapter. Section xiv. of the Chinese recension hears the title Jarāvagga and contains 14 verses, of which one (v. 1) is to

be found in both the Pali and Prakrit chapters. The Udanavarga has no separate chapter on 'Old Age', but treats of 'Impermanence'

<sup>1</sup> It will be noticed that the 6th foot of the Pinkit verse differs from that of the PSI the restoration has bee suggested in limits of the Dhammapada Comy where a harr pa killingum

and 'Old Age' in its first chapter, which contains 41 verses. The Udānavarga and the Prakrit text have many verses in common. Of the Udāna verses 13 are to be found in the Pāli text, four in the Jarā group and the rest in other groups. Fausböll has in his excellent edition of the Dhammapada noticed a few ślokas in the Manu-samhitā, the Mahābhārata and the Rāmāyaṇa, containing ascetic reflections on 'Impermanence', similar to those in the Dhammapada vv. 148 and 150.

31 ?. ?. ?re² athu ?

3 35

(Cvo, 1)

Cf. Samyutta, V., p. 217:-

Dhītam jammī jare atthu dubbanņakaraņī jare Tāva manoramam vimbam jarāya abhimadditam.

(T. Fa-kheu-pi-u, sec. 1. ("Impermanency"), p. 43:—

" Old age brings with it loss of all bodily attraction".

Cf. Udānav., ch. 1. ("Impermanency"), v. 30:-

"Thou art foolish and despicable, and dost not that which is right; for that body (rupa) in which thou delightest will be the cause of thy ruin".

Notes.—M. Senart was able to read only ra athu of the first line. In his text the sign "?" indicates that there are faint traces of characters, and the bold dots mean that so many letters are completely missing. None but those who have ever seriously attempted the identification of a verse, so hopelessly mutilated as the one under discussion, can realise how difficult a task it is to find out a parallel in Pāli or in Buddhist Sanskrit. It was indeed by accident that we after repeated searches chanced upon two verses in the Samyutta,

<sup>2</sup> M. Senart reads ra with the preceding query (standing for a doubtful character) put apart. We read the word in the light of the Pāli parallel, jare.

There are 23 verses surviving altogether in this chapter and they occur without break, but the colophon records the total number as 25; consequently, two verses are missing towards the beginning.

corresponding to this verse and the next one. Supposing that the Prakrit verse is on the whole similar to the Pali, it might be reconstructed as follows:—

(dhitu jami ja)re athu (dravanakaranii jare taya manoramu vibai jarayai ahhimarditai 🔘)

4 yo vi varşasata jivi so vi mucuparayano na kiji pari .....

 $(C^{i,a}, 2)$ 

Cf. Samyutta, V., p. 217 -

yo pi vassasatain jive so pi maceuparāyaņo na kinci parivajjeti sabbam evābbimaddati.

Cf. Udānav., ch. t. ("Impermanency"), v. 31 :-

"One may live a hundred years, yet he is subject to the lord of death; one may reach old age, or else he is carried off by disease"."

Notes.—The Prakrit verse might, perhaps, be reconstructed as follows:—

yo vi yarşaşata jivi so vi mucuparayano na kiji parivajeti' saryam evabhimardati ()

Mucuparayano = Pāli maccuparāyan, Sk. mṛtyuparāyanah, 'subject to death'. In many instances Pāli words seem to obey the grammatical rules governing the changes of u into u, while the Prakrit of our text, as appears

<sup>1</sup> Also, druvramakaram.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The form is to be taken tentatively. We may as well read rima on an approximate likeness with gamira Pali gambhirn (I. n. 6, p. 27).

Also juras; of. praŭaya praŭar (Magavaga, vv. 27, 28, p. 111).
 Of. jasa aubhimardati (Apramadayaga, v. 2, p. 121).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> M. Senart reads bhaje, which is evidently incorrect. We read hi for bh, consistently with the Pali counterpart. The appearance of the character is much like the peculiar stroke of bh, which M. Senart has taken so much pains to establish in other instances, (see I. A., I, note, pp. 5-6). The stroke over the ja justifies the reading ji instead of je.

The second half of Rockhill's translation seen a open to dispute.

Of paritajetta (A v v 18, 1 198

from M. Senart's readings, is characterised by the absence of the cerebral nasal. Kiji = Pāli kiāci, Sk. kiācit. M. Senart reads bhaje, which gives no meaning. We have an alternative form of kiji in kici (Suhavaga, v. 13, in/ra) which stands closer to Pali kinci.

parijinamida ruvu roanida [prabhaguno 5 bhensiti p.til<sup>2</sup> . . .

(CTo, 3)

Dhammap., v. 148 † (Jarāv., v. 3):-

Parijinnam idam rupam roganiddam pabhangunam Bhijjati pütisandeho maranantam hi jivitam.

- Cf. Fa-khen-pi-u, sec. xix. ("Old Age"), p. 118.—
- "When old, then its beauty fades away; in sickness, what paleness and leanness-the skin wrinkled, the flesh withered, death and life both conjoined".
  - Cf. Udānav., ch. 1. ("Impermanency"), v. 35:--
- "The end of life is death, this body bent down by age. this receptacle of disease, is rapidly wasting away; this mass of corruption will soon be destroyed".
- Notes.—The Prakrit verse, supposing that it is on the whole similar to the Pali, as the portion which survives indicates. might be completed and read as follows :-

parijinamida ruvu roanida prabhaguno bhensiti puti(sanehos maranata hi jiritus ())

-This verse cannot be traced in any other canonical texts. though reflections similar to those contained in it are met with throughout the texts of the Sutta Pitaka.

M. Separt reads parijinam ida.

<sup>2</sup> Frag. C. xxxii vo, M. Senart reads probhaguno. For n=Pali nd, cf. nivmati (Magav., vv. 27-29, pp. 111-112)

Also prita

Fausböll has drawn his readers' attention to similar reflections in the Manu, VI. 77, the Rāmāyana, II. 105, V. 14, and the Mahābhārata, XI. vv. 18, 207 and XII. v. 829 One will look in vain through the older Dharmasūtras for such pessimistic reflections on the destructive nature. The Manu and Visnu codes in their present form are reeasts of a time when a Stoic mode of life was so firmly established among the asceties and recluses as to find its way into the naive positivism of the juristic thinker. We need not be surprised to come across these reflections in the Hindu Epies, which mainly uphold and idealise the systems of the Smritis, because the chie kernels which survive in the shape of ballads in the canonical Jataka Book and the Upakhyānas of the Mahahhārata bristle with them. As will be shown below, some of the important Jura-verses of the Prakrit text are to be found in the Dasaratha Jūtaka, a Buddhist version of the older Rāmastory which, like the version in the Mahabharata, was primarily intended to exhort people to keep up their spirits in the midst of trials and bereavements, considering that these are inevitable experiences of mankind.

Parijinamida = Pāli pariji pinam, idain, an instance of vowel-sandhi (parijim + ida), the intervening m having developed to prevent hialus. Parijina or 'wasted' is virtually the same in meaning as jara-soka-samaristam ('permeated with decay and sorrow') of Manu VI. 77. It will be noticed that the Prakrit form stands close to the Pali, but the latter fulfils the grammatical rules about the changes of n into n, which the Prakrit does not. Roanida = Pāli roganiddam, a compound, meaning 'the abode of diseases' (raganam nivesanutthanum, Dhammapada-Comy; cf. Manu, VI. 77: rogdyatanam). It is clear from this that the Buddhist commentator is inclined to identify nidda with nida or nidya, 'nest'. Roa is an alternative form of roku (see Suhavaga, v. 2, infra). Prabhaguna = Pāli pabhangunnin or pabhangurani, Sk. prabhangurani, a compound, meaning 'that which is frail or fragile'; ef. aturam in Manu, VI. 77, and Dhammapada, v. 147. The final letter un instead of ra makes the word deviate from Sanskrit and keep closer to the Pāli. For bhensiti, see M. Senart's notes (pp. 70-71). Putisaneho = Pali putisandeho, a compound, meaning 'the body which is stinking' (pūtiko samāno tadeva deho. Dhammapada-Comy.: cf. urūkāyum. Dhammapada v 147 pulikayami Comy rajawalan, Manu VI 17)

Maranata hi jivitu = Pāli marananam hi jīvitam, Sk. maranantam hi jīvitam (cf. Divyāvadāna, p. 100; Mahāvastu, III. p. 183; Rāmāyana, II. 105. v. 14; Udānavarga, I. v. 22: Fa-kheu-king, I. p. 41; Vasubandhu's Gāthāsangraha, v. 23). This corresponds to the expressions n'atthi dhuram thiti (Dhammap., v. 147), antyan (Manu, VI, 77), and jātā jūtā marantidha evandhammā hi pānino (Therag., v. 553). Note how this idea of life ending with death is expanded in a verse incorporated in the Rāmāyana, II. 105, st. 16 and the Divyāvadāna, p. 100:—

Sarve kşayântā nicayāḥ patanântās samucchrayāḥ Sanhyoga-viprayogântā maranântam ca jīvitam.

Life and death are one of the three pairs of constant and opposed phenomena whereby Makkhali Gosāla, the third great leader of the Ajīvikas, characterised the organic world, the two remaining pairs being those of gain and loss, and pleasure and pain (lāhhain, alāhhain, suhain, dukhain, jīreņain, maraṇain). The Buddha conceived of eight principles (attha loka-dhammā), divisible into four pairs. lāhho, ulāhho, yaso, ayaso, nindā, pasainsā, sukhain, dukhhain, to which was afterwards added another pair riz., jīritain, maraṇain, as can be seen from the Theragāthā, vv. 664-670. All these come under Pakudha Kaccāyana's two principles, sukhe, dukhe (Dīgha, I. p. 56).

6 ko nu h ? an.kar.: prachiti pra]<sup>1</sup> [lite sati

(C<sup>ro</sup>, 4)

Cf. Dhammap., v. 116 † (Jarāvagga, v. 1)=Jāt. V. p. 11, ll. 25-26 (Kumbhajātaka, No. 512).

Ko nu häso kim änando niccam pajjahte sati. Andhakārena omddhā padīpam na gavessatha

Of. Fa-khen-pi-u, sec. xix. ("Old Age"), p. 117 :-

"What (room for) much, what (room for) laughter, remembering the everlasting burning (or fire). Surely this dark and dreary (world) is not fit for one to seek security and rost in".

D Barus's paper of the Ajivikas, 'our of the Dept of Lotters Ca atta in resity 192 Vol 25

#### Cf. Mahavastu, III., p. 376: -

Kā nu krīdā kā nu ratī evam prajvalīte sadā Andhakārasmim praksiptā pradīpam nu gavesatha Ko nu harso ko nu ānando evam prajvalīte sadā Andhakārasmim praksiptā ālokam na prakāšaya.

## Cf. Udanav., ch. t. ("Impermanency"), v. 4:-

"To one who is being burnt, what joy can there be, what subject of rejoicing? Ye who dwell in the midst of darkness, why seek ye not a light?"

Notes.—The Prakrit verse might be completed and read as follows:—

ko nu hiaso kimanano' mca praja)lite sati anakarasmi\* prachiti\* praidipa na gaveșalua\* ()

This verse cannot be traced in any canonical text other than the Dhammapada. The Prakrit text substitutes prachiti in the 2nd line for Pāli onaddhā. The reading of the Udānavarga seems to have been analogous to that of the Pāli text. The exhortation of this verse is no more than a poetical summary of the teaching of such Fire-sermons as (1) the Adittapariyāva-sutta (Vinaya Mahāvagga, pp. 66-67) on the basis of which the Gokulikas, or better, the Kukkulikas are said to have formulated a doctrine of 'universal pessimism' (Kathāvatthu, I. 7, with Comy., and Preface to the "Points of Controversy"), and (2) the Aggikkhandhūpama-sutta which, according to the Ceylonese chronicles (see Mahāvamsa, XII. 34), Asoka's Indo-Bactrian missionary, Dhammarakkhita had made the principal text of his sermon to the people of Aparanta The Prakrit verse seems to be older than the two verses in the Mahāvastu which appear to have been quoted from an older Sanskrit recension of the Dhammapada.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cf. merinati (Magne, vt. 27-29, pp. 111-112).

<sup>\*</sup> Following the Mahkvastu. Also, anakarena or andhakarena ; ef bandhana in 1. s. 52.

The reading prachiti is permissible, if it is a case of locative absolute CI bhacatha, I A S. Also gavigadha cf. bhodas, in I A 7

Prajalite sati = Pāli pajjulite sati, locative absolute, meaning 'while the world is burning (with passions and other painful mental qualities)'. The Mahāvastu ieads prajvulite sadā. Anakarena prachiti would strictly correspond to a Pāli andhakārerna pakkhitie, an expression which is the same in meaning as andhakārena onaddhā. It seems that andhakāre pakkhitlā is more grammatical than andhakārena; cf. rattikhitlā (Dhammap, v. 304) = andhakāre khitlā (Comy.); andhakārasmin praksiptā (Mahāvastu).

7 yameva¹ padhama rati gabhi vasati² manavo avithi³ [ti so gachu na nivatati ○]⁴

(Cvo, 5)

Cf. Ayogharajātaka, No. 510 (Fausböll, IV. p. 494):—

Yam okarattim pathamam gabbhe vasati mānavo Abbh' utihito va sayati sa gaccham na nivattati.

Cf. Udanav., ch. 1. ("Impermanency"), v. 6:-

"One who has heretofore been subject to the misery of birth from the womb may go to the highest place and come no more back again (into the word)."

Notes.—The Prakrit verse might be completed and read as follows:—

yameva (or, eka) padhama rati gabhi vasati manavo avithi(to va saya)ti so gachu na nivatati O

Yameva = Pāli yam evam, a vowel-sandhi (ya+eva); ef. parijinamida, v. 5 supra. The expression yam eva padhama rati = Pāli yam eva pathamam ratim, 'the

M. Separt reads are the put apart.
Frag C xv 1 n 1 not adjusted by M Separt.

M. Senart's yam see have been joined together here on account of sandhi.

M. Senart reads gabhirasati, which is hardly correct; gabhirasati would be Pali gambhirasati, which gives no sense. But, we have in Prakrit gamira for gambhira, cf. 1. B. 6 (p. 27).

very first night", is somewhat different from the Pali yam ekarattine pathemane, 'the one night when for the first time, but the Prakrit reading gives a better sense. According to the commentary, the appropriateness of the word rulli lies in the fact that men are generally conceived in the mother's womb at night, though, as a matter of fact, ratti includes both day and night. Avithito = Pali abbli atthito, a vowel-sandhi (uvi + uthito), ari standing for Pali abhi. For r=bh, ef. abhirnaya  $(=abhrbh\bar{u}ya)$ , 1, B. 30, 31 (p. 38). The Comy, suggests abbho + atthito, and explains abbho as meaning a piece of cloud, which, however, seems a mere etymological conjecture. Abbh'utthito may simply be equated with Sk. abhyutthitah. Accordingly, abbhutthito ra sayati may be rendered 'he lies down as if being upborne'. According to the Comy, the general sense of the verse is: "Just as a piece of cloud having arisen, comes into existence, moves about being chased by the wind, in the same way a man since his first conception in the womb undergoes successive stages of gestation and development in such a manner that he cannot retrace the steps that have already been undergone". The developmental stages of man mentioned in the Comy, mando, khidda, etc., remind one of Gosala's eight stages, mandalıhümi, kluddalıhümi, etc (see Sumangala-vilasini, L p. 163).

8 yasa rativivasina ayu apataro¹ sia apodake [va mansana ki teşa nu² kumalaka³ ○]⁴

Ņ

(Cvo, 6)

Cf. Mūgapakkhajātaka (No. 538, illustrated by a carving on the railing of the Bharaut Stūpa), Fausböll, VI. p. 26:—

Yassa ratyž vivasane žyuni appatarum siyž Appodake va maceližnam kin nu komžrakam tahim.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> M. Senart reads aparato, which gives no sense unless it be supposed that rato is a change by Metathesis from ture or that the reading is kate. The Pali parallel being 'taram, it is clear that the Prakrit aparato cannot but be due to a mistake of the scribe, who has inverted the reading.

M. Senart reads simply u

M. Senart reads ukumsland our mading is tentative
Frag C xv vo 2 not ad usted by M. Senart.

## Cf. Fa-kheu-pi-u, sec t. ("Impermanency"), p. 40:--

"Every day and night takes from the little space given to each one born; there is the gradual decay of a few years and all is gone, as the waters of a pool are cut off (or exhausted)".

## Cf. Udānav., ch. 1. ("Impermanency"), v. 34:-

"Man is like a fish in a shallow pool of water; day and night this life is passing away; what subject of rejoicing is there in so brief a thing?"

#### Cf. Mahābhārata, XII., 175, 11, 12:-

Rātryām rātryām vyatītāyām āyur alpataram yadā Tadaiva vandhyam divasam iti vindyād vicakṣaṇaḥ Gādhodake matsya iva sukham vindeta kas tadā Anavāpteṣu kāmeṣu mṛṭyur abhyeti mānavam.

es.—Rativivasina = Pāli rattivirāsena, Sk. rātrivivāsena (if M. Senart's reading is correct). In order to equate with the Pali ratga rivasane, the Prakrit reading must be either rativivasani or ratirivasane. Apataro=Pali appataram. 'less', 'lesser'. M. Senart's reading aparato conveys no sense. Mańsana - Pali macchanagi, Sk. mateyanam, of fishes '. For its = 184, of. bherisite (Sk. bhetsyate), Jaravaga, v. 5 (p. 189), and M. Senart's notes under Cvo, 3 (pp. 70-71). Tesa = Pāli tesam, Sk. tesām, and is closer to Sanskrit on account of the s. The correlative of yasa is tusa, but here tesa The Pali reading is tohim, a appears to refer to the fishes. locative form of turn, meaning 'there', 'in that'; of. the Udanav, expression "there, in so brief a thing". Kumalaka or komalaka or komaraka = Pāli komārakam, Sk. kaumāryam =inrunabharo, (Jātaka-Comy.) 'yonth', 'young' age'. With regard to his reading ukumulana, M. Senart suggests that it might be equated with Sk. oka-unmulan vin, "the destruction of their abode". He also points out that if the form only were taken into account, one would think at once of a form ulka-unmulunu, which, he says, is a form of speech, Neither oka-unmulana nor ulkaummulana very little likely. can fit well with the meaning of the clause ki tega Rockhill's translation of the Tibetan version of ete. the Udanavarga, which is at best tentative, connects the idea of mirth with this clause-" What subject of rejoicing is there in so brief a thing "The idea of rejoicing can very well be associated with komārakem. Supposing that the reading ukumulann is on the whole correct and that it has reference to fishes, it might be slightly altered into ukumujana and equated with a Pāh okā umunjjanam, jumping out of water' (cf. Suppārakajātaka No. 463, Paushöll, IV. p. 139; maechā....udake umunjjanimujam karontr).

9 ye vudha<sup>1</sup> ye yu<sup>2</sup> dahara ye ca majhima poruşa anupa <sup>2</sup> [lapaka va banana]<sup>3</sup> O (C<sup>10</sup>, 7)

(0,1)

For the first half, cf. Vessantarajātaka, No. 547 (Fausböll, Vl. p. 572), first line of verse 642:—

Ye ca vuddhā ye ca daharā ye ca majjhimaporisā Mam ova upajīvoyyum, tatiy' etam varam vara.

> and Dasarathajātaka, No. 461 (Fausböll, IV. p. 127) :---

Dahară ca hi vuddhă ca ye bălă ye ca paudită Addhă c'eva daliddă ca sabbe maccupurăyuus.

Cf. Ayogharajātaka, No. 510 (Fausböll, IV. p. 495):—

Dumapphalān' eva patanti mānavā Daharā ca vuddhā ca sarīrabhedā Narīyo narā majjhimaporisā ca.

1, \* M. Senart reads hu dhayeuu, of which the first letter is written in such a way as to easily warrant a reading ou. In adjusting the reading of the first pads we have the advantage of the Pali parallels, which M. Senart could not discover.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Frag. C. xxiii\*",—not adjusted by M. Senart, who reads lapabhar abanana. We have reason to change his pubha into paka from a comparison with kije which he read incorrectly as bhaje (see v. 4 supra). For the last quarter-verse M. Senart has so nice maranate bhage C (see 190, 7, p. 72), but a careful examination of the shape and position of the fragment makes it clear that it fits into the main plate only when it is pushed a little below and thrust into the next line, i.e., Ove, 8—an arrangement which is established beyond doubt by the fact that there is an exact Pali counterpart to the Prakeit verse thus adjusted (q. v. ander v. 10 infra p. 198). Accordingly, the aforesaid quarter-verse has been a fitch to the Pracend of v. 1" and the gap thus reused as filled by Frag C xxi we with sufficient

Cf. Udānav., ch. I. ("Impermanency"), v. 10:-

'Some are old, and some are young, some are grown up by degrees they all do disappear, like ripe fruit falling"

es.—Almost the whole of the second line of the above verse is missing from the extant Kharosthi Ms. A detached fragment, marked xxxxx, contains the line-end of a certain verse belonging to Plate Cvo. This fragment with the line-end-lapabh rabunana O-needs adjustment, but we know of no verse of the plate under notice into which it may fit. M. Senart, too, has no suggestions to offer. Let us suppose for argument's sake that his reading is correct and see if any meaning can be made out of it. It admits of a two-fold construction: either (1) lapa bhavabanana = Pāli lapa bhava-bamhanam, 'cut off the ties of existence', or (2) la pabharabanana = Pāli—some word ending with la or ra plus pubhava-bandhanā, 'the ties spring from'. But these interpretations afford us no clue to the adjustment of the fragment. Two assumptions are possible: either (1) that it contains the line-end of one of the first two Jara-verses which are missing, a verse similar, perhaps, in thought to the following stanza (Jātaka, VI. p. 27):-

Tattha kā nandi kā khiḍḍā kā rati kā dhanesanā, Kira me puttehi dārehi, rāja mutto'smi bandhanā;

or (2) that it contains the line-end of the verse under discussion, in which latter case M. Senart's reading must be slightly altered as (pha)lapaka rabanana or (ta)lapaka rabanana. The reading phalapaka rabanana (= Pāli phalapakam rabanahamā) is suggested by the final words of the Udānavarga verse: "like ripe fruit falling", and tapakam labanana by the final words of a verse in the Pāli Udāna (1-1, 10): tālapakkam rabanahamā. This simile of a ripe fruit or palm falling from the bunch is quite in keeping with our verse. Accordingly, the Prakrit stanzamight be completed and read as follows:—

ye vudha ye ya dahara ye ca majhima poruşa anu(patati sarvı te ta)la paka va banana 🔾

-which will read in Pāli:-

Ye yuddhā ye ca daharā ye ca majjhimaporisā Ar upatar t-sal be te tālap ikkam - n bandhanā Yu is nothing but yn (=rd). It is obvious that yn has been influenced by rn of rndha as a result of the natural tendency to read two sets of three syllahus alike, viz. ye rn dha and ye yn da.

10 (ya)¹dha phalana pakana nica patanato [bhayo emu jatasa maca]²sa nica maranato bhayo ○³

(C10, 8)

Cf. Sallasutta (Suttanipāta, No. 34, Majjhima Nikāya), v. 576, Dasarathajātaka, No. 461 (Fausböll, IV. p. 127), and Mūgapakkhajātaka, No. 536 (Fausböll, VI. p. 28):—

Phulānam iva pakkānam niceam patanato bhayam Evan jātānam maceānam niceam maranato bhayam.

Cf. Udānav., ch. 1. ("Impermanency"), v. 11:-

"As the ripe fruit is always tilled with the dread of falling, so likewise he who has been born is filled with the fear of death".

Cf. Ramayana, H. 105. v. 17:--

Yathā phalānām pakvānām nûnyatra patanād bhayam Evam narasya jātasya nânyatra maraņād bhayam.

Notes.—The simile and main idea of this verse is tacitly implied in that of the foregoing one. Its intrinsic value and historical significance lies indeed in the fact that it stands in form undway between the verse in the Dasarathajätaka and that in the Rāmāyana. As in the Sanskrit epic, the Prakrit verse begins with yadha=Pāli and Sk. yathā, while the simile is indicated in Pāli by the particle iva, put after phalānam In both the epic and the Prakrit

<sup>1</sup> Supplied by us.

text we have a genitive singular form, jāta—jata, while in Pāh the form is that of a genitive plural. The occurrence of identical and similar reflections in the Dasarathajātaka and the Rāmāyaṇa goes to prove that the nariative of the Sanskrit epie was woven out of an older Rāma-story, which is preserved in ballad forms in the aforementioned Jātaka, the Mahābhārata, and in the first canto of the Rāmāyaṇa itself. Although the epic narrative has far outgrown its original and completely changed its moral, one may notice that the original story with its morals still lurks within its four corners.

Emu = Pāli and Sk. evam. The change of v into m is a peculiarity of the Prakrit of our text, but the form eva is not rare (see v. 14 infra).

emu jara ya mucu]' ya ayu payeti panina O<sup>2</sup>
(C<sup>vo</sup>, 8)

(H. Dhammap., v. 135 (Dandavagga, v. ?):-

"Yathā daṇḍena gopālo gāvo pāceti gocaram Evam jarā ca macca ca āyu pācenti" pāṇinam".

Cf. Fa-kheu-pi-u, sec. I. ("Impermanency"), p. 39:--

"As a man with his staff in his hand goes along tending and pasturing the cattle, so are old age and death, they also watch over the life that perishes."

Cf. Udānav., ch. I. ("Impermanency"), v. 17:-

"As a cowherd with his staff gathers his cattle into the stable, so disease and old age bring mankind to the lord of death".

emu ne(?)rayamuca

Frag. C. xvvo 2,—not adjusted by M. Senart. He reads the fragment as follows:—

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This line-end was connected by M. Senart with our v. 10 (see p. 198, f n. 3)

\* A B Ms. reads pacets

Notes.—Almost the whole of the first line of the above verse is missing from the extant Kharoshli Ms., and the remnant—ya ayu paneti paneta ()—has been misplaced by M. Senart, who tags it to the end of the preceding verse. As has been established, M. Senart's Co, 8 is not, as he says, a patchwork of two half-verses, but of two separate, though fragmentary, verses which have been wrongly adjusted, not by the scribe but by himself. However, our adjustment of the fragments has gone a long way to enable us to complete the verse as follows:—

yadha danena¹ gopalo gavo payeti gayara omu jara ya mucu ya ayu payeti panina 🔘

—This stanza cannot be traced in any other canonical text than the Dhammapada. The simile calls up a vivid picture of a cowherd driving the cattle of a village to the common pasture, and strikingly brings home to an agricultural people like the Indo-Aryans the idea of the manner in which death drives all beings to their destiny.

Payeti = Pāli pāceli, an instance of causative.

12 yadha nadi pravatia racha vahati ?

ŧ

 $(C^{in}, 9)$ 

Cf. Mūgapakkhajātaka, No. 536 (Fausböll, VI. p. 26):—

Yathā vārivaho pūro gaceham núpavattati Evam āyu manussānam gaceham núpavattati. Yathā vārivaho pūro vahe rukkh'ūpakūlaje Evam jarāya maraņena vuyhante vata pānino

Cf. Fa-kheu-pi-u, scc 1. ("Impermanency"), p. 39 :--

"As the waters of a river ever lusten on and flow away, and once gone, never return, such is the life of man.

That which is gone knows not any return".

<sup>2</sup> Of. dana (=P\$li dandan, I. B. 30, p. 42); manikunalesa (=Pali manikundalesa), Suharaga, v. 8, infra (C\*0, 31).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For the line-ond here M. Senart has—tari charanaseva satu ()—which, however, fits better with v. 18. The Udânavarga has a verse (ch. t. v. 18) of which the final words correspond to charanase a true. "As the waters of a brook so flow on by day and night the hours of means life—t draws and n to its end"

Cf. Udānav., ch. 1. ("Impermanency"), v. 15:--

"As a river that is always running swiftly by and never returns are the days of man's life—they depart and come back no more"

Notes.—The whole of the second line of the above verse is missing from the extant Kharosthī Ms, in spite of M. Senart reading, as a line-end, lari ohuranaseva satin — which fits better with the line-end of the following verse. The Prakrit verse might, however, be completed in one of the following two ways:—

- yadha nadi pravatia racha vahati (upakulaja emu jaraya maranena vuhati vata panino ())
- yadha nadi pravntia racha vahati (na nivatati emani aya manusana gachu na upavatati ())

Pravatia = Pāli povattitrā, Sk. pravatya, 'beginning to tlow', a gerund. The form is closer to Sanskrit. Racha = Pāli rakkham, Sk. ryksam (cf. rucha, Asoka's Rock-Edict II, Manschra version). M. Senart says: "I am not sure of the reading racha or racha; anyhow I can only see in it a reflex of ryksa, whether for vracha or for rikkha." For the form rracha, cf. rrachā in Asoka's Rock-Edict, II, Girnar version. If it is racha, cf. Pāli mālāvaccham, Suttavibhanga, I. p. 179.

13 yadha vi dani vitati¹ ya ye deva oduopati² apaka bhoti ³vitavi oharanaseva satii ○⁴

(Cvo, 10)

In M. Senari's addition the words are run together and read

\* He connects the line-end tari charanaseva satii with v. 12 (see Cvo, 9, 73) for a limit out s w tod by the sense of the verse as well as by the mutilated shape of the line-end.

Ħ

M. Senart reads ribut according to the script, but this does not give any sense. He joins the words together as yadhandancekell

He reads, and the Ms clearly has, vo' which, again, seems to have been influenced by the o of the preceding word bhoti. The final i is due to the influence of the preceding syllables. The words are run together in M. Senart's collinou and read apakabhetive.

14 emam eva manus(eşu)¹ (avi) dha³(va)ti¹ pranayo ya ya avi(si)³sati rati⁵ maranaseya satii (○)

 $(C^{m}, \Pi)$ 

Cf. Mügapakkhajātaka, No. 538 (Fausböll, VI. p. 26):—

Yathā pi tante vitate yam yam dev ūpaviyati Appakam hoti vetabbam evam maccāna jīvitam.

Notes.—The Pali verse seems to have been expanded later into two Prakrit stanzas, which are bound up together in thought and serve to illustrate the course of human life by the imagery of weaving. The sense is: when the loom is spread out, no sooner are the threads spun out than what remains to be span grows less and less; -a striking illustration of how the hours of man's life ceaselessly pass away. The imagery reminds us of the ancient myth where the Goddess of Fate is represented as a woman engaged in spinning the thread of man's life. The Prakrit verse 13 differs from the Pali by its closing words obaranasera satri (which would equate with Pali ohuranass'era santike . Dani vitati = Pali tante ritate, Sk. taintre ritate, ... (loc. absolute, 'the loom being spread out'). Oduopati, if the reading be correct, would strictly correspond to Sk. udrapati, used impersonally, meaning 'casts out or is cast out'. The reading, as M. Senart is also of opinion, is very doubtful. Oharana = Sk. avaharana, better apaharana, a synonym of marana in v. 14. Verse 11 expanding the idea of the Pali clause erum maccana ji ritani, would read in Pali:-

> Evam eva manussesu abhidhāvanti pāņayo Yam yam āvisissali rati maraņass'eva santike.

<sup>2</sup> Supplied by us <sup>2</sup> Supplied by us, following the sense of the previous verse, though tentatively.

s Filled by us on the strength of clear traces of the upper part of an s in the factoriale. We have taken action as in future and persons of Bk. at vote to enter house approar occur.

6 M. Sousert ontertains doubt as to the case fine was negligible well as in the process words

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>, <sup>4</sup> We have changed M. Senari's sinter the set we letter have often been confounded in the Kharesthi Ms.; cf. masner for mathern Pali matherach I. B. 11 (pp. 28-20); see also M. Senari's 'thiti for 'sai (~Pali 'smiti), v. 16 infra. After M. Senari's sthere is a gap, quite sufficient for one letter, which we have tentatively filled by a reading in. This gives us avidhavati, meaning 'they run the course of life' (quite in keeping with the simile of the thread being span out).

15 sati<sup>1</sup> eki na dišati pratu ditho<sup>2</sup> bahojano pratu eki na dišati sati ditha bahojano O

(Cvo, 12)

Cf. Dasarathajātaka, No. 461 † (Fausböll, IV. p. 127), and Mūgapakkhajātaka, No. 538 (1bid, p. 28):—

Sāyam eke na dissanti pāto ditthā bahujjanā. Pāto eke na dissanti sāyam ditthā bahujjanā.

Cf. Udānav., ch. t. ("Impermanency"), v. 7 :-

"One sees many men in the forencen, some of whom one will not see in the afternoon; one sees many men in the afternoon, some of whom one will not see in the (next) forencen."

Sati stands for sai (= Pāli and Sk.  $s\bar{a}yai\hbar$ , cf. nai =  $adyai\hbar$ , Apramadav., vv. 24-25). The t has intervened through False Analogy with  $di\bar{s}ati$ . For the first o in bahojano, see porusa (v. 9. supra) = Pāli  $porus\bar{a}$  (also  $purus\bar{a}$ ). The Prakrit o can also be explained as having been lengthened from u to make up for the loss of a j in the Pāli jj following.

16 tatra ko višpaši macu daharositi³ jivit.

?vi miyati nara nari ca ekada 🔾

 $(C^{vo}, 13)$ 

(f. Mūgapakkhajātaka, No. 538 (Fausböll, VI. p. 56) —

Dahmapi hi miyanti narā ca atha nāriyo, Tattlucko vissase poso daharo 'mhîti jīvite.

1 M. Senart says that say would do well

<sup>2</sup> Dithe has a variant dithe in the second line.
3 M. Sonert reads chill, which is clearly a mistake for "site ("smiti).
In Kharosthi the letters the and a being very much alike have produced any a cr fusion freed against writing of names for madhene's (I B 1) pp 28-29)

Notes.—It is clear from the above citation that the lines of the Pāli verse are inverted in the Prakrit. The first line of the Prakrit verse has marn (= Pāli macco, 'the mortal') for poso of the Pāli. Instead of Pāli narā va alha nāriyo, the Prākrit verse reads mira nari va rhada, which appears to be an improvement on the Pāli reading without altering the sense. The Prakrit verse might be completed thus:—

tatra ko višpaši macu daharositi jivit e dahara bi) vi miyati nara nari ca ekada 🔘

Viśpaśi = Pāli vissase, Sk. riśvaset, an optative, 'one should trust.' Siti = Pāli (a)mhiti, Pāli and Sk. (a)smīti, a vowel-sandhi (daharu+asmi+iti). The mistaken reading dhiti has led M. Senart to equate it with Sk. dhrti (see footnotes under aridharati, v. 11 supra).

17 ayirena vatai kayu padha [siti ruchu]<sup>1</sup> viñana niratha ba kadigaru O

Cf. Dhammap., v. 114 (Cittavagga, v. 9) :-

Aciram vat' ayam käyo pathavim adhisessati Chuddho apetaviññäno mrattham va kalingaram.

Cf. Udånav., ch. 1. ("Impermanency"), v. 36:-

"Alas! this body will soon lie on the earth unnoticed, empty, senseless, thrown away in a cemetery like a billet of wood".

Cf. Manu, IV. 241:-

ř

Mytam sariram utsyya kästhalostrasamani ksitan Vimukha bandhava yanti dharmas tam anugacehati.

Notes.—The Prakrit verse or its Pali counterpart which is one of the most important and exquisite in the whole collection, cannot be traced in any other canonical text than the Dhammapada. It appears to have expanded the idea of the first line of Manu, IV. 241, which also occurs

m the Mahābhārata. It seems, moreover, to be a later poetical summary of the Vijaya Sutta (Suttampāta, No. 11), v. 8-9, and Sumedha's psalms (Therigatha, vv. 468-469), containing ascetic reflections on the loathsomeness and transitoriness of the body. The interest of the Vijaya verses and Sumedha's psalms lies in the fact that these -how richer combination of the Dhammapada verse and

the Manu śloka :--

Yadā ca so mato seti uddhumāto vinīlako, Apaviddho susānasmim anapekhā honti ñātayo. Khādanti nam supēņā ca sigālā ca vakā kimī, Kākā gijjhā ca khādantī ye ca afine santi pāņayo

Nibbuybati susānaih aciram kāyo apetaviñūāņo Chuttho' kalingaram' viya jigucchamanehi natihi. Chadduna' nam susane parabhattam nhayanti pigucchanta Viyakā mātāpitaro kim pana sādhāraņā janatā.

-We are far from saying that the Buddhists were

(Therigatha)

horrowers from the Manavas or vice versa. The truth is that both the Buddhists and the Manavas, no less than the poets of the Mahābhārata, had drawn upon a common source, which goes back at last to the people at large. we mean that the higher reflections contained in the verses under notice sprang originally from a cruder popular wisdom, crystallized in the shape of maxims which are preserved and used by the community in more forms than one. The language of these maxims in their popular forms is generally Prakrit, the term denoting no more than the current speech of a locality or community. Sumedha's verses preserve a few remnants of Prakrit forms, eg, chuttho for chuddho; kulikarum, kalimkarum for kalimgaram; chaddina, chathana, chathana, chatthana for chadditu; myaka, a Prakrit survival in Pali. The reflections in the Vijaya Sutia and Sumedhā's verses are only a poetic version of the teaching of the käydnipassana or käyagatasati section of the prose Satipatthana Sutta (Majjhima, I) or Mahāsatipatthāna Suttanta (Dīgha, II); see also the Up., Prapāthaka I.

thutthe may also be taken in the sense of chaddita, 'thrown off', dering that Sumedha's expressions are almost the same as those in the a sloka: Chuttho kalingaram viya = utsijua kāsthalostrasamam. Cf ali, chutā, chadā chadā Prof. Pischel notes a variant cuddho. Var suts k l k am k l nkaram

<sup>-</sup>cha huna chathana chatthana

( ) ( )

The Prakrit verse might be completed thus:-

aynena vatai kayu padhatvi adhise)siti enchu "(apeta)viisana niratha va kadigaru ()

under the Cittavagga, but it has little bearing on the main theme of the chapter. The mere occurrence of the word rināmu or of the idea that a corpse lies senseless or devoid of consciousness, does not surely entitle it to a place in the Cittavagga. The Prakrit text and the Udānavarga have rightly grouped it among the Jara verses.

The Dhammapada groups the Pali counterpart of this verse

Ayirena = Pāli and Sk. wirena, an adverb with instiumental termination, meaning 'without delay', 'very soon'. The Pali form acirain is a counterpart of Sk. gerrat which has an ablative termination. Vatai = Pāli ratūyam, a vowel-sandhi (rata + at); for ai = apain, cf. nai = nayain, (Apramadav., vv. 24, 25, pp. 138, 139). Padhavi = Pāli pathaviri. Ardhamāgadhi, pudhaviri, Sk. pythiryām The form of the Prakrit text stands mid-way between the Pali and the Ardha Magadht. Adhisesiti = Pali adhisessate, 'will lie (on the earth)', can be compared with male seti seranasmini, 'the deceased lies down in the cemetery', the expressions give an idea of exposure of dead bodies. We must understand by the word adhisessati or seti not that a man casts off his body, like the brute creation in general, to be on the earth (which is rather an exception than a rule).2 but that after his death his body is thrown away by his kinsmen or friends (natayo or bandhava)3 in a smasana where it undergoes the natural process of decomposition4 or is eaten up by the worms and carnivorous birds and beasts5. Ruchu is according to M. Senart = Pali rukkho (Sk. rulemah), 'rough, rude', which may very well take the place of the Päli chuddho, 'vile, de-picable'. But we cannot fully agree with the French savant, for the Prakut inchn is a weaker expression than the Pāli chuddho which

does not surely mean 'vile, despicable' as he supposes

Also apelu or arcia. For arcia, ef. arcii, v. 21 infia.

The cases of deaths by accident, e.g., of persons dying by shin-work, in a desert or out of the way place. The Apaqquan Jataka (No. 1) serves the account of a perilons journey of caravans over a vast dy desert where hundreds of Indian merchants by dead or killed, their ad bodies or remains being left undisposed of. Of, a similar account of a fate of the pesanaka coa in the Vedabbla Jataka (No. 48).

Poe Mana IV 241 and Sa cellas pealing c edesp n Seo Visyn Sut a vv 8-9 Sa papihāna Su ta (Majih a, I 58 fg)

His rendering of the Pāh word, no less than the commentators' paraphrase, is tentative and ultimately untenable Chirddho = aparadho, 'despised' (Dhammapada-Comy), huddha = chaddida, 'forsaken', 'cast-off' (Jātaka-Comy Fausboll, V. p 303). The former interpretation is based upon a canonical text like the Vijaya Sutta, v. 8, —uparaddho susānasmin—and the latter on Sumedhā's psalm (Therīgāthā, v. 469)—chaddāna nam susāne. These canonical passages do not bear out these interpretations In the Vijaya Sutta the meaning of chaddho is expressed by these three words; addhumāto, vinītako and apariddho,—'bloated, discoloured and despised'. Both the words chadtho and chaddāna occur in Sumedhā's psalms (Therīgāthā, vi 468-469), and the former word might have been

taken in the sense of 'useless' (chuttho halingaram riya=nirattham ra halingaram), if it had not referred to hayo. We think that the Pāli chuddho is=the Sk. Isubdhah, 'agnated' This word indicates the successive

stages of decomposition undergone by a dead body in a cemetery (cf. Vijaya Sutta, v. 8; Satipatthäna Sutta, Majjhima, I. p. 58). Such a condition was very useful to the development of the science of anatomy in India, as natural decomposition in 'charnel fields' served well the purpose of scientific dissection. Aveta-(or apeta-) viñana = Pāh apetarribāā pain, lit. 'from which consciousness has departed', 'devoid of consciousness', 'senseless'. M. Senart observes that the Prakrit text appears to have replaced apeta by some synonym but does not suggest what it might be. Kullūkabhaṭṭa,, the commentator of

the Manu Samhitā connects the idea of acctana, 'senseless' with a log of wood (kāṣṭhaloṣṭravad acctanam).

Kadigaru = Pāli kalingaram (variant, kalikaram), 'a log or billet of wood '= katthakhanda (Dhammapada-Comy.) = kāṣṭhaloṣṭra (Manu śloka). The Prakrit is, on the whole, more correct than kalingaram, and it stands closer to the Pāli variant kalihara, even if the forms kali, kali and kadimay all be said to have been derived from the Sk. kāṣṭha: cf. Bengali kadi, kāṭhi, kāth. According to the Dhammapadatomy, the comparison is with the useless parts of a tree left off in the wood, and this explanation is borne out by a Manu śloka (v. 69), the first line of which contains the expression arange lāṣṭharal lyakteā, 'casting away like a piece of wood in the forest'. The word kadigaru or kalingaram may also mean a log of wood, lying useless in kā n kā a art y bur to vo v nnb n t if not in the

set at t s not brought back home for cons mption

18 .... [avathani # .. u ?????

]'[ni sisani tani distani ka]<sup>2</sup> rati O

(Cw, 15)

- 19 [yanimani prabhaguni vichitani disodisa kavotaka]<sup>3</sup>(ni)<sup>3</sup> [athini tani distani ka]<sup>5</sup> rati O (C<sup>10</sup>, 16)
  - Cf. Dhammap., v. 149 † (Jaravagga, v. 4) :— Yān imāni apatthām" alāpūn' eva sārade Kāpotakāni aṭṭhīni tāni disvāna kā rati.
    - (T. Fa-kheu-pi-u, sec. v v. ("Old Age"), p. 120 :—
  - "When old, like autumn leaves, decayed and without covering, life ebbed out and dissolution at hand, little good repentance then!"
    - Cf. I dănav., ch. i. (" Impermanency "), v. 5 :—
  - "Those pigeon-coloured bones are thrown away and scattered in every direction, what pleasure is there in looking at them".
    - Cf. Vasubandhu's Gathasangraha, v. 21 (Rockhill's Udanavarga, Appendix):---
  - "They (the hodies) are thrown away and scattered in every direction, like those pigeon-coloured bones; what pleasure, then, is there in looking at them".

Fausböll identities the Prakrit verses with the following in the Divyavadana, p. 561:—

Yānimāny apavuddhāni viksiptāni dišo daša Kupotavarņāny asthīni tāni drstveha kā catiļi. Imāni yāny upasthānāni alābur iva šārado (?)\* Šankhavarņāni šīrsāņi tāni drstvēha kā catih.

ŧ,

<sup>\*</sup> Frag. C xivvo, I. \* Frag. C. xxiivo, I. \* Frag. C. xivvo, 2.

Supplied by us, in place of the dot of omission (see I. Gen, 16, p. 74).
 Frag. C. xxiivo, 2.
 Variant, annthane

Boal s rendering secus far from zero

The Ms. used by Cowe and Neft reads with will a mean gioss
The mustake is perhaps due to the serits

es—The two Piakrit verses appear to have grown out of one verse incorporated in the Pāli Dhammapada and the Udānavarga, and are, on the whole, similar to two verses quoted in the Divyāvadāna, probably from an older Sanskitt recension of the Dhammapada resembling that from which a whole chapter is quoted in the Mahāvastu, III. pp. 434 foll. The Prakrit verses stand, as the resemblance of certain words go to prove, nearer in point of date to the Pāli gāthā. Verse 18 might be restored as follows:—

(yanimani) avathani a(lap)u(ni va sarade¹ saghavarna²)ni sisani tani distani ka rati ○

It is somewhat difficult to say whether it is a charnel-field or a crematorium, of which the Pali gatha and the Prakrit verses depict the scene The expressions saghararnam sisani (i.e., 'the skulls looking white like conch-shells') and kavotakani athini (i.e., 'the pigeon-coloured bones') can as well be assocrated with a burning scene3 as with the picture of a charnel-field But scanning the verses closely, one can discover that these form an appropriate sequel to v. 17 and complete the description of the fate of a dead body thrown away in a charnel-field. Verse 17 does not proceed farther than the description of a dead body undergoing the process of decomposition and lying in the cemetery like a log of wood, and it leaves to verses 18 and 19 to describe what befalls the bodily remains after decomposition and consumption by the worms, birds and beasts, we, the skeleton and the bones. As a matter of fact, these two verses, no less than verse 17, are based upon the Käyånupassanä section of the Sampatthäna or Mahasatipatthana Discourse, which actually contains the distinctive expressions, e.g., disā-vidisā vikkhittāni, atthikāni setāni (corresponding to kāpotakāne of the Pali, and k votakani of the Prakrit verse) sankhanannap mibham (Majjhima, I. p. 58). For such ascetic reflections in Indian literature, it is important to bear in mind the following references, which are interesting:-

1 Maitrāyani Up. f. 3=Vijaya Sutta, vv 2-7=Satipaṭṭhāna Sutta, secs. 6-7.

With regard to the restoration of the first line we have followed the stion of M. Senari (q. v. p 75) But instead of alapu one may read alavu Also, "cranam.

Aptakesakambala says, "āsandipaūcamā purisā matam ādāya gacchanti, ālāhunā padāni patibūspenti, kāpotakāni aṭṭhīni bhavanti, bhassantā-o" (Dīgha, I. p. 55). "Kāpotakāniti kapotaka-vaṇṇāni, pārāpata-na raṇṇāni" (Sumaṅgala Vilāsinī, I. p. 166) The story of Oūļakāla Mahākala the Dhammapada-Comy gives a detailed unt of the bio changes of a lead body during cremation

- Prakrit verse 17=Dhammapada. v. 41=Vijaya Sutta,
   vv. 8-9=Therigāthā. vv. 468-469=Satipaṭṭhāna Sutta,
   the first portion of sec. 8.
- Prakrit verses 18-19=Divyāvadāna, p. 56=Satipaṭṭhāna Sutta, the latter portion of sec. S.

Sec. 8 of the Satipatthana Discourse, especially its latter portion, clearly indicates the importance of 'charnel-fields' in the history of the science of Anatomy in India, particularly in relation to Osteology (see Hiernle's Studies in Indian Medicine, Pt. I), long before the time when dissection became a desideratum.

Avathani = Pāli apatthāni (variant, aratthāni), Buddhist Sk. upasthānāni (Divvsvadāna) = chadditām, 'thrown off' (Dhammapada-Comy.)="thrown away" (Udanavarga). It is difficult to understand how this meaning could be derived from arathuni, unless we sumose that it is the neuter plural of availa = Pali apatthain or availhain. 'dislocated', 'displaced'. When applied to adapani ('pumpkins'), uvalham=rippakinmāni, 'scattered, at sixes and sevens' (Dhammapada-Comy.). Alapuni va sarade=Pāh alāpūn'era sārade, Buddhist Sk. alābur ira kārade, 'like pumpkins during autumn'; 'scattered like pumpkins, exposed to heat and wind during autumn' (Dhammapada-Comv. : sāradakāle vāldtanahalāni tattha vinnakinna-alānāni riya). Distani would strictly correspond to Pali ditthum, Sk. drefant, 'seen'. M. Senart says that the construction is "less normal, but not unacceptable in this form". This may be an idiom. But if tani distant ku rati be not regarded as an idiomatic construction and distuni not taken as a past participle qualifying tani, we can explain the form as distana, a Gerund corresponding to disvana of the Pali verse, the final i being accounted for as having developed out of rhythm with the preceding tant. The Buddhist Sanskrit form in the Divyavadana is also a Gerund, drytra The Prakrit form keeps closer to the Pali in having a suffix similar to the Pali Irana. Prabhaguni, 'fragile'. We have a singular form of the word in v. 5, supra. The word in this plural form cannot be equated with Sk. prabhangura. M. Senart rightly suggests that it implies a base prabhagu, identical in meaning with prabhangu. Disodisa = Pali and Ardha Magadhi, diso disom, 'in various directions', 'on all sides'. The Divyavadana verse reads diso dasa the ten cardinal points

- 20 [imina putikaena aturena pabhaguna nicasuhavijinena jaradhamena s]¹(avaso)² (nime)³dha parama sodhi yokachemu anutara (C<sup>vo</sup>, 17)
- 21. [imina putikaena vidvarena (pabhaguna)<sup>1</sup>]<sup>5</sup> [(nicasuhavijinena)]<sup>6</sup> (jaradhamena savaso)<sup>7</sup> (nime)<sup>8</sup>dha parama sodhi yokachemu anutara O (C<sup>10</sup>, 18)
- [imina putikaena viśravatena putina
  nica] [śuhavijinena jaradha] (mena savaso) (ni) [medha parama śodhi yokachem(u)] (ni) anutara O¹5
  (C'o, 19)

Cf. Samyutta, I. p. 131 § 5:—

Immā pūtikāyena bhindanena pabhangunā

Cf. Therag. v. 32†:-

Nimmissam paramam santim yogakkhemam anuttaram.

Cf. Fa-kheu-pi-u, sec 1. ("Impermanency"), p. 48:-

"What use is this body when it lies rotting beside the flowings of the (tanges? It is but the prison-house of disease, and of the pains of old age and death. To delight in

Frag. C. xivvo, 3.
Frag. C. xiivo,
Frag. C. xiivo,
Frag. C. xxivo,
Frag. C. xx

The *n* is supplied by us.

Frag. C. XLVO, and Frag. C. XXVIVO, I; the latter preserves, as is suggested by five queries (p. 92), so many faint traces of the bottom of the characters of which the upper portion aim tact in the fo

The circle a supplied by us.

pleasure, and to be greedy after self-indulgence, is but to increase the load of sin, forgetting the great change that must come, and the inconstancy of human life."

Cf. Udānav , ch. t. ("Impermanency"), v. 37:-

"Community afflicted by disease, always emitting some impurity, this body, undermined by age and death, what is the use of if "

otes .- These three verses, which are quite peculiar to the Prakrit text, are bound up together in thought as completing the ascetic reflections in v. 5, supra. The Pali parallel of the first line of v. 21, (and a pasteriori of vv. 20, 22) is in the Sainyutta verse cited above, and that of the third line of each of the three verses occurs in the Theragatha, v. 32. and one need not be surprised if the parallel of the middle line, which is common to all the verses, be found out in some other Pali verse, not yet discovered. The linking together of three lines, that is, of three separate ideas, into one verse, appears to be a novelty, serving to give altogether a new idea, though the combination seems somewhat incongruous. At any rate, they betray quite a mechanical growth, however much a commentator may try to make out some grand meaning by his ingenuity. We are confident that the process of such co-ordination is earlier in the Buddhist literature, and that in all probability the number of verses was originally less than three, and perhaps not more than one. As may be conjectured from the Samyutta verse and that in the Udanavarga, the original verse consisted of two lines, and ended with the question "what is the use of it?" or "kā rati" as in v. 19, supra, or with such reflections as we find in the second line of the Samyutta verse: attiyāmi hariyāmi kāmatanhā sumūhatā However, taking the verses as they are, they seem to admit of a two-fold interpretation: either (1) that there is a break at the end of the second line, the construction lacking in some expression to complete the Stoic rune like that which might be translated "what do you gain (by)", or (2) that those verses mark a turning-point in the general trend of thought, in that they draw the hearer's attention away from the vain moralising on the transitoriness of the body to the real purpose to which the body should be employed. The second interpretation leads us to understand the underlying idea of these verses as follows Taking for granted that the bo y is such an such the

question benceforth arises, what use we should make of it. Is it not proper to create for each of us an unsurpassed state of safety even with the help of such a body?' This is quite in keeping with the spirit of Buddhism, which as a heroic faith sought to shake off the cowardly ponderings over the loathsomeness of decaying body.

· n研練

Verse 20.—Putikaena = Pāli pūtikāyena, 'with this body emitting impurity'; ef. putisaneho in v. 5, supra. Aturena = Pāli and Sk. āturena, which is identical in meaning with roanidu in v. 5, and āturum in Dhammap., v 147. Nicaśuhavijinena corresponds, according to M Senart, to Sk. nityásubha-vioīrmnena, 'permeated with impurities'. The expression is not to be met with in Pāli. Jaradhamena savaso = Pāli jarādhammena samvāso, 'association with what is conditioned to decay'; ef. "continually afflicted by disease" (Udānavarga). Nimedha, if the dha be regarded as a clerical error for su, as is sometimes the case in the Ms. (see footnotes under avidhavati. Jarav., v 14, p. 202), would give place to a form nimesa, which would tally well with the Pāli first-person form nimesam of the Theragāthā verse. If it be not such a mistake, then dha must be equated with the Pāli suffix tha, and nimedha classed with such second-person forms as arahadha, mikhamadha, bhodha, udhvaradha, etc. (pp. 130-137). Parama śodhi = Pāli paramam suddhim, Sk. paramam suddhim (or šauddhim), 'the highest purity', which is the sime in meaning as rišodhi. (Magav., vv. 27-29), a synonym of Nirvāṇa. The Theragāthā reads santi, 'tranquillity', 'peace', another synonym of Nirvāṇa.

Verse 21.—Vidvarena is a curious Prakrit form, conveying the same sense as the Pāli bhindanena, 'by (that which is) brittle': ef. bhedanadhamme kalevare, Therīgāthā, v 380; parijina in v. 5, supra. Some of the Pāli Mss. of the Samyutta read bhindarena (instead of bhindanena), a variant which has a justification from eases like pabbargura—pabbharguna. The Prakrit vidvarena sounds closer to bhindarena.

Verse 22.—Viśravatena putina = Pāli vissavantena pūtinā, 'with impurity flowing off', from the root \sigma sru (to flow)

23 [(a)¹yara jiyamanena dajhamanena nivruti nimedha]² [parama sodhi yokachemu anutara]³ (O)⁴ (C°°, 20)

#### Cf. Therag., v. 32 + :--

Ajaram jiramänena tappamänena nibbutim Nimmissam paramam santra yogakkhemam anuttaram.

Notes.—This verse, which is peculiar to the Prakrit text, clearly sets forth the moral of the foregoing three verses. The Theragatha ascribes the authorship of the Pali parallel to Supplya Thera.

Ayara = Pāh ajaran, 'the undecaying', a synonym of Nirvāna. Jiyamanena = Pāh jiramānena, 'by a person in a state of decaying'; cf. khane khane jarāya abhibhuyyamānattā jīramānena (Paramatthadīpanī). Pajhamanena = Pāli dayhamānena, a synonym of tappamānena, 'by a person in a state of burning'. Nivruti = Pāli nihbulin, Sk. nirrptin, a synonym of Nirvāņa. Here the change is either from re into re as in Pali (cf. athra of the Manserah Ediets), or from re into re.

24 [jiyati hi rayaradha sucitra adha sarira bi jara uveti

sata tu³ dharma na<sup>6</sup> ja]<sup>7</sup>[ra (u)veti]\* [sato hiva<sup>9</sup> sabhi praveraya]<sup>10</sup>ti<sup>11</sup> O

(Cvo. 21)

ts, if written hurriedly may very well appear the ka

\* Frag. O. xxvxvo, 2. The circle is supplied by us.

M. Sonart has higo, which gives no meaning. Our reading, however, is tentative. See notes (p. 215).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The a is supplied by us. <sup>2</sup> Frag C, 140, 2

<sup>\*, \*</sup> M. Sonart reads an to tu, but doubts the no (p. 77). He also doubts the character which follows dharmo, and which he tentutively reads ca, Our restoration is based on the Pati parallel which M. Somet has unfortunately missed.

\* Frag. C. 1vo, 3.

\* Frag. C. xxvivo, 3.

<sup>20</sup> Frag. C. xviivo, not selinated by M. Senart, who reads suchiposable protecto(px)

M Senart reads to The letter s somewhat mutilated I i Kharosthi

Cf. Dhammap, v. 151 (Jarāvagga, v. 6); Samyutta, I. p. 71; Jātaka, V. pp. 483, 494:—

Jīranti ve rājarathā sucittā atho sarīram pī jaram upeti Satan ca dhammo na jaram upeti santo have sabbhī pavedayanti

- Cf. Fa-kheu-pi-u, sec. xix. ("Old Age"), p. 118 --
- "And when the body dies, and the spirit flees, as when a royal personage rejects a (broken) chariot, so do the flesh and bones lie scattered and dispersed. What reliance then, can one place on the body?"
  - Cf. Udanav., ch. 1. (" Impermanency"), v. 29 —
- 'Even the brilliant chariot of the king is destroyed, the body also draws night to old age; but the best of men, who teaches others this best of all good laws, shall not know old age."
- es .- This verse forms a fitting sequel to the foregoing one, as we reach in it a point where it is the turn of the compiler to say if there is anything within human experience which does not decay in the midst of decaying things. The reply given is in the affirmative, dilating upon the popular comparison of the body or material form to a chariot or royal chariot (ef. Katha. I. 3. 3, quoted ante, p. 160, and Dhp. v. 171: imam lokam rajarathupamam) The only thing that does not approach decay is sata dharma = Pāli satam dhammain, which is but a synonym of Nirvāņa (Jātaka, V p. 484). Sato hiva sabhi praverayati means the same thing as Pali santo have sabbhi paveduyanti, 'the persons who have attained the tranquil state, discuss with the wise'. For santo, cf. Bengali sādhu-santa. Hīṣa of M Senart is unintelligible unless it is taken, tentativeli, as a mistake for him standing, with inverted vowels, in place of the Pāli hare, that is to say, hive from havi (by Metathesis) = Pāli have. For the second r of praverayati (= Pāli pavedaya ti), cf. Sk astādaša = Pāli ttharasa This is the only instance of the equation of r with d in the extant Kharosthi Ms

25 [muj. p. rat. mujl'[u pachatu majhatu muju bhavasa parako sarvatra vi ]² (na punu jatijaravuvehisi)³ (O)⁴

(Cvo, 22)

Γ

3

į

To the second se

Cf. Dhammap., v. 345 + (Tanhavagga, v. 15) :-

ga 25]3

Muñea pure muñea purchato majjhe muñea bhavassa pāragū, Sabbattha vimuttamānase na punaña jātijaram upehisi.

> Cf. Bhaddasālajātaka, No. 165 (Fausböll, IV. p. 156):—

Agge ca chetvā majjhe ca pacchā mūlam vichindatha Evam me chijjamānassa na dukkham marapab siyā.

Cf. Udāmvarga (Prof. Pischel's "Turfan-Recensionen des Dhammapada"), Yugavarga, ch. xxix, v. 66 (B 57) :---

Muñea purato muñea paseato madhyo muñea bhavasya pāragaḥ Sarvatra vimuktamānaso na punar jūtijaram upeṣyusi.

Cf. Udanav., ch. xxix. ("Day and Night"), v. 59 :-

"Having cast off what is before, having cast off what is behind, having cast off what is in the middle, one goes to the other shore of existence; when the mind is free from everything, one will not be subject to birth and death."

Notes.—This Prakrit verse, with the exhortation not to proceed again towards birth and decay and with the suggestion about the means thereto, comes rightly at the end of the chapter. Having regard to the means, the Pali parallel

<sup>1</sup> Frag. C. 1170, 4. Prag. C. 1170, 1.

We have followed M. Senart's restoration.
The circle is supplied by us Frag C 110 2 V t. pane

is entitled to a place in the Tanhāvagga. But it is quite out of place in the chapter, entitled (in Rockhill's translation of the Udānavarga) "Day and Night", corresponding to the Yamakavagga of the Pāli text. It is out of place there because no verse in which the negative and positive phases of a single idea are not contrasted deserves a place among the "Twin-verses". The Prakrit verse might be restored, in the light of the Pāli gāthā and the English translation of the verse in the Udānavarga, as follows:—

muj(u) p(u)ratu muju pachatu majhatu muju bhavasa parako sarvatra vi mutamanaso) (na punu jatijaravuvehisi ())

Muju would strictly correspond to Pali musicam (pres part.), having east off' (Udanavarga). In the Pali verse we have an imperative form of \( \sqrt{muc.} \) Puratu = Pali purato, Sk puratah, 'what is before' (purato, Udanavarga). In the Pāli verse we have the locative form pure. Pachatu=Pāli pacchato, Sk. paścato, 'what is behind' (Udānavarga) Majhatu = Pāli majjhato, 'what is in the middle' (Udānavarga). The Pali majjhe has a locative termination. The Pali counterparts of puratu, pachatu and majhatu are explained in the Dhammapada-Comy. thus: Muñca pure to atītesu khandhesu āluyam nikantim ujjhesanum patthanam pariyogāham parāmāsam tomham. Muñcu pacchuto'ti anāgntesu pr khandhesu ālayádīm mwāca. Majjhe'ti paccuppannesu -(i.e., 'Free yourself from the thirst for, the dealing with, the diving into, the solicitation for, the seeking after, the dwelling upon, the past, the future and the present aggregates'). The exhortation of the above verse is expressed in another form in the Bhaddekaratta Discourse (Majjhima, Suttas 131-134) ·

Atitam nânyagameyya, nappatikankhe anāgatam, Yad atitam pahinan tam, appattan ca anāgatam, Paccuppannan ca yo dhammam tattha tattha vipassati.

Thera Mahākaccāyana's interpretation of the Discourse (Majjhima, Sutta No. 133) which is the historical basis, as we take it, of the Sabbatthivāda doctrine, is this: Katham atītam ndnvagametr? Iti me cakkhum ahost Katham addhānam iti rūpd ti na tattha hoti chandarāga-patibaddham hoti vrīnānam—(i.e., "How is it that a person does not pursue the past? Such was my eye in the past,

of this kind', to such a thought his mind is not attached with a passionate longing.") So also with regard to the remaining senses, all collectively termed  $khandh\vec{a}$  in the Dhammapada Comy.; and the same explanation holds true of the future and the present.

The chapter contains 25 stanzas.

### [9. Suhavaga]

The following 20 stanzas expressive of the optimistic outlook of the Buddhist recluse life constitute a group, similar to and partly identical with the Sukhavagga of the Päli text (ch. xv.), where the total number of verse is 12. Sec. xxIII. of the Fa-kheu-king, corresponding to the Päli Sukhavagga, contains 14 verses, and the same group in the I'dānavarga (ch. xxx.) contains altegether 53 verses. Although the colophon indicating the total number of verses in the Prakrit group is missing from the existing Kharosthi Ms., it may be judged from the general trend of thought that the group ended with the 20th stanza. The juxtaposition of the Jara and Saha groups is a remarkable feature of the Prakrit text, and it serves to bring out prominently, by a contrast of two modes of reflection on two aspects of human life, the bright prospect that lay before the religious life of the Buddhist Bhikkhus.

- 1 [aroga parama labha satuthi parama dhana viśpaśa¹ parama mitra]² nivana paramo suha (O)³ (C<sup>vo</sup>, 24)
- 2 (jiga)<sup>4</sup>[cha<sup>5</sup> parama (r)ok(a)]<sup>6</sup> [saghara parama duha
  - eta ñatva ya]¹dhabh(u)⁴tu nivana paramo suha ⊖

(Cvo, 25)

8 pplied by us.

Frag. O 1 70 &

brackets, are supplied by us.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> M. Senart tentatively reads *vaspoša*, which is no doubt a mistake of the scribe. See his notes on the word (p. 78).

Frag. C. 11vo, 3.
 Supplied by us in the light of the Pal' j pacehā
 M Schart reads ka.
 Frag. C xxxixvo I not ad usted by M Separt radia put within

Cf. Dhammap., vv. 204, 203† (Sukhav. vv. 8, 7):-

Ārogya paramā lābhā, santuṭṭhī paramam dhanam Vissāsa paramā nāti, nībbānam paramam sukham. Jigacchā paramā rogā, samkhārā paramā dukhā, Etam natvā yathābhūtam nibbānam paramam sukham.

- Cf. Udānav., ch. xxvi. ("Nirvāna"), vv. 6-7:-
- "Absence of disease is the best of possessions, contentedness the best of ricnes, a true friend the best of friends, nirvana the greatest happiness."
- "All compound things (sanskara) are the greatest of pains, hunger the worst of diseases; if one has found this out, he has found the highest nirvana."

es.—These two verses form an excellent pair serving to throw by contrast the two aspects of human life into clear relief. After lingering so long upon decay and impermanence, it is, indeed, a great relief to read two verses which contain a message of hope. In the midst of decay and decrepitude there is a state of health, contentment and bliss which abides. The logical succession of thought is kept up better in the order in which the verses occur in Prakrit and Sanskrit.

Aroga parama labha = Pāli ārogya paramā lābhā, 'health is a great gain'. Health is a medical term, used figuratively in the Buddhist phraseology to denote negatively a state of the absence of hunger or appetition. That health is a great blessing of life is a common-sense view, the natural desire of mankind, as, perhaps, of all forms of life being to live in valour, vigour and energy (saurye, vīrye, balasi). The Buddhist teaching serves only to widen the idea of health, which is a state of well-being of the body as well as of the mind. A healthy mind is that which is free from sense-appetite. Satuthi parama dhana = Pāli sauluthi puramam dhanam, 'contentment is a great possession'. Contentment is a positive nomenclature for aroga, as wealth is that for gain.

(Cvo, 26)

Frag. C. XXXIXVO, 2,—not adjusted by M. Schart, who reads ruha°. Supplied according to M. Schart's suggestion.

Cf. Dhammap. v. 290 † (Pakinnakav., v. 1):-

Mattāsukhapariccāgā passe ce vijulath sukhan Cajo mattāsukhan dhiro sampassan vipulan sukhan.

Cf. Udānav., ch. xxx. (" Happiness "), v. 32 :-

"If the stedfast man seeks for great happiness, and would give up little happiness, let him cast away the little happiness and look well to the great one"

Notes.—The probable restoration of the Prakrit verse would be:—

(matra)suhaparicai (paśc) yi vivula suha caji\*) matrasuha dhiro sabašu vi(vu)la suha 🔾

Two words are important: matra = Pāli mīltā, Sk. mālrā, 'a smaller measure', and vivula = Pāli and Sk. ripulain, 'a larger measure' paricai = Pāli paricāgā. Cf. uvacai (I. B. 3, p. 25) = Pāli upaccagā. The verse teaches that when a man has a choice between two measures of bappiness, he ought to strive for the larger one. The principle inculcated is not Utilitarian, i.e., the greatest happiness of the greatest number. The happiness aimed at is an individual experience. In the Devadaha Sutta (Majihima, No. 101) Buddha refutes the Jaina theory of the quantification of pleasure and pain. In his opinion one cannot say this quantity of pain (cltakain dukkhain) is due to self, and that to not-self. Here the idea of quantity implies no more than intensity of feeling.

u .eșu anusua

(usu)eşu manuseşu viharamu anusua C

4

(Cvo, 27)

G. Dhammap., v. 199 † (Sukhav., v. 3):--

Susukham vata jivāma usenkesu anussukā Ussukesu manussesu viharāma anussukā.

From a comparison with the form bhage (Sainssav. vv. 3, 5, pp. 158, 159).
 Cf. the form carl, Apramadav. v. 1, p. 119. Panitav., v. 7, p. 175.
 There are many other instances of such Optative forms anding in instances.

- Cf. Fa-kheu-pi-u, sec. xxIII. ("Rest and Repose"), p. 137:—
- "My life is now at rest, sorrowless in the midst of sorrow; all men have sorrow, but I have none."
  - Cf. Udānav., ch. xxx. (" Happiness "), v. 44:-
- "Ah! let us live exceedingly happy, living without greed among men who are greedy, without greed in the midst of the greedy."
- The probable restoration of the Prakrit verse would be :-

(suhai vata jivamu) u(su)eşu anusua (usu)eşu manuseşu viharamu anusua ()

- 5 suhai vata jivamu viraneşu averana [veraneşu ma]'nuśeşu viharamu averana O (C<sup>vo</sup>, 28)
  - Cf. Dhammap., v, 197 † (Sukhav., v. 1):-

Susukhan vata jivāma verinesu averino. Verinesu manussesu viharāma averino.

- Cf. Fa-kheu-pi-u, sec. xxiii. ("Rest and Repose"), p. 137:—
- "My life is now at rest, with no anger amongst those who are angry (or those who hate). Men indeed on all sides feel anger, but my life (conduct) is free from anger."
  - Cf. Udānav., ch. xxx. (" Happiness"), v. 48:-
- "Ah! let us live exceedingly happy, living without batred amidst men who hate, without batred among haters."
- 6 suhai (vata)<sup>2</sup> jivamu kijaneşu akijana kijaneşu ma(n)<sup>3</sup>u(seş)<sup>4</sup>u (vi)<sup>5</sup>haramu akijana O

The second states and the second seco

<sup>(</sup>Cvo, 29)

<sup>1</sup> Frag. C. XXIVVO.

This would give in Pali :--

Susukham vata jīvāma kiñcanesu akiūcanā. Kiñcanesu manussesu viharāma akiñcanā.

7 suhai vata jivamu yeşa mu nathi kijana¹ kijaneşu manuseşu viharamu akijana (O)²

(Cvo, 30)

Cf. Dhammap., v. 200 † (Sukhav., v. 4) = Fausböll, Jätaka VI. p. 55 ;—

Susukham vata jīvāma yesam no n'atthi kiñcanam Pītibhakkhā bhavissāma devā ābhassarā yathā.

- Cf. Fa-kheu-pi-u, see. xxiii. ("Rest and Repose"), p. 137:—
- "My life is now at rest, in perfect peace, without any personal aim, feeding on (uncarthly) joys. like the bright gods above (Abhasvaras)."
  - Cf. Udánav. (Pischel), ch. xxx. (Sukhavarga), vv. 49-50:--

Susukham bata jivāmo yesām no nāsti kincanam Prītibhakṣā bhaviṣyāmo dovā hy ābhasvarā yathā. [Susu]kham bata jivāmo yeṣām no nāsti kincanam Prītibhakṣā bhaviṣyāmo satkāyenopaniḥṣṛtā(h).

[Rockhill's translation, ch. xxx. ("Happiness") vv. 50-51:—

- "Ah! let us live exceedingly happy; though there be nothing to call our own, we shall feed on happiness like the shining gods."
- "Ah! let us live exceedingly happy, relying on nothing perishable; and though there be nothing to call our own, we shall feed on happiness."]

The circle 's supplied by us

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Ms has kajani, which M. Senart points out as a mistake of the copyist, for kijana. See p. 80.

# Cf. Fausböll's Jātaka, VI. pp. 54-55 :-

Susukham vata jīvāma yesam no n'atthi kincanam, Ratthe vilumpamānamhi na me kinci ajīratha. Susukham vata jīvāma yesam no n'atthi kincanam, Mithilāyam dayhamānāya na me kinci adayhatha.

Cf. Udānav., ch. xxx. (" Happiness "), v. 49:-

"Ah! let us live exceedingly happy; though Mithila burns, nothing of mine does burn, for I have nothing."

Cf. Mahābhārata, XII. 219. 50:-

Susukha**i**n bata jīvāmo yeṣāin no nāsti kiñcanam Mithilāyām dahyamānāyām na no dahyati kiñcanam.¹

es—These four verses (4-7), all characterised by a highly optimistic tone, constitute a sub-group and clearly depict the bright prospect that lay before the Indian religion of renunciation, especially in its Buddhist form. There is a general agreement in the reading of other recensions, while the Prakrit verses differ by substituting certain expressions which modify the sense. But it goes without saying that the Prakrit stanzas have considerably deteriorated the lofty tone of their Pāli and Sanskrit parallels.

From a comparative study of this sub-group in its several recensions we are led to think that the Dhammapada verses betray a process of later manipulation on a common model, and that this model is no other than the verse which occurs in a story common to the Mahabharata and the Mahājanaka Jūtaka (Fausböll, No. 539), designated on the railing of the Bharbut Stupa as the story of "Janako raja Sivali devi". Indeed, both the Mahabharata and the Jataka Book go to prove that the teaching of the verses under notice was formulated for the first time in history by a king of Videha, of which Mithila was the capital. All the stories that are preserved, in Indian literature, of Videhan kings, such as those of Makhadeva, Nimi and the Janakas, bring home one fact, namely, that the personal examples of these princes gave a great impetus to the ideal of renunciation. The Jataka literature, which will ever be read as the largest collection of the older specimens of Indian ballads and folktales, is found to associate such

Of Maha astu, 1 p 463. l

M thilayam dahyamanayam nasya dahyam kifi

examples with the kings of Mithila and Benarcs. The city of Mithila is set on fire, but if does not affect the mind of its ex-king, who lives exceedingly happy, having no earthly possession to claim as his own. The sentiments displayed are quite in accord with the national spirit of the Hin lus in whose life, from the dawn of intellect, the spiritual motive predominates, throwing all material interests in the shade.1 Now, so far as the Dhammapada verses are concerned, they are intended to contrast the life of the householder, who is so unhappy with his riches and relations, with that of the Bhikkhu who is so very happy and contented, although possession he has none. These set forth the remote object of recluse life which is to impress on the householders that the true source of happiness is neither material pro-perity nor earthly power but renunciation and contentment. They also imply a criticism of ascetic rigorism tending to the opposite extreme of civil life, and teach that the right method of stimulating religious fervour among people at large lies not in appearing more miserable in ansterity than they do in their worldliness, but in bringing home to them the sharp contrast that exists between the two pursuits, one leading to material advantage and the other to Nirvana (adhā hi lābhipanisā, aidā nibbānagāminī, Dhammapada, v. 75).

Verse 4.—Suhai would be in Pali sukhāya, 'for the sake of happiness', a dative singular form of suha. The Pali reading susukhuis, 'happily', seems better than the Prakrit which implies that happiness is the end of recluse life. The adverb susukhuih signifies, on the other hand, that the religious life is lived for its own sake, while happiness follows as a matter of course. Usueşu anusua Pali ussukesu anusukā, Sk. utsukesu anutsukāh, 'without anxiety among those who are anxious'. Rockhill translates the Tibetan rendering of utsukesu as "among men who are greedy," and Beal translates the Chinese rendering of anutsukāh by "sorrowless." Neither of these two renderings are up to

Peof. Max Müller was fully justified in making this observation with regard to the Hindu civilisation. Not that the Hindus have all council to fulfil the secular functions of human life. Nor that they have not developed secular Sciences and Arts in their extravagant mad for the pursuit of the higher aspirations of religion. But that there is no other people on earth who have made so gigantic an effort to prepare their mind to 'dwell apart like a star' from all earthly good. And whatever their political status, so long as they are true to this spirit of their forefathers, they have a distinct place in the history of the world and they have a distinct message to impart to other peoples.

the mark. The substantives utsuka and anutsuka imply greed as a remote idea, their primary sense being connected with the Sk. autsukya or 'over-anxiety.' In Bengali the word utsuku is used in a good sense, to denote a person who is inquisitive, e.g., eager to learn something.

Verse 5.—Viranesu averana = Pāli verinesu averino. The Prakrit forms are difficult of explanation, but no less so is the Pāli verinesu. M. Senart is of opinion that the Prakrit forms are derived from some words like vira or vera, phonetically = Sk. vaira, 'enmity.' These two words inculcate the Buddhist principle of stopping enmity by love.

Verses 6-7.—These are essentially, and even in expression, the same. The Pāli parallel to verse 7 contains a more striking moral, nz., of feeding on joy like the shining gods. Mu corresponds to Pāli no, Sk. naḥ. Says M. Senart, "the form mu, mo=naḥ is known in the language of the Mahāvastu."

8 na ta dridha ban(d)hanam aha dhira ya a(ya)¹sa daruva babaka va

saratacita manikunaleşu putreşu dareşu ya ya aveha (O)<sup>2</sup>

(Cvo, 31)

9 eta dridha ban(d)hanam aha dhira oharina sisila drupamuchu

eta bi chitvana parivrayati anavehino kamasuhu prahai (O)<sup>3</sup>

(Cvo, 32)

Cf. Dhammap., vv. 345-346 † (Tanhav., vv. 12-13):—

Nu tain daļham bandhanam āhu dhīrā yad āyasam dārujam pabbajan ca Sārattarattā manikundalesu puttesu dāresu ca yā apekkhā.

The circles are supplied by us.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The ya is supplied by us, according to M. Senart's suggestion (qv, p. 80), The omission seems to be a mistake of the scribe

Etam daļbam bandhanam ābu dhirā ohārmam sithilam duppamuncam Etam pi chetvāna paribhajanti anapekhino kāmasukham pahāya.

### Cf. Fa-kheu-pi-u, sec. xxxII. (" Lust"), pp. 179-180:--

- "Hell, indeed, has its gyves and fetters, but the wise man regards not these as captivity; the foolish man who is immersed in cares about wife and child and their personal adornment, he it is who is in real captivity."
- "The wise man regards lust as the imprisonment of hell, as the hard bound fetter from which it is difficult to escape, and therefore he desires to separate this and cut it off for ever, that being free from any such cares (or, desires), he may find rest and peace."

## (f. Udānav., ch. m. ("Desire"), vv. 5-6 :--

- "Look at those who are foully attached to jowels, ear-rings, to their children (those are fetters); but iron, wood, and rope make not strong fetters, says the Blessed One."
- "It is hard for one who is held by the fetters of desire to free himself of them, says the Blessed One. The stedfast, who care not for the happiness of desires, cast them off, and do soon depart (to Nirvana)."
- Notes.—This couple of verses seems rather out of place in the Suhavaga, as it serves to rob the optimistic reflections of their geniality. It has found its right place among the Tasa-verses in other recensions of the Dhammapada. However, looking the other way, it appears to form a logical sequel to the previous verses. In it we reach a point where we may expect to learn how to be free from attachment or what the fetters of attachment are. The reply is that a man can be free from attachment by getting rid of the pleasures of lust and walking out of the world after cutting the Gordian knot which is the affection for wives, children and wealth. There is no other way of escape than this.

Verse 8.—Aha dhira corresponds to Püli äha dhīrā, an expression which would be grammatically incorrect. A reading aha thire or ahn dhira would have been quite correct. But it is not uncommon in the Prakrition

languages to find a singular verbal form used along with a plural nominative and vice versa (see v. 15 infra). Ya a(ya)sa=Pāli yad āyasarī, 'that which is made of iron.' In M. Senart's opinion the metre proves that the error of the copyist does not consist in a simple inversion yaasa for ayasa, but, as the Pāli text indicates, in the omission of the second ya, ya asa for ya ayasa. For daruva and babaka, see M. Senart's notes (p. 80).

Verse 9.—Drupamuchu=Pāli duppamuñcam, Sk. duspramucyam. The change of duspra to drupa may be viewed either as a case of inversion or as an instance of false analogy with druracha druntrarana (Citav., v. 5. pp. 142, 147).

10 ye rakarata anuvatati sotu saigata . . . . . eta b(i)¹ ch(i)²tvana parivrayati anavehino kamasuha prahai (○)³

(Cvo, 33)

Cf. Dhammap., v. 347 † (Tanhav., v. 14) :--

Ye rägarattänupatanti sotam sayamkatan makkatako va jālam Etam pi chotvāna vajanti dhīrā anapekhino sabbadukkham pahāya.

Cf. Fa-khou-pi-u, sec. xxxn. ("Lust"), p. 181 :--

"The fool regarding the outward form as an excellency, how can he know the falseness of the thing, for like a silkworm enveloped in its own net (cocoon), so is he entangled in his own love of sensual pleasure."

Notes.—This verse sums up the teaching of the two previous ones and is differentiated from them by the simile of a spider entangled in its own net. The probable restoration of the second foot would be saigata mrakatao\* va jala. In rakarata, k stands for g; ef. hata for gata (Magav. vv. 4-7, pp. 101-107). Saigata = Pāli sayamkatam, Sk. svayamkrtanin, 'made by self'. The change is quite familiar—from ya(m) to r (ef. nai for nayam, Apramadav., vv. 24, 25, pp. 138, 139) and from nk to g (ef. paya for paikka, Apramadav., v. 23, p. 137).

Also makatako

11 ahivadanasilisa nica vridhavayarino eatvari tasa vardhati ayo kirta suha bala O

((19, 34)

(f. Dhammap., v. 109 † (Sahassav., v. 10) :--

Abhiyadanasilissa niccain yaddhabacayino Cattaro dhamma yaddhanti: ayu yanno sukham balam.

- Cf. Fa-khen-pi-n, sec. vii. ("The Thousands"), p. 108 :--
- "He who is ever intent on good conduct and due reverence to others, who always venerates old age, four happy consequences increasingly attend that man beauty and strength, and life and power."
  - Cf. Mann, II. 121 (quoted by Fausboll):-

Abhivādanašdasya nityatir vpddhopasevinah Gatvāri tasya vpddhante āyur vidyā yašo bahum.)

Notes.—The Prakrit verse and its Pali and Sanskrit parallels extel politeness and respect to the elders as the two cardinal social virtues, and inculcate that these serve to increase the life, fame, peace and influence of a man. They presuppose a common substratum which is no other than a popular maxim setting forth the general sense of Hin In society. The teaching thus inculcated is completely in accord with the Buddhist idea of discipline. Buddha promulgated respect to the elders as one of the seven conditions of national prosperity and communal well-being (Digha, H. pp. 71, 77). In his younger days he was unwilling to admit in theory any seniority by age, and as a matter of fact, he adhered to his theory throughout his life. The seniority of the Bhikkhus by age was determined by the number of Lents kept by them.

Ahio stands for Pali and Sk. abhr. This is perhaps the only instance in our text where h corresponds to

In Jolly's ed tion, the second The reads Catvari tasys to synh prajits you balan

bh. Vridhavayarino=Pali vaddhapacayino, the same in meaning as the Manu ryddhapaserinah, a vowel sandhi (iridha+aiayarino). The change of c to y is very common in the Prakrit of our text. For the anomaly in the r, see M. Senart's notes, p. 81.

## 

Cf. Dhammap., v. 193 † (Buddhavagga, v. 15):-

Dullahho purisâjañño na so sabhattha jāyati Yattha so jāyati dhīro tam kulam sukham edhati.

- (f. Fa-kheu-pi-u, sec. XXII. ("Buddha"), p. 132:-
- "To be born as a man is difficult; to attain to years (i.e. to live long) is also difficult; to be born when Buddha is mearmate is difficult; and to hear the preaching of the Law of Buddha is difficult also."
  - Cf. Mahāvasiu, III. p. 109 .-

Dullabho puruşâjanyo na so sarvatra jāyate Vatra so jāyate viruḥ tain kulam sukhamedhati.

- (f. Udanav., ch. xxx. (" Happiness"), v. 29 :-
- "An omniscient person is hard to find; he does not appear everywhere: 'tis happiness to associate with the steadfast, like unto meeting one's kinsmen; wherever such a steadfast person is born, that people finds happiness."

Notes.—The probable restoration of the Prakrit verse would be:-

den)l(a)bh(o) p(o)r(u)s(ajaño na so sarvatra jayati yatra so ja)yati viru ta kulu suhu modati 🔾

The verse is the uttorance of an age when the Buddha was deified by his followers, and as such it cannot be dated earlier than the first century of Buddha's demise. The Mal apadava S tta Digha II No 2) embodies the earl est

11 ahivadanasilisa nica vridhavayarino catvari tasa vardhati ayo kirta suha bala ○

(Cu, 34)

Cf. Dhammap., v. 109 † (Sahassav., v. 10) .--

Abhrvādanasīlissa niccam vaddhāpacāyina Cattāro dhammā vaddhamt: āyu vaņņa sukham balam.

Cf. Fa-kheu-pi-u, see. xvi. ("The Thousands"), p. 108:-

"He who is ever intent on good conduct and due reverence to others, who always venerates old age, four happy consequences increasingly attend that man-beauty and strength, and life and peace."

Cf. Manu, II. 121 (quoted by Fausböll) .--

Abhivādanasīlasya nityatā vrddhopasevinah Catvāri tasya vrddhante āyar vidyā yašo balam.

Notes.—The Prakrit verse and its Pali and Sanskrit parallels extel politeness and respect to the elders as the two cardinal social virtues, and inculcate that these serve to increase the life, fame, peace and influence of a man. They presuppose a common substratum which is no other than a popular maxim setting forth the general sense of Hinlu society. The teaching thus inculcated is completely in accord with the Buddhist idea of discipline. Buddha promulgated respect to the elders as one of the seven conditions of national prosperity and communal well-being (Digha, II. pp. 71, 77). In his younger days he was unwilling to admit in theory any seniority by age, and as a matter of fact, he adhered to his theory throughout his life. The seniority of the Bhikkhus by age was determined by the number of Leuts kept by them.

Ahi" stands for Pali and Sk abh.". This is perhaps the only instance in our text where h corresponds to

bh. Vridhavayarino = Pāli raddhāpacāyino, the same in meaning as the Manu rpddhopaserinah, a vowel sandhi (rridha+arayarino). The change of c to y is very common in the Prakrit of our text. For the anomaly in the r, see M. Senart's notes, p. 81.

# 12 d.l.bh. p.r.s.?......

yati viru ta kulu suhu modati O (C<sup>vo</sup>, 35)

Cf. Dhammap., v. 193 † (Buddhavagga, v. 15):-

Dullabho purisâjañño na so sabbattha jāyati Yattha so jāyati dhīro tam kulam sukham edhati.

- Cf. Fa-kheu-pi-u, sec. xxII. ("Buddha"), p. 132 :--
- "To be born as a man is difficult; to attain to years (i.e. to live long) is also difficult; to be born when Buddha is incarnate is difficult; and to hear the preaching of the Law of Buddha is difficult also."
  - Cf. Mahāvastu, III. p. 109 :--

Dallabho purusājanyo na so sarvatra jāyate Yatra so jāyate vīrah tam kulam sukhamedhati.

- (T. Udānav., ch. xxx. (" Happiness"), v. 29 :--
- "An omniscient person is hard to find; he does not appear everywhere: 'tis happiness to associate with the steadfast, like unto meeting one's kinsmen; wherever such a steadfast person is born, that people finds happiness."

Notes.—The probable restoration of the Prakrit verse would be:-

d(u)I(u)hh(o) p(o)r(u)s(ajaño na so sarvatra jayati natra so ja)yati vira ta kalu suhu modati O

—The verse is the utterance of an age when the Buddha was deitied by his followers, and as such it cannot be dated earlier than the first century of Buddha's demise. The Mahāpadāna Sitta (Digha II No 2) embodies the earliest

specimen of the Ithamiata doctrine, corresponding to the Brahmanical theory of incarnation. This doctrine enumerates the general conditions of the advent of great mensuch as the Buddha, and it is in the light of this doctrine, as developed in the subsequent Jataka literature, notably the Nidanakatha, that the significance of the expressions in the verse can be understood.

Dulabho porusajaño = Pāli dullabho purisajaña, 'the man of noble breed is hard to find'. Porusajaño is an instance of sandhi (porusa + ajaña). Ajaño = Sk. ājanyah, is used of a horse of the finest breed; here it is used figuratively in the sense of 'best', referring to 'man'. The commonest Sanskritic expressions however to denote similar idea, are forms like perusasinh, purusaryāghra. As for the expression dulabha, 'hard to find,' some light on its significance is thrown by a passage in the Mahāgovinda Suttanta which expresses the following sentiment of the gods of the Thirty-three: 'Impossible it is that two supreme Buddhas should arise at the same time and in the same world system, far less to speak of three or four' (Digha, H. pp. 221-225, sees, 13, 11). Compare also Dhammap, v. 182 (Buddhav, v. 4):—

Kiccho manussapa(ilābho Kiccham maccām jivitam. Kiccham saddhammasavuman Kiccho Buddhānam uppado.

The general sense of the verse quoted above is quite clear. We have only to note that looking more closely into it one can at once see that there is really a comparison involved: it is hard to be born as man, while it is far more difficult to see the advent of a Buddha who stands far above the level of common men. Na sarvatra, not in every place and family', i.e., not in a country other than India, not in a province other than the Middle country and not in a family other than - Ksatrica and high class Brahman (see Milindapanho, p. 225) Suhu modati has for its Pali counterpart sukham edhati, 'attains happiness.' According to M. Schart, the Prakrit reading is a lectio faciliar introduced through the unconstraint of the scribe or his predecessor. If the construction suhu modati be correct in Prakrit, suhu (=Sk. sukham) must be taken as an adverb and the thrase would be idiomatic and mean he) de g s um item pted y

es —Neither the Pali nor the Sanskrit counterpart of this

(s)u[haṣino ye¹ kamaye² narethina v.]³.[.u. suha śichi]¹tasavasa kici teṣa na vijati ○

(Cvo, 36)

verse has been traced. M. Senart's rendering of the second line-'The association with learned men is a blessing; they have no stain '-is hardly in keeping with the construction of the last pada. Kuci tesa na rijati is apparently a Prakrit expression corresponding to Pali kicce tesam na vijjati or kiñci tesam na rijjati, in which latter case kici must be regarded as a mistake for kiji (=kiño), for everywhere in the Prakrit text no has been represented by j. Thus the pada must be rendered either, (The happiness an association with the cultured) does not exist in their line of action (kici); or, The slightest amount of happyness arising from association with the cultured does not exist among them.—Of these two renderings we prefer the second, as it seems more in harmony with the sense of the first line, so far as it can be made out from a tentative adjustment. We say lentative because the illegible traces of letters in M. Senart's reading-?????? ya narething v .- have been read with the help of Frag. A. iv. uhasino yo kama.e ), which according to M. Senart's arrangement of plates, ought to have been adjusted in a verse included in one of the plates A1, A2, A3, A4 and B. As M. Senart says (p. 23), there are some fragments of A which find their proper places in B, e.g., Frags. A. v and A vr. Such was the disorder in which these fragments came into his hands that we should not be surprised if what he calls frag. A.iv. really belonged to his Cvo, 36, i.e., the verse under notice. M. Scnart rightly takes narethina as equal to naritthinum, 'of men and women,' although he has not been able to suggest any meaning of the first line taken as a whole. We admit that there is a great deal of uncertainty in our adjustment which can not, until the discovery of a

M Schart has yokama.c. We read the first syllable as ye, tentatively. Frag. A. iv., completing Frag. C. iv.o. There is a blank represented by it. in M. Schart's edition, for the y of kanaye in Fr. A.iv. The yarding narethina (Fr. C.ixvo) perhaps makes up the last syllable of kanaye, gh read without the stroke of c. Therefore, we do not read the yat, but have the strict the provious word which is thus constructed aye.

parallel verse, be finally removed. In these circumstances we can only think of a restoration like the following:—

(shihasino ye kamaye narethina v(asu) (shi(hii) shha sichitasavasa kici tega na vijati ()

-of which the Pali counterpart would read:-

Sukhesino yo kāmayo navitthīnam vāsam sukham Sakham sikkhita amvāsā kiñci tesam na vijjati.

14 [suha darsana ariana sa] [vaso vi sada suho adasanena] balana nicam eva suhi sia O

(Cva, 37)

Cf. Dhammap., v. 206 1 (Sukhav., v. 10):-

Sādhu dassanam uriyānam sannivāso sadā sukho. Adassanena bālānam nivena eva sukhī siyā.

Cf. Ta-kheu-pi-n, see, yyn. ("Buddha"), p. 134;-

"Oh, the happiness of seeing the Holy One! Oh, the happiness of being able to rely on him as present! Oh, the joy of the man who is able to avoid the company of the foolish, and act well and virtuously by himself!"

Cf. Udānav., ch. xxx. (" Happiness"), v. 27 :-

"To see the elect is happiness; to associate with the righteous is happiness; not to see fools is always happiness."

Notes.—This verse is appositely placed after v. 13 and its teaching is thrown into clear relief by the contrast implied between them. Moreover, this verse explains the expression sichitasarasa of the previous verse, and it praises association with the Āryas or the elect and condemns association with the fools. 'No friendship with a fool' (n'atthi bāle

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Sayasa might, as in v. 15 fg., he taken as the Prakrit equivalent of the Páli samudse, in which case the expression sichitasavasa would be in apposition with subs preceding it the sense being happiness which is association with the cultured

Frag C 1x70 2

sahāyatā) is an emphatic pronouncement of the Dhammapada verse 330. The Prakrit reading agrees with that of the Udānavarga. We must note that the Prakrit verse slightly differs from its Fāli counterpart, and that with regard to the expression savaso vi (= Pāli samvāso pi), substituted for sannivāso. The addition of the particle (a)vi (= Pāli api) is necessitated by the exigency of metre. The expression balana (= Pāli bālānam, 'of fools') denotes, when interpreted in the light of v. 13, persons who desire the pleasures of household life. Nicameva = Pāli niccam eva, a vowel sandhi (nica+eva).

15 [(ba)¹lasagatacari u drigham adhvana śoyişu dukha balehi]² (sa)³vasu amitrehi va savrasi O (C<sup>vo</sup>, 38)

16 (dhiro ca)¹ [suhasavaso⁵ ñatihi va samakamo dh]⁴[ira hi praña i]¹ bhayeya panito dhorekasila vatamata aria

(Cvo, 39)

[tadiśa sapuruṣa sumedha bhay(eya)] <sup>8</sup> [nachatrapatha va cadrimu O<sup>9</sup>

(A portion of Cvo, 40 completing Cvo, 39)

" Frag. C. xxxvivo

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Supplied by us, according to M. Senart's suggestion <sup>2</sup> Frag. C. xvivo, 3.

\*, \* Supplied by us, though the latter does not answer to the four dots of omission in M. Sonart's edition, which are hardly in keeping with the metre.

For the final o, see M. Sonart's note b (p. 84).

<sup>&</sup>quot; Frag C xxxvvo 1 M Sonart reads profini as one word.

<sup>\*</sup> Frag C xxvo eya of the last word us supplied by us on the strength of a form bhayeye ring in the set s verse The three dots of omession in M Senarts edition a...... hardly inted as they

Cf. Dhammap., vv. 207-208 i (Sukhayagga, vv. 11-12) :--

Bālasangatacācī hi digliam addhāna socuti.

Dukkho bālohi sainvāso amitten'eva subbadā, Dhiro ca sukhasatāvāso! hatīnatā va samāgamo.

(Tasmā lu ;)

(Tasma in ;) Dhirañ ca paññañ eo bahassutañ ca dhorayhasilañ

vatavantam ariyam Tam tādisam sappurisam sumedham bhajetha nakkhattavatbam va candim**ā** 

nakkhattapathain va candimā

Cf. Udānav., ch. xxx. ("Happiness"), vv. 28-25 —

"Tis as great suffering to be in the company of fools as in that of enemies; he who associates with fools will repent

him of it for a long time."

"Tis happiness to see a virtuous man; to see one who has heard much is happiness, to see Arahats who are delivered from existence is happiness."

There is, in the verses, nothing to comment upon, as they express, though in a somewhat different form, the very idea of y. 16. The first line of y. 16 is connected in the

Tali text with the counterpart of v. 15, a procedure which is hardly justifiable. The Prakrit text and the Udanavarga have rightly linked it with v. 16.

Verse 15.—Soyisu = Pali socialsu, an acrist form which

is used, as M. Senart points out, in the sense of the present tense. Note that the verb is plural, though the nominative is singular (cf. aha dhira, v. 8 a ove). Savrasi=Pāh sabbaso, a form which is interpreted by M. Senart as due to Māgadhism. Nevertheless, he says that the reading might have been sarradhi, in which case it would be=Pāh sabbadhi.

Verse 16.—Dhorekasila = Pāli alhorayhasilam. A stands for y. Cadrimu occurs with a v which may tempt one to regard the word as an accusative form. But the sense is against such an interpretation. We do not venture to correct it to calrima (for candri  $\ddot{a}$ ), because the very form cadrima occurs again in I. B. 7 (p. 27) as non sing. All that we can say is that cadrimu is perhaps due to a false analogy with suriu, with which it goes hand in

Max Muller to the realing to salho en Ih reservaso

hand in common speech.

17 [ra]¹dhakaro va camasa² parikica uvahana

(The remainder of  $C^{vo}$ , 40)

(yada)³ [jahati kamana tada⁴ samajati⁵]⁵ [s(u)¹h(u)² sarva ca suhu]³ ichia sarvakama paricai ○

(C°•, 41)

Cf. Kāmajātaka, No. 467 (Fausböll, IV pp. 172-3):—

Rathakāro va cammassa parikantam upāhanam<sup>10</sup> Yam yam cajatī kāmānam tam tam sampajjate sukham, Sabban ca<sup>11</sup> sukham iccheyya sabbe kāme pariccaje.

Cf. Udānav., ch. 11. (" Lust"), vv. 11-12:-

"As the shoemaker, when he has well prepared his leather, can use it to make shoes, so when one has cast off desires, he has the highest happiness. If one longs for happiness, let him cast off all desires; he who has cast off all desires will find the most perfect happiness.

# Cf. Mahābhārata, XII. 174. 44-45:-

Kiñcid eva mamatvena yadā bhavati kalpitam Tad eva parītāpārtham sarvam sampadyate tathā. Yad yat tyajatī kāmānām tat sukhasyābhipūryate Kāmānusārī puruṣaḥ kāmān anuvīnašyatī.

We have followed the reading adopted by the Jataka-Comy

Variant, ce

<sup>1</sup> Continuation of Frag. C. xxxvvo, 2.

M. Senart reads the arovacamasa.

<sup>Also, ya ya; supplied by us.
The interpretation may also be to do (=to ta)=tam tam.</sup> 

M. Senart roads sa majati.

<sup>•</sup> Frag. C. xxvo, 3

Franc. C. xxxvvo, 3.
Franköll wrongly connects this line with the previous verse in his work.

## Bid. XII. 177, 18 . -

Yad yat tyajati kāmānātū tat suklasyabhipūryate. Kāmasya vašago nityatā duḥkhameva prapadyate.

Notes.—This verse teaches, by the simile of a shoemaker fitting his leather by getting rid of its useless parts, that to be happy, truly happy, one must cast off one's desires.

Parikica = Pāli parikantam. 'cutting' or 'fitting.' Phonetically the Prakrit form = Pāli parikica, Sk. parikṛtya. To equate fitly with parikantam, the form ought to have been parikata or kata. Paricai = Pāli paricajē, Sk. parityajēt, 'should give up'. The elision of j in the Prakrit form presuposes an intermediate change to y. Note that we had paricai also for Pali paricagā in v. 3 supra.

[(ve) rasaṣaga]<sup>†</sup> ?? so duha na parimucati (C···, 42)

Cf. Dhammap., v. 291 (Pakinyakav., v. 2):-

Paradukkhûpadhānena yo attano sakham ichati Verasamsaggasamsattho verā so na pamuceati.

Cf. Udānav., ch. xxx. (" Happiness"), v. 2:-

"He who causes misery to others in seeking for his own welfare brings without distinction misery on friends and foes."

Notes.—The Prakrit verse might be restored, in the light of its Pali counterpart, as follows:—

(para luluvadha ra so simaa (sulam hat verasaşaga/sausatlo)" w dula na jarımucatı 🔘

The interest of this verse lies in the fact that it makes clear the Buddhist position as to the pursuit of happiness on egoistic lines. It teaches that a man can not legitimately aspire for happiness so long as his pursuit injures the interest of others.

jaya v(e)¹ra [prasavati² dukhu sayati parayitu uvašatu sohu ša]³yati hitva jayaparayaa O

(Cvo. 43)

Cf. Dhammap., v. 201  $\dagger$  (Sukhav., v. 5) = Samyutta I. p. 83:--

Jayam veram pasavati dukkham seti parājito Upasanto sukham seti hitvā jayaparājayam.

Cf. Udanav., ch. xxx. ("Happiness"), v. 1:—

"From victory proceeds rancour; the defeated foe is in misery: if one casts off victory and defeat he will find the happiness of peace."

Notes.-Here at last we reach a verse which manifests the Buddhist transcendentalist view of happiness. To be happy in the absolute sense, a man must rise above the opposites victory and defeat; the happiness resulting from victory is a relative feeling only.

<sup>&#</sup>x27; Supplied by us. M. Senart reads prasahati, but says that it is nothing but a gross mistake of the scribe, even though the h may be very clear. Our reading rests upon the Pali pasavati.
Frag C xxxvo 2.

#### Ibid, XII, 177, 18: ~

Yad yat tyajati kāmānām tar sukhasyabhīpūryate Kāmasya vašago nityam dulikhameva prapadyate.

Notes.—This verse teaches, by the simile of a shoemaker fitting his leather by getting rid of its useless parts, that to be happy, truly happy, one must cast off one's desires.

Parikica = Pāli parikantam, 'cutting' or 'fitting.' Phonetically the Prakrit form = Pāli parikicea, Sk. parikrtya. To equate fitly with parikantam, the form ought to have been parikata or katu. Paricai = Pāli pariccaje, Sk. parityajet, 'should give up'. The elision of j in the Prakrit form presuposts an intermediate change to y. Note that we had paricai also for Pāli pariccāgā in v. 3 suppa.

18 [nena yo atmano]'

[(ve) rasaṣaga]" ?? so duha na parimucati O

(Cu, 42)

Cf. Dhammap., v. 291 (Pakiynakav., v. 2):---

Paradukkhûpadhānena yo attano sukham lehatî Verasamsaggasamsattho verā so na pamuccati.

Cf. Udānav., eh. xxx. (" Happiness"), v. 2:--

"He who causes misery to others in seeking for his own welfare brings without distinction misery on friends and focs."

Notes.—The Prakrit verse might be restored, in the light of its Pāli counterpart, as follows:—

(para duhuvadha)nena yo atmano (suhamichati) verasasaga/sansatho)\* so duha na parimucati ()

<sup>\*</sup> Frag O xxxvi vo not ad nated by M Sonart who ros is - resusage \* For the is of sectional (for PS i sections a) Apramaday., v 4 p 128

The interest of this verse lies in the fact that it makes clear the Buddhist position as to the pursuit of happiness on egoistic lines. It teaches that a man can not legitimately aspire for happiness so long as his pursuit injures the interest of others.

19 jaya v(e)¹ra [prasavati² dukhu śayati parayitu uvaśatu sohu śa]³yati hitva jayaparayaa ○

(Cva, 43)

Cf. Dhammap., v. 201 † (Sukhav., v. 5) = Samyutta I. p. 83:—

Jayam veram pasavati dukkham seti parājito Upasanto sukham seti hitvā jayaparājayam.

Cf. Udānav., ch. xxx. ("Happiness"), v. 1:-

"From victory proceeds rancour; the defeated foe is in misery: if one casts off victory and defeat he will find the happiness of peace."

Notes.—Here at last we reach a verse which manifests the Buddhist transcendentalist view of happiness. To be happy in the absolute sense, a man must rise above the opposites, victory and defeat; the happiness resulting from victory is a relative feeling only.

Frag C xixvo Z

44

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Supplied by us.

<sup>2</sup> M. Sonart reads prasahati, but says that it is nothing but a gross mistake of the scribe, even though the h may be very clear. Our reading rests upon the Pali passouti

Prasavati = Pāli pasarali, 'begets', 'generates'. M. Senart reads prasahali, though he considers the reading as a gross mistake of the scribe. If the latter reading be adopted, the h can be said to have resulted from the hardening of a y, resulting from v of prasavati.

20 anica vata [saghara upadavayadhamino upaji ti nirujhati]¹ teşa uvasamo suho (○)³

(Cro, 44)

Cf. Digha, H. pp. 157, 199; Sadayutta, I. p. 158, § 6:—

Anicca vata sankhārā uppādavayadhammino Uppajjitvā mrujjhanti tesam vupasamo sukho.

Notes.—This verse which is very familiar to the student of Buddhist literature is chanted as a manira or Pirit at the death of a person. It is the result of an after-thought proceeding from moralising upon the demise of a great man and teacher such as the Buddha. It teaches that the body is destined to perish, and that happiness results from the complete cessation of organic existence.

Although the colophon is missing from the extent Ms., we are confident that this verse formed a fitting conclusion to this chapter.

Frag C xixvo 3.
The circle is supplied by us.

### ADDENDA

ŧ

Containing certain additional parallels and notes.

The following Sanskrit parallels are quoted from the fragments of the manuscript of the Udānavarga in the collection of Pelliot and of Stein. The credit of noticing them belong partly to M. Sylvain Lévi and partly to M. de la Vallée Poussin. Here our references apply to Lévi's edition of the Apramādavarga (J.A., 1912, Vol. XX. p. 235 f.), and to Poussin's edition of some other chapters (J. R. A. S., 1912, p. 359 f.).

I. For the verses of the Apramadavaga, p. 119 ff.:— Verse 1 = Lévi's Apramadav. v. 85 = Rockhill's Udānavarga, iv. v. 35:

Uttişthen na pramadyeta dharmam sucaritam caret Dharmacărî sukham sete hyasmim loke paratra ca.

"Whoever has lived according to this law of discipline, in gentleness and purity, will, having east off transmigration, put an end to his misery."—

Verse 2=Lévi's Apramādav. v. 5:

Utthänenåpramädena samyamena damena ca Dvipam karoti medhävi tam ogho nåbhimardati.

Verse 3 Lévis Apramadav v 6

h 6nbhamtta méamvacitmah

Verse 4=Poussin's Documents, Cittav. v. 32:

Urrbānakāleşa nildmavleya / yuvā bali———)ko nirāša (ḥ) Sadaiva sainkalpahatā kusīdo jūžuasya mārgaih satatath na vetti.

Verse 5 = Lévi's Apramaday, v. 21 = Rockhill's Udanavarga, 1v. v. 21:

Na tāvatā dharmadharo yāvatā hahu bhāṣate Yastvihālpam upi śrutvā dharma kāyena vai spṛṣet Sa vai dharmadharo bhavati yo dharme na pramadyate.

"As many as you be, I declare unto you that those who, though they have heard but little of the law, have followed its commandments, have understood the law, they who follow the law have understood the law."

Verses 6-7 = Lévi's Apramaday, vv. 1-2:

Apramādo hyamītapadam pramādo mṛtyunah padam Apramatiā na mriyante ye pramatiāh sadā mītah. Etām višešato jūštvā hy npramādasya paṇḍitah Apramādo pramadyeta nityam āryah svagocaram.

Verse 8 = Lévi's Apramadav. v. 10:

Pramādam annvariante hālā durmedhaso janāḥ Apramādam tu medhāvi dhanam šrešihiva rakšate.

Verse 10=Lévi's Apramadav. v. 4:

Pramādam apramādena yadā nudsti paņģitaķ Prajūāprasādam āruhya tvašokaķ šokinim prajām Parvatastha iva bhūmisthān dhīro bālān avekļata.

Verse 11 = Lévi's Apramadav, v. 24 = Rockhill's = Udansvarga, iv. v. 24:

Apramādam prasamsanti pramādo garhitah sadā Apramādena Maghavān devānām śreşthatām gatah,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The translation s tentative.

"He whose speech exalts earnestness and who always despises heedlessness will be greater among the gods than he who has made a hundred sacrifices."

Verse 12 = Lévi's Apramādav. v. 8 = Rockhill's Udānavarga, iv. v. 8:

Hīnān dharmān na seveta pramādena na samvaset Mithyādṛṣṭim na roceta na bhavellokavardhanaḥ

"Have nothing to do with false doctrines, have nothing to do with the heedless; he who delights not in false doctrines shall not continue (in) the world."

Verse 14=Lévi's Apramādav. v. 37:

Ārabhadhvam niṣkramadhvam yujyadhvam buddhasāsane Dhunidhvam mṛtyunaḥ sainayam nadāgāram iva kuñjaraḥ.

Verse 15=Lévi's Apramādav. v. 36:

Apramādaratā bhavata sušīlā bhavata bhiksavaḥ Susamāhitasamkalpāḥ svacittam anurakṣata.

Add the following quotations just below the Pāli verse quoted on p. 135:

Lévi's Apramādav. v. 12 (Rockhill's Udānavarga, IV. V. II):

Pramādam nānuyujyeta na kāmaratisamstavam Apramattah sadā dhyāyī prāpnute ... sukham.

Verse 23 = Lévi's Apramadav. v. 27:

Apramādo rato bhikşuḥ pramāde bhayadaršakaḥ Durgād uddharate' tmānam pankasanna iva kunjaraḥ.

Verse 24 = Lévi's Apramadav. v. 13:

Nâyam pramādakālah syād aprāpte hy āsravakṣaye Pramattam Māra anveti simham va mīgamātīkā Cf. Therag. v. 30, 2nd line:

Abadho me samuppanno, kalo me na pamajituth.

Cf. Therig. v. 95:

Appakath jivitath maybath jara byādhi ca maddati Purāyath bhijjati kāyo na me kālo pamajjituth.

II. For the verses of the Citavaga, p. 140 ff. :-

Verse 1=probably Rockhill's Udduavarga, xxxi. v. 9:

"He who, thinking not of the body, lives in a cave, and wanders about all alone, does conquer this flighty mind, and is delivered of the greatest of terrors."

Verse 2 == Poussin's Documents, Cittat., v. 2 :

Vārija va athale kuipta okādoghāt samuddhṛta(ḥ) Parispandati vai citta-in) Māradheyath prabātavai.

One need not be surprised if the Prakrit expressions of the 2nd foot resembled those in Sanskrit and read somewhat like okuogha samadhrata.

Verse 3=Poussin's Documents, Cittav, v. 28=Rockhill's Udanavarga, xxxi. v. 28:

A(nava)sth(itacitta)sys saddharmath avijänatah Pariplavaprasädasya prajñā na pariphryats.

"He whose mind is not stedfast cannot understand the holy law; he whose faith is fickle cannot acquire perfect wisdom."

Verse 5 = Poussin's Documents, Cittav., v. 8:

Spandanath capalam cittam diirakşam durnivaranam Bjum karon medhāvi 172 tejanam. Cf. The Jaina expression cañcala-cavala-cita (Leumann's Aupapātika Sūtra, s. 35, p. 46). Note that the Sanskrit verse is an exact counterpart of the Prakrit.

III. For the verses of the Sahasavaga, p. 154 ff.:—

Verse 1 = Pelliot Ms., Sahasrav., v. 3 (J.A., 1910).

Verse 3 or 5 = ", ", v. 2"

For the first half of each of vv. 6-11, cf. the first line of the Dhammap. v. 106 (Sahassav., v. 7):

Māse māse sahassena yo yajetha satam samam

## IV. For the verses of the Panitavaga, p. 169 ff.:-

Add the following notes on p. 172, just in the middle of the 6th line: cf. pramajea, Pāli pamajjeyya (p. 119). The Pāli counterpart samayarea (sing.) should be samācarati acc. to a Jātaka verse quoted below. For such optative forms standing for Present, as also for Past, cf. adea = Pāli vyadheti, vyadhesi (Balav. v. 4, p. 183). For instances where the final a corresponds to the Pāli suffix tha (3rd pers.) cf. jaea (Pāli jāyetha), Puṣav, v. 14, p. 152; yaea Pāli yajetha), Sahasav. v. 6-11.

For the first half of verse 4, cf. the first line in Poussin's Documents, Anityav. v. 24:

[Narakam pāpakar]maṇa(ḥ) kṛṭapuṇyaṛstu svargatim

For verse 5, cf. Therag. v. 865:

Sīlakkhandhe patiṭṭhāya satiṁ paññañ ca bhāvayaṁ Pāpuniṁ anupubbena sabbasaṁyojanakkhayaṁ.

Note on p 176 that ace to Franke cavadhi = capat adhi

## V. For the verses of the Balavaga, p. 179 ff. :-

Verse 1=Poussin's Documents, Anityav. v. 41=Rockhill's Udšnavarga, I. v. 40:

Idam (me kärya)ih kartavyam ideth krivä bhavisyati, Ity evath spantano martya jarä mrtyus ca mardati.

"Such and such actions are a source of felicity, which I, having performed them, will acquire. He who prepares himself in this manner, will overcome age, disease, and death."

The Sanskrit parallel and its translation quoted above fully bears out the general sense of the Prakrit verse as suggested by M. Senart: "by properly understanding one's duty, one crushes death and the pains of it." The meaning and the restoration suggested by us on p. 180 are rather based upon a verse of the Mahäbhärata, quoted on p. 179. Although we are unable to understand the propriety of the inclusion of the verse under the Balavaga, if its sense be what is brought out by its parallel

in the Udanavarga, we feel constrained to accept the explanation

Verse 2 = Poussin's Documents, Anityav v. 38,

of M. Senart and restore the verse as follows :

The variant karişyami bemantadı grişmam ava ca Bălo vicinta (yat)î(ti) hy antarâyadı na paşyati.

Note that the first foot of the Prakrit verse corresponds to that of the Sanskrit, while its second foot is in accord with the Pali. The Prakrit word at the end of the verse might as well be pasati.

Verse 3 = Poussin's Documents, Anityav. v. 39 = Rockhill's Udānavarga. 1. v. 39:

Tam putrapasusammatam vyäsakiamänasam naram Suptam grämam mahanghaiva mṛṭyu(vidāya) gacchati

"Thou who art surrounded by children and flock, children are no refuge. nor are father. mother, and kinsfolk thou art without a refuge"

For verse 6, cf. Poussin's Documents, Yugav. vv. 41-42 (= Rockhill's Udānavarga, xxix. vv. 45, 44:

Akṛtam kukṛtac chreyah paścāt tapati dnskṛtam Śocate duṣkṛtam kṛtvā śocate durgatim gatah Kṛtan tu (sukṛ)tam śreyo yat kṛtvā nanutapyate Nandate sukṛtam kṛtvā nandate sugatim gata(h).

"It is better in both (this world and the other) if one has not done evil, for he who does it will suffer; it is good for one to do what is right, for he will have no affliction."

"He who has done that which is wrong, suffers for it, and when hereafter he will be in the evil way he will suffer; he who has done that which is right, is made happy, and when hereafter he will be in the happy way he will be happy."

Here is another instance where we find each of the two lines of a verse similar to the Prakrit or the Pali has been expounded into one complete verse. The order of the two verses thus formed is inverted in the Udānavarga. This fact of inversion of verse-order and the discrepancy of verse-numbers suggest that the Turfan Ms. contains rather the text of an older compilation of the Udānavarga, i.e., the original of the text portion of the Chuh-yau-king.

Verse 8=Lévi's Apramādav. v. 20=Rockhill's Udāvavarga, tv. vv. 19-20:

Yeşām tu susamārbdhā nityam kāyagatā smṛtiḥ Akṛtyam te na kurvanti kṛtye sātatyakārṇaḥ Smṛtānām samprajānānām astam gacchanti āsravāḥ.

- "He who comprehends the nature of the body, who reflects, and whose exertions are unceasing, does not what ought not to be done, and does what ought to be done."
- "He, therefore, with memory and understanding will put an end to his misery and when he has put an end to his misery zerava) he will find the untroub ed state

VI. For the verses of the Jaravaga, p. 186 ff.:-

Verse 3 = Poussin's Documents, Anityav. v. 29:

Dhik tvām astu jare grāmye (varņā pakāru)ņ(i jade Ta thā manoramath bimbath tvayā yad abhimarditam.

Versa 4=Pous-in's Documents, Anityav. v. 30:

Yo pi varşasatam jivet so pi mrtyuparāvallah Ann by coam jatrā yāt) i = ' i no- ' i ' vāntakah.

Verse 5 = Poussin's Documents, Anityav. v. 31:

(Par)ij(i) epam idain (tü puin teganidain prabhanguram Bhetayate pütyasundehain marahântain hi jiritam. ٠,

Verse 8 = Poussin's Document-, Anityav. v. 33;

Yeşüm rütridiyapüye by üyne alpataranı bhavet Alpodake ca matsyönüm ka un (tatra rattifr bhavet).

For verse 12 cf. Poussin's Documents, Anityav. v. 32 and Rockhill's Udanavarga, r. v. 33:

Ayur divă ca cătrau ca caratas tisthatas tuthă Nadînâm (hi) yathă eroto (gașe(cha)ti na nivertate,

"This life is flecting away day and night; it is unstable like the stream of a great river; one goes on not to return again."

Verse 17 = Poussin's Documents, Anityav. v. 35:

(Aciram bata kāyo' yam pṛthi)v(im adhi)s cişyati Sunya vyapetavijnāno nirastam vā kadamgaram.

Verse 20 = Poussin's Documents, Anityav. v. 37:

Anena pütikäyena hy sturena prabhanguna Ni ( )? paräm säntin yoguksomam anutiaram

## Cf. Therig. v. 140:

lminā pūtikāyena āturena pabhangunā

Verse 22 = Poussin's Documents, Anityav. v. 36:

Kim anena śarīreņa (visra)vāpūtinā (sa)d(ā) (Ni)tyam (r)og(ā)bh(i)bhūtena jarā-maraņabhīrunā.

Note that nimedha (p. 213) equates better with the Pali nimuiddhain

Verse 24=Poussin's Documents, Anityav. v. 28:

Cîryanti vai rājarathā (ḥ) sucitrā hy ato Sarīram api ja(rām upe)ti Sat(ā)n tu dharmo na ja(rā)m upeti santo hi tam satsu nivedayati.

VII. For the verses of the Suhavaga, p. 218 ff.:— Verse 3=Poussin's Documents, Sukhav. v. 30:

(Mā)trā (su)kha parityāgād yaḥ paśyed vipulam sukham Tyajen mātrāsukham dhīraḥ sa(m)paśyam vipulam sukham.

Verse 4=Poussin's Documents, Sukhav. v. 43:

Susukham bata jîvāmo hy utsukeşu tv anutsukā(h)

(U)tsukeşu manuşyeşu vi(ha)rāma hy anutsukā (h).

Verse 5 = Poussin's Documents, Sukhav. v. 4/:

Susukham bata jīvāmo vairikesu tv avairikāh Vairikesu manusyesu viharāmo hy avairikā(h).

Substitute yeşān no for yeşām no in the Sanskrit verses quoted on p. 222. Note that the Sanskrit verse quoted on p. 223 belongs to the Udānavarga (Sukhav. v. 44).

Cf. Mahābhārata, XII. 219. 50:

Na khalu hi dahyate tra kificit

The slokas corresponding to the Jataka verses are not to found in the existing texts of the Mahabharata.

Verses 8-9 = Poussin's Documents, Kamav. vv. 5-6:

: Na tad dpjham bandhanam Shur SryS yad Syasam daravam balbaram (Smraktacittā manikumlaleşu p(u)treş(u) dâreş(u) ja ya avek alı.

Etad drdham bandhanam ahur aryah sama(m)tatah ... susthiram duspramuficam Etad api chittva parivrajanti anapetks(i)nah

kamasukham prahaya.

Verse 12 = Poussin's Documents, Sukhav, v. 29;

Durlabhah puruşo jänyo nasau sarvatra jäyate (Vatrāsan i jāyate vīras tat kularīb sukharīb odhate.

For the second half of verse 15 and the first half of verse 16, Poussin's Documents, Sukhav. v. 24:

Duhkho (balair hi samvaso) hy amitre(pera sarvada)

Dhírais tu sukhasarhváso júätínám iva samagams(h).

cf. Lenmann's Daśavaikālika Sūtra

Vivittā ya bhave sejjā, nārīnam ya lave kaham Gihi-saththavam na kujja, kujja sahuhi saththavam.

Verse 17 = Poussin's Documents, Sukhav. vv. 11-12:

For verses

. D.M.G.), viii v. 52 :

13-14

Rathakara iya carmanah parikartana upanahah Yad ya(jja)hāti kā(m)ā;n)ā(nato it tat sampadyato sukhart

Sarvam cet sukham iccheta sarvakāmām (pa ri(tyaj)et Sarvakāmaparityāgī hy atyantath sukha(me)dhate.

## ERRATA

		For	Read
p. viii. 1. 33	<b>\{</b>	Order of chapter  Name of chapter	Order of chapters
	· · · (	Name of chapter	Name of chapters
p. ix. 1.21		22 chapters	26 chapters
p. xlvii. f. n.		$^{\circ}$ Appra $^{\circ}$	$^{\circ}\mathrm{Apra}^{\circ}$
p. liii. 1. 21		Beugali	Bengali
p. 15	•••	2 (verse-number)	12
p. 16. v. 14		sethi	śethi
p. 72. v. 8		Dhammap. 195	Dhammap. 135(?)
p. 75. v. 17		stanza 321	stanza 32 (?)
p. 88 Frag. ix		fr. xvvo	fr. xvi <sup>vo</sup> (?)
p. 106. f. n.		translation	translation
p. 129. v. 13		Majjhima, II. p. 103	II p. 104.
p. 134, (2)		mrabanana	marabanana
p. 141. v. 1		37	v. 37
p. 141. v. 2	,	84	v. 34
p. 141. v. 3	* * *	38	v. 38
p. 142. f. n.		eka	eka
p. 143. f. n.	,,,	(f, n, 11)	(f. n. 4)
p. 144		āsīne	$ar{a}$ s $ar{\imath}$ no
p, 145, f, n, 2		cittasa	cittassa
		kṛtānta	kŗtāntaḥ
p. 196, f, n.		kije	kiji
p, 223		Cf. Mahābhārata, xii,	
*		219-50	Cf. Udānav.
			(Pischel), v. 44.
p. 228. Manu-	-Sloka	tasya vrddhante	sampravardhante